

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Artificial Intelligence and Data Privacy in the Financial Industry

Ayomide Omolabake KOLEOSHO¹, Naomi Maiguwa GANKINO², Oluwaseun Y. A. OBIDIPE³

^{1,2}University of Southern California.

³Columbia Theological Seminary.

Received: 1 November 2025 Accepted: 12 November 2025 Published: 14 November 2025

Corresponding Author: Ayomide Omolabake KOLEOSHO, University of Southern California, Nigeria.

Abstract

This study explored an elaborate nexus that exist between artificial intelligence and data privacy in the financial industry. The importance of adhering to a multi-layered regulatory framework that includes global regulations such as the GDPR, industry-specific standards such as PCI DSS, and emerging AI-specific compliance measures were underscored. The impediments facing data collection and data usage, algorithmic bias, and the security of AI systems have been highlighted, emphasizing the need for transparency, ethical data practices, and robust cybersecurity measures. The study concludes that while artificial intelligence offers transformative potential for financial services, it also necessitates a cautious approach to privacy, confidentiality, protection and compliance. As the financial industry navigates this complex terrain, it must balance innovation with responsibility, ensuring that AI serves to empower rather than exploit, and to protect rather than compromise the privacy rights of individuals.

Keywords: Accountability, Artificial Intelligence, Data Privacy, Financial Industry.

1. Introduction

The surge of artificial intelligence (AI) in the financial sector has ushered in a new era of opportunities and challenges. As technology advances, financial institutions increasingly rely on AI to enhance service efficiency, optimize risk management, and enrich customer experiences. However, this reliance also raises significant concerns about privacy protection and regulatory compliance. When AI systems process vast amounts of sensitive data, it is imperative to ensure adherence to stringent privacy regulations and industry standards to safeguard consumer information from misuse or disclosure. Applications of AI in finance encompass credit scoring, fraud detection, investment advice, risk management, and automated trading [1]. Also in detection, and customer service. AI are helping financial institutions make more accurate investment decisions through pattern recognition and predictive analytics. In risk assessment, AI can analyze large amounts of data to identify patterns that could lead to loan defaults or credit risk. Furthermore, AI chatbots and virtual assistants are changing customer

service by providing 24/7 service and improving the customer experience. These applications typically involve the analysis and processing of personal financial data, including transaction records, credit reports, and personal identification information. Therefore, financial institutions must leverage AI technology while implementing effective measures to ensure the security and privacy of data.

Privacy protection and regulatory compliance are central to the application of AI in the financial industry. On one hand, financial institutions must comply with international and regional privacy regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) in the United States. These regulations require businesses to adhere to principles of transparency, data minimization, and data subject rights when collecting, storing, and processing personal data [2]. On the other hand, financial institutions must also follow industry-specific compliance standards, such as the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) and anti-money laundering

Citation: Ayomide Omolabake KOLEOSHO, Naomi Maiguwa GANKINO, Oluwaseun Y. A. OBIDIPE. Artificial Intelligence and Data Privacy in the Financial Industry. Journal of Banking and Finance Management 2025;6(1): 14-20.

©The Author(s) 2025. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

regulations, which demand high levels of security and transparency in operations.

Moreover, as AI technology continues to evolve, regulatory bodies are also updating their guidelines and regulations to address emerging risks and challenges. Financial institutions need to closely monitor these changes and ensure that their AI systems and business processes align with the latest regulatory requirements. Additionally, financial institutions must strengthen internal governance and risk management to ensure that privacy protection and compliance are fully considered and implemented during the development and deployment of AI systems. With the assistance of AI technology, financial institutions can provide more personalized and efficient services to customers, but they must also ensure that these services do not come at the expense of consumer privacy. By establishing robust privacy protection mechanisms and compliance frameworks, financial institutions can strike a balance between innovation and the protection of consumer rights, achieving sustainable development[3].

The importance of privacy in the context of AI within the financial industry cannot be overstated. Privacy is a fundamental right that safeguards individuals from unwarranted intrusion and misuse of their personal information. In the digital age, where data is the new currency, the privacy of financial data is paramount. It is the cornerstone of trust between financial institutions and their customers [15]. Without robust privacy protections, customers may hesitate to engage with digital financial services, fearing the potential exposure of their sensitive financial information. Privacy is not just a legal requirement but also a strategic imperative for financial institutions. It helps in building and maintaining customer loyalty and reputation.

When customers feel that their data is secure, they are more likely to share information, which can enable financial institutions to offer tailored services and products. Moreover, privacy protection is essential for mitigating the risk of data breaches, which can lead to significant financial and reputational damage[4]. An additional issue related to data privacy is the ownership of personal data. While this data is often considered an asset of the financial institution, it is generated based on the behavior and information of the individual. Therefore, a critical question for the financial industry is whether the individual should have more rights over their data, such as deciding who can use the data and how it is utilized [25]. The study aims to provide a comprehensive overview

of the privacy considerations and compliance requirements associated with the use of AI in the financial industry with literature form of methodology [5], [6], [14]. It will delve into the various aspects of privacy protection, including data collection, storage, processing, and sharing. The study will also explore the regulatory landscape, highlighting key privacy laws and standards that financial institutions must navigate when implementing AI solutions. Furthermore, this study will examine the role of AI in enhancing privacy, such as through the use of encryption and anonymization techniques, while also acknowledging the potential privacy risks that AI systems may introduce. Best practices for privacy by design, data minimization, and the implementation of privacy-enhancing technologies will be discussed.

Additionally, the study will provide insights into the ethical considerations surrounding AI and privacy, emphasizing the importance of transparency, accountability[7], and fairness in AI-driven decision-making processes. By the end of this study, readers should have a clear understanding of the critical importance of privacy in the financial industry's adoption of AI, the legal and ethical frameworks that govern it, and the practical steps that can be taken to ensure compliance and protect consumer privacy. The scope of this study is designed to provide a thorough and structured examination of the privacy protection and compliance issues that arise with the integration of artificial intelligence in the financial industry. It is intended to serve as a guide for financial institutions, regulatory bodies, AI developers, and other stakeholders involved in the deployment of AI technologies within the financial sector [8].

The study outlines key privacy considerations that must be addressed when implementing AI systems. This includes the ethical collection and handling of personal data, the use of data minimization principles to limit the amount of personal data processed, and the implementation of robust data security measures to protect against unauthorized access and breaches.

A detailed analysis of the existing regulatory frameworks that govern the use of AI in finance will be provided. This includes an overview of international and regional privacy laws such as GDPR, CCPA, and others, as well as industry-specific regulations like PCI DSS. The study also discusses the implications of these regulations for AI systems and the steps that must be taken to ensure compliance. The study explores the role of AI in enhancing data protection, including the use of advanced encryption methods, anonymization

techniques, and other privacy-enhancing technologies. It also examines the challenges and limitations of these technologies in the context of AI applications.

Ethical consideration is a central theme, with a focus on fairness, transparency, and accountability in AI-driven financial decision-making processes. The study will discuss how to ensure that AI systems do not perpetuate bias or discrimination and how to maintain human oversight and control [9]. The study highlights best practices for incorporating privacy considerations into the design and development of AI systems. This includes the principles of privacy by design and by default, as well as strategies for conducting privacy impact assessments and integrating privacy considerations throughout the AI lifecycle.

To provide practical insights, the study will include case studies and real-world examples of how financial institutions have successfully navigated privacy and compliance challenges in their AI implementations. Finally, the study explores future trends and emerging issues in the intersection of AI, privacy, and finance. This includes the potential impact of new technologies, evolving regulatory landscapes, and the ongoing dialogue around the ethical use of AI in the financial industry. By covering these areas, the study aims to equip readers with a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted nature of privacy protection and compliance in the context of AI in finance, enabling them to make informed decisions and implement responsible AI strategies.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Current Regulatory Landscape

2.1.1 Global Regulations

At the global level, regulations such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) have set a precedent for stringent data protection standards. The GDPR mandates that organizations must obtain explicit consent from individuals before collecting and processing their personal data, and it emphasizes the rights of data subjects, including the right to access, rectify, and erase their data. It also imposes strict rules on data controllers and processors regarding data breach notifications and data protection officers [10].

Beyond Europe, other jurisdictions have enacted or are in the process of developing similar comprehensive privacy laws. For instance, the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) in the United States grants consumers rights over their personal information, including the right to know what personal information

is collected and the right to opt-out of the sale of personal information. The CCPA is a significant step towards providing consumers with more control over their data in the digital age.

Additionally, international standards such as the ISO/IEC 27001 for information security management systems provide a framework for organizations to ensure the security of personal data. These standards are not specific to AI but are critical in establishing a secure environment for AI applications that handle sensitive information. The global regulatory landscape is also characterized by a growing recognition of the need for international cooperation in AI governance. With the cross-border nature of data flows and the global reach of financial services, harmonizing regulations across jurisdictions is essential to prevent regulatory arbitrage and ensure consistent privacy protections for consumers worldwide. The global regulatory environment for AI in finance is marked by a push towards greater transparency, accountability, and consumer empowerment. It demands that financial institutions navigate a multifaceted set of rules designed to balance the benefits of AI with the protection of individual privacy rights.

2.1.2 Industry-Specific Regulations

Diving deeper into the regulatory landscape, industry-specific regulations play a pivotal role in shaping the privacy and compliance practices within the financial sector. These regulations are tailored to address the unique risks and challenges inherent to financial transactions and services, where the stakes are high, and the potential impact of noncompliance can be far-reaching [15].

One of the most notable industry-specific regulations is the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS), which focuses on the security of credit, debit, and cash card transactions and protection of cardholder data. PCI DSS sets a global standard for security and includes requirements for the secure handling, storage, and transmission of sensitive cardholder information, making it essential for any entity that accepts card payment [11]. Another critical regulation is the Basel III framework, which, while primarily aimed at enhancing the banking industry's resilience, also emphasizes the need for effective risk data aggregation and risk management practices, which inherently involve the secure and compliant use of AI and data analytics.

In the realm of anti-money laundering (AML) and combating the financing of terrorism (CFT), regulations such as the Fifth Anti-Money Laundering

Directive (5AMLD) in the EU and the Bank Secrecy Act in the US impose stringent requirements on financial institutions to monitor and report suspicious activities. These regulations necessitate the use of advanced AI-driven monitoring systems to detect and prevent illicit financial flows, while also ensuring compliance with data protection laws. Furthermore, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in the US has specific regulations and guidelines for investment advisors and broker-dealers, including the use of AI in investment analysis and advice. These regulations require transparency in the use of AI algorithms and the maintenance of a robust compliance program to oversee AI applications [12].

The regulatory landscape is further complicated by the emergence of new financial technologies such as blockchain and cryptocurrencies, which are subject to their own sets of regulations, including those from the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) that focus on the prevention of money laundering and terrorist financing through digital assets. In essence, industry-specific regulations in finance are designed to create a secure, transparent, and fair environment for consumers and institutions alike. They demand a high level of diligence from financial institutions in the deployment of AI, ensuring that these technologies not only meet the industry's operational needs but also uphold the highest standards of privacy, security, and ethical conduct [16].

2.1.3 AI-Specific Compliance

As AI becomes increasingly integral to the financial industry, the need for AI-specific compliance measures is growing in tandem [17]. These measures are designed to address the unique challenges that AI systems present, such as the complexity of algorithms, the use of large datasets, and the automation of decision-making processes. One of the foremost concerns in AI compliance is transparency. A significant challenge related to transparency is the "black box" nature of some AI models, such as deep learning. This makes the decision-making process difficult to understand and interpret, which can lead to public distrust of AI decision-making. Improving transparency requires exploring how to improve the explainability of AI decision-making [25]. And financial institutions must ensure that the AI systems they deploy are transparent in their operations, allowing for the tracing of decisions back to the data and algorithms that informed them. This is crucial for regulatory audits and for maintaining the trust of consumers and stakeholders [18].

Algorithmic accountability is another key aspect of AI-specific compliance. It involves the development of processes to assess and mitigate potential biases in AI systems, which can inadvertently perpetuate or amplify existing inequalities in financial services. Ensuring that AI systems are fair and unbiased is essential to avoid discriminatory practices in areas such as lending, insurance underwriting, and investment advice. Data governance is a foundational element of AI compliance [15], [17]. Financial institutions must establish robust data governance frameworks that define how data is collected, stored, processed, and shared within AI systems. This includes ensuring data quality, managing data access permissions, and implementing data lifecycle management practices. Accountability mechanisms are necessary because when AI systems make wrong or harmful decisions, determining responsibility is complex. If an AI system mistakenly rejects a loan application, it must be decided whether the AI system is to blame, or the people who designed and used the system. To address this, policy development should establish a mechanism where victims can seek compensation when the AI causes harm [25].

Moreover, AI systems must be designed with privacy by design principles, incorporating privacy protections from the outset of the system's development. This includes the use of techniques such as data anonymization and pseudonymization to protect the identities of individuals within datasets. Regulatory bodies are also beginning to recognize the need for AI-specific regulations [16], [18]. For example, the European Commission's proposed Artificial Intelligence Act outlines a regulatory framework for AI systems that includes risk-based management and categorization of AI systems based on their potential impact and risk to fundamental rights [7], [8]. Compliance with AI-specific regulations also involves continuous monitoring and assessment of AI systems to ensure they remain compliant as they evolve and as the regulatory landscape changes. This includes regular third-party audits and the development of internal compliance teams that specialize in AI ethics and governance [20], [21].

In summary, AI-specific compliance in the financial industry is an evolving field that requires a proactive and adaptive approach. It demands a deep understanding of both the technical and ethical dimensions of AI, as well as a commitment to upholding the highest standards of transparency, accountability, and privacy protection.

3. Challenges in AI Privacy Protection

3.1 Data Collection and Usage

One of the primary challenges in AI privacy protection is the collection and usage of data. AI systems often require vast amounts of data to function effectively, which can raise concerns about the potential invasion of privacy. The indiscriminate collection of personal data without clear consent can lead to privacy breaches and a loss of trust among users [12]. Moreover, the use of data for purposes beyond what was initially consented to can further exacerbate these concerns. Ensuring that data is collected ethically, with clear purposes, and used within the bounds of consent is crucial. Additionally, the application of data minimization principles to collect only the data necessary for specific AI processes is a key strategy to mitigate privacy risks [13].

3.2 Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination

Algorithmic bias presents a significant challenge in AI privacy protection, as it can lead to discrimination and unfair treatment of certain groups. AI systems are trained on datasets that may contain inherent biases, which can then be amplified and perpetuated through automated decision-making processes. This can result in discriminatory outcomes in areas such as credit scoring, hiring decisions, and predictive policing. Detecting and mitigating algorithmic bias requires a concerted effort to diversify training data, develop fair machine learning algorithms, and implement regular audits to assess the fairness of AI systems [19]. For instance, if an AI model is trained on historical loan application data where applications for certain groups (such as minorities or low-income people) have been unjustly rejected, the AI model may learn and replicate this bias. This can result in certain groups being unjustly rejected when applying for loans, potentially exacerbating social inequality. Detecting and mitigating this requires concerted effort to diversify training data and develop fair machine learning algorithms [25], [26].

3.3 Security of AI Systems

The security of AI systems is another critical challenge in the realm of privacy protection. As AI systems become more sophisticated, they also become more attractive targets for malicious actors [16], [17]. Cybersecurity threats such as data breaches, adversarial attacks, and model theft can compromise the integrity and privacy of AI systems [17], [20], [21]. Ensuring the security of AI systems involves implementing robust cybersecurity measures, including encryption,

secure access controls, and continuous monitoring for potential threats [18], [19], [22], [24]. Additionally, it requires the development of AI systems that are resilient to attacks and can maintain privacy even in the face of adversarial challenges [22], [23], [24].

3.4 AI Employment Impact

The widespread adoption of AI brings challenges related to employment and social equity. AI could lead to the disappearance of jobs, especially those with low skills roles in the financial industry that can be automated. To address these issues, policy needs to be developed and implemented to help affected workers adapt. Examples of necessary measures include providing training and education to help workers acquire new skills and knowledge, and offering social security support during the transition period. The formulation of such policies must consider economic conditions, educational resources, and social equity. [24], [25].

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the integration of artificial intelligence into the financial industry brings a host of challenges and opportunities concerning privacy protection and regulatory compliance. The dynamic regulatory landscape, with its global, regional, and industry-specific regulations, demands a proactive and adaptive approach from financial institutions. Transparency, algorithmic accountability, data governance, and the security of AI systems are paramount to ensuring ethical AI practices that respect consumer privacy and uphold regulatory standards. Addressing challenges such as data collection and usage, algorithmic bias, and system security is essential to build trust and foster responsible innovation in the financial sector. As AI continues to evolve, so too must the strategies and frameworks that govern its use, ensuring that the benefits of AI are realized without compromising on privacy and compliance.

4.1 Declaration

Author Contributions: AK: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Introduction, Method, Editing, Visualization; NMG: Conceptualization, Writing-Discussions, Editing; OYAO: Writing, Empirical; Discussions. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data Availability: Not applicable

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgement: Not applicable.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate: Not applicable

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable

Consent to Publish: Not applicable

Availability of Data and Materials: Not applicable

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares that there is no competing interest.

Clinical Trial Number: Not applicable.

5. References

- Ahmad, H., Gulzar, M., & Majid, A. (2024). AI-based anomaly identification techniques for vehicles communication protocol systems: Comprehensive investigation, research opportunities and challenges. *Internet of Things*, 27. Doi:10.1016/j.iot.2024.101245.
- Xu, Y., Xu, G., & Liu, Y. (2024). A survey of the fusion of traditional data security technology and blockchain. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 252. Doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124151.
- Meng, Y., Ma, G., & Ye, Y. (2024). Design of P-2-P trading mechanism for multi-energy prosumers based on generalized nash bargaining in GCT-CET market. *Applied Energy*, 37.
- Sayyed, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence and Criminal Liability in India: Exploring legal implications and challenges. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(01). Doi:10.1080/23311886.2024.2343195.
- Adetayo, A. O., Asifat, J. T., Familusi, O. B., & Folorunso, S. S. (2024). Critical Analysis of Research Methods and Misperceptions of Statistical Analysis. *International Journal of Innovation in Management Economics and Social Sciences*, 4(3), 29-50. <https://doi.org/10.59615/ijimes.4.3.29>
- Olaniyi, A. A., & Tayo-Ladega, O. (2024). Critical Analysis of Phenomenological Research Design in a Qualitative Research Method. *Management Analytics and Social Insights*, 1(2), 186-196. <https://doi.org/10.22105/ad338t15>
- Kesavan, V., Thiruppathy, D., & Gopi, R. (2024). A decentralized framework for enhancing security in power systems through blockchain technology and trading system. *Energy Sources Part A-Recovery Utilization And Environmental Effects*, 46(01):3454-3475.
- Rukadikar, A., & Khandelwal, K. (2024). Navigating change: a qualitative exploration of chatbot adoption in recruitment. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(01).
- Babar, M., Qureshi, B., & Koubaa, A. (2024). Review on Federated Learning for digital transformation in healthcare through big data analytics. *Future Generation Computer Systems-The International Journal of E-Science*, 160: 14-28. Doi:10.1016/j.future.2024.05.046.
- Du, J., Li, W., Liu, P. (2024). Federated learning using model projection for multi-center disease diagnosis with non-IID data. *Neural Network*, 178. Doi:10.1016/j.neunet.2024.106409.
- Goncalves, M., Hu, Y., & Aliagas, I. (2024). Neuromarketing algorithms' consumer privacy and ethical considerations: challenges and opportunities. *Cogent Business & Management*, 11(01).
- Trzaskowski, J. (2024). Manipulation by design. *Electronic Markets*, 34(01). Doi:10.1007/s12525-024-00699-y.
- Abbas, A., Ewa, van, V., & Thomas, O. (2024). Beyond control over data: Conceptualizing data sovereignty from a social contract perspective. *Electronic Markets*, 34(01).
- Adetayo, A. O., & Tayo-Ladega, O. T. (2024). Understanding Literature Review as a Research Method. *American International Journal of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences*, 6(1), 26-35. <https://doi.org/10.46545/aijhass.v6i1.333>
- Olaniyi, A. O., Folorunso, S. S., Familusi, O. B., & Mbachu, O. V. (2024). Adoption of Fourth Industrial Revolution Technologies in the Construction Sector: Evidence from Previous Studies. *International Journal of Innovation in Engineering*, 4(3), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.59615/ijie.4.3.1>
- Ayomide, A. A., Olaniyi, A. A., Familusi, O. B. and Adedayo, O. (2024). The Outlook of Cyber Security in African Businesses: Issues and Way-out. *Management Analytics and Social Insights*, 1 (2), 260-271.
- Makeri, Y. A., Cirella, G. T., Galas, F. J., Jada, H. M., & Adeniran, A. O. (2021). Network Performance through Virtual Local Area Network (VLAN) Implementation and Enforcement on Network Security for Enterprise. *International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications*, 12(06), 4750-4762.
- Olaniyi, A. A., & Obembe, O. E. (2020). The Significance of Strategic Management Accounting on the Performance of Transport Businesses in Nigeria. *Insights into Regional Development*, 2(3), 677-688. [https://doi.org/10.9770/IRD.2020.2.3\(5\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/IRD.2020.2.3(5)).
- Olaniyi, A. A., Jada, H. M., and Mohammed, N. H. (2020). Impact of Information Technology on Strategic Management in the Banking Sector of Iraq. *Insights into Regional Development*, 2(2), 592-601. [https://doi.org/10.9770/IRD.2020.2.2\(7\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/IRD.2020.2.2(7))

20. Adetayo, A. O., Onuajah, S. I., Adedayo, A. A., & Ogunmola, M. A. (2024). Implementing cloud centric IOT transformations: merits and demerits. *Systemic Analytics*, 2 (2), 174-187.
21. Olaniyi, A. A., Sidiq, O. B., Adeniran, A. A., & Oyeniran, G. B. (2024). Sustainability Impact of Digital Transformation in E-Commerce Logistics. *International Journal of Innovation in Marketing Elements*, 4(1), 1-18.
22. Adetayo, A. O., Awe, G. T., Olagunju, K. Gbadegesin, A. A., & Omigbodun, O. T. (2025). Significance of Advanced Transportation Management Systems in the Distribution of Pharmaceutical Products. *Innovations*, 81, 1564-1587
23. Olaniyi, A. A., & Awe, G. T. (2025). Strategic Analysis of Tata Motors in the United Kingdom: Recommendations for Nigeria Market. *Management Analytics and Social Insights*, 2(2), 86-94. <https://doi.org/10.22105/masi.v2i2.67>
24. Adetayo, A. O., & Olorunfemi, S.O. (2020). The Essence of Knowledge Management in the Air Transportation Sector. *Int. J. Hum. Capital Urban Manage.*, 5(2): 175-186.
25. Yi Han, Jinhao Chen, Meitao Dou, Jiahong Wang, Kangxiao Feng (2023). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Financial Services Industry. *Academic Journal of Management and Social Sciences*. ISSN: 2958-4396 | Vol. 2, No. 3, 2023
26. MAO Yu, QIU Shiyu, ZHAN Kaimin, CHEN Yuanyin, LIU Kejia, SONG Min Legal regulation of algorithmic price discrimination in the era of big data[J]. *Economist*, 2022(12):42-45+49.