

Yeyen Yuliawati¹, Hafizah Che Hassan², Regidor-111 Dioso^{*3}

¹Department of Radiography, Nusantara College, Indonesia. ²Faculty of Nursing, Dean, Lincoln University, Malaysia. ³Faculty of Nursing, Lincoln University, Malaysia. *duke@lincoln.edu.my*

*Corresponding Author: Regidor-111 Dioso, Faculty of Nursing, Lincoln University, Malaysia.

Abstract

This study identified the relationship between qualities of hospital service with clients' satisfaction. The study was conducted in April to June 2017 using a quantitative descriptive-correlational research design. Of the 100 respondents, the hospital service was said to be in good (36.7%) and very good (63.3%) quality with a p value of 0.037 ($\alpha 0.05$). There was a significant relationship between quality service and patient satisfaction (OR 2.591). The 5 dimensions of client service such as reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and appearance were significant (p < 0.05) and was correlated with quality of hospital services (r=0.973).

Keywords: Client satisfaction; Hospital management; Indonesia, Nursing.

INTRODUCTION

Background of the Study

The emergence of competition between hospitals in terms of medical support services is increasingly tight and sharp (Akbar and Parvez, 2009; Ming et al, 2010). Each hospital is required to enhance competitiveness by trying to provide satisfaction in terms of service to all patients (Zairi, 2000). The overall patient satisfaction with health care services is determined to a great extent by the length of time spent with their healthcare provider (Tjiptono, 2005). In addition, the demands and expectations of the community on the quality, comfort, and ease of health services are also increasing (Paramita, 2000).

There are two quality service concepts, namely quality of technical service, and quality of the art of care (Supranto, 1997). For hospitals, quality health care is very important as an effort to keep competing as a health service of choice for the community (Zairi, 2000). By providing quality health services, hospitals can increase income and patient visits (Saragih, 2009). This is because the quality of good service will provide satisfaction to the clients.

Statement of the Problem

The development of existing hospitals in Indonesia, does not conduct a positive competition in order to survive and win the market in the field of health services industry (Kotler 1997/2008). Provisions of quality services to inpatient and outpatient are not addressed formally (Praptiwi, 2009). Both in terms of patient waiting time, communication, and services is also a problem (Pohan, 2007).

Assessment of the dimensions of quality of health services can be reviewed from health service providers, funders, and users of health services (Zairi, 2000). However, the assessment of the quality of health services should be guided by the basic nature of the provisions of health services that is to meet the needs of service users (patients), which is not done in Indonesia (Tjiptono, 2005; Taviyanda, 2010; Sugiyono, 2013; Saragih, 2009).

Aim of the Study

On account of these problems, this study is to identify the relationship between qualities of hospital services with clients' satisfaction.

Open Access Journal of Nursing V1. I1. 2018

Significance of Study

This study is significant to improve the quality of services in hospital settings. It is also significant to increase knowledge among hospital leaders and managers to align the quality of hospital services in Indonesia.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The study was conducted in April to June 2017. We used a quantitative descriptive-correlational research design. The purpose is to detect the extent to which variations in a factor relate to one or more other factors based on their coefficients (Rencher, 2002).

Setting of the Study

The study took place at MH Thamrin Hospital, Narogong Highway 5 kilometers from Jakarta. The hospital is at Salemba Tengah street RT.1/RW.4, Paseban, Senen. In MH Thamrin Hospital the average patient visits 40-50 people per day, so for 1 month the number reaches an average of 1200-1500 people. The total bed capacity of this hospital is 1,000+.

Ethical Consideration

This study was conducted after approval from Head of House (Director) at MH Thamrin hospital. An explanation to the respondents about the research objectives and procedures were done. Confidentiality of records concerning respondent data is maintained. The data obtained from the respondents are also only used for research purposes. Ethical approval finally was obtained from Lincoln University Research Management Center for academic recommendations.

Participants

Sample

Because of the limited time in this study the researchers took only 10% of the total bed patient per day (n=100). In determining the size of the sample the formula by Krejcie & Morgan (1970) was used

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2}$$

Description:

n : number of samples

N : Number of Populations

e : level of error 5% (0,05)

The sample in this study is:

$$n = \frac{130}{1+130(0.05)^2}$$

$$n = \frac{130}{1.32}$$

n = 100 patient

Sampling Technique

Simple random sampling technique was used where the samples are patients confined in the hospital. The inclusion criteria of sample selection in the study were as follows:

- 1. Patients who were confined
- 2. Can communicate well
- 3. Adults

While the exclusion criteria in the sample selection of this study are:

1. Patients with severe illness who are not medically subject to interference or cannot be interviewed.

2. Ages 18 years old and below.

Data Collection

The research instrument used was a questionnaire. The questionnaire was adopted from various researches and edited. In order to test the temporary validity and determine the consistency level of the questions, reliability test was done (Sawyer, 2010; Polit & Beck, 2015). The questionnaire consists of two parts:

- Patient's perception on quality service. This data relates to the level of patient satisfaction on the services provided. Patient satisfaction is assessed by using four categories of measurement: (1) Very Bad, (2) Not Good, (3) Good, and (4) Very Good categories. Questionnaires are divided into 5 dimensions: reliability, responsiveness, confidence, attention, and appearance. The questionnaire is reliable when Cronbach's Alpha is >0.7 (Polit & Beck, 2015; Johnsone & dan Wichern, 2007).
- Patients' satisfaction. This data was collected to find out how satisfied the patient felt after receiving services in the hospital. Patient satisfaction analysis was assessed using four categories of measurement: (1) Highly Unsatisfied, (2) Unsatisfied, (3) Satisfied, and (4) Very Satisfied categories.

Open Access Journal of Nursing V1. I1. 2018

Data Analysis

Both data in Microsoft Excel was tested using bivariate correlation analysis, and simple linear regression to determine whether or not the influence of quality service on patient was satisfactory. Other descriptive data were analyzed using SPSS version 21.

Test Validity

To determine the validity of the questionnaire as a research instrument, correlation between scores of each variable with the total scores were calculated (Johnsone & dan Wichern, 2007). Variables considered valid if the variable score correlated significantly with the total score (Rencher, 2002).

$$r_{XY} = \frac{N \sum XY - (\sum X)(\sum Y)}{\sqrt{\{N \sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2\}\{N \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2\}}}$$

Information :

r = Product Moment Correlation

X = Score Statement

Y = Total Score entire statement

XY = Score statement multiplied by the total score

N = Number of respondents pretest

Criterion validity of a question can be determined if:

a. r count> r table, then the questions on the questionnaire was valid.

b. count r <r table, then the questions on the questionnaire was not valid.

Test Reliability

Once the instrument was said to be valid, then the instrument should be said to be reliable that was, if the instrument was used to measure patient satisfaction, all respondents that were the same will then generate the same data. The reliability was used to calculate alpha formula (Sawyer, 2010; Rencher, 2002).

$$r_{11} = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\sum \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_t^2}\right)$$

Information :

r11 = reliability was sought

 $\Sigma \sigma 12$ = total variance score for each item

 $\sigma t2 = total variance$

The instrument was declared reliable if it has a value of coefficient alpha of ≥ 0.7 as stability of the alpha (Polit & Beck, 2015). This was interpreted in the following: 0.00 to 0.20 less reliable, having value 0.21 to 0.40 rather reliable, the value 0.41 to 0.70 quite reliable, while the value 0.71 to 0.90 reliable, and finally the value 0.91 to 1.00 is very reliable.

Univariate Analysis

Univariate analysis aimed to explain or describe the characteristics of each variable studied (Sawyer, 2010; Rencher, 2002; Polit & Beck, 2015). This data was collected through the questionnaire conducted on n=100 respondents. This Univariate data consisted of demographic data of respondents consisting of gender, age, nationality, location of respondent, work. The independent variables are responsiveness, empathy, reliability, tangibility and radiology service quality as dependent variable. Univariate analysis is shown in percent statistic size depicted by pie chart.

Bivariate Analysis

Bivariate analysis is done to see the relationship between free variable and dependent variable (Johnson & dan Wichern, 2007). The statistic test used is chi-square. The degree of confidence used is 95% (α = 0.05). If P-value is less than α (p < 0.05) it means that there is significant (significant) relation of both variables studied. If P-value is greater than α (p > 0.05) it means there is no significant relationship between the two variables studied. To perform correlation test, we must obey 5 criteria (Kim, 2013):

- Data must be in paired
- Quantitative data
- Normally distributed data
- Two variables data must be linear
- Two variables data must be homoscedastic.

If the normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity are not violated, Chi-square is used (Kim, 2013). Chisquare test for independence or relatedness will help determine the relationship between two categorical data.

Multivariate Analysis

Multivariate analysis used in this research is linear regression. Linear regression analysis with more than one response variable (Y) correlated and one or

more predictor variables (X) (Johnson & dan Wichern, 2007). Passing the normality test may help correlate the 5 dimensions of service with client satisfaction (Kim, 2013).

between variables of a quantitative data which have accumulated in analysis by using correlation, and to identify whether there was an influence between quality services to patient satisfactions. The process of data analysis is summarized in table 1.

Analyzing data is to identify the existence of relation

Table 1. Distribution Data Analysis

Research Question	Hypothesis	Questions asked	Analysis
What is the level of client satisfaction with the services		Do you think the hospital provide services according to a predetermined schedule? How satisfied are you with the cleanliness and tidiness Is the willingness of the clients' questions evident? Do you think MH. Thamrin Hospital has information boards explaining services?	Percentage P Value < 0.005 Chi-Square (n=100) Odds Ratio
What is the quality of services	There is a relationship between quality of services and clients' satisfaction	Do you think it is important to explain the services provided? Did your visitor/family experienced comfort at Hospital? Do you think it is important to provide fast service?	P Value < 0.005 Pearson Product Moment Simple Linear Regression
What is a relationship between quality of radiology services and clients satisfaction	at is a tionship ween quality adiology rices clients	Do you think the accuracy of services is good since the beginning of admission? Do you think that the hospital is capable of disseminating clear information with clients? Immediately after your examination, how comfortable are you with the hospital. MH. Thamrin? Do you think that the hospital is capable of disseminating clear information with clients?	Correlation/R Multiple Linear Regression ANOVA

FINDINGS

Research Results

The patient satisfaction on radiology service was seen from 5 dimensions: (1) Tangibility, (2) Empathy, (3) Reliability, (4) Responsiveness, and (5) Assurance as quality service provided by the hospital.

Research has been conducted on n=100 respondents.

Demographic Data

The demographic data on table 2 is an overview of respondents who became the subjects for this study.

	Caracteristic	Frequency	Percent
Gender	Male	40	40 %
	Female	60	60 %
	Total	100	100 %
Age	20-29	15	15 %
	30-39	25	25 %
	40-49	45	45 %
	50-59	10	10 %
	60-69	5	5 %
	Total	100	100 %
Nationality	Local of birth	100	100 %
	Total	100	100 %
Location	Within 9 kilometers radius	50	50 %
	Outside 9 kilometers radius	50	50 %
	Total	100	100 %
Occupation	Free lancer	10	10 %
	Part-time / full time equivalent	60	60 %
	Retired	5	5 %
	Self-employed	25	25 %
	Total	100	100 %

Presentation of the 5 Dimensions

Of the n=100 respondents, 47 people stated that they disagreed and felt less satisfied (46%), whereas respondents who agreed with the responsiveness dimension and felt satisfied were as much as 53%. Patient satisfaction is viewed from the empathy dimension were n=5 agreed and n=95 disagreed. For the dimension tangibility, the respondents both

Open Access Journal of Nursing V1.I1.2018

agreed (n=46) and disagreed (n=54). As for the dimensions of reliability n=30 disagreed and n=70 agreed. For the assurance dimension n=29 disagreed and n=71 agreed. Distributions of the 5 dimensions of satisfaction are highlighted on table 3.

Table 3. The 5 Dimensions	of Patient Satisfactions
---------------------------	--------------------------

	Client Sat	Client Satisfaction		
Parameters	Not Satisfied	Satisfied	Total	
Dissagree	34	13	47	
	72.3 %	27.7 %	100 %	
Agree	12	41	53	
	22.6 %	77.4 %	100 %	
Total	46	54	100	
	46.0 %	54.0 %	100%	
Dissagree	5	0	5	
	100 %	0 %	100 %	
Agree	41	54	95	
	43.2 %	56.8 %	100 %	
Total	46	54	100	
	46.0 %	54.0 %	100 %	
Dissagree	32	14	46	
	69.6 %	30.4 %	100 %	
Agree	14	40	54	
	25.9 %	74.1 %	100 %	
Total	46	54	100	
	46.0 %	54.0 %	100 %	
Dissagree	19	11	30	
	63.3 %	36.7 %	100 %	
Agree	27	43	70	
	38.6 %	61.4 %	100 %	
Total	46	54	100	
	46 %	54 %	100 %	
Dissagree	20	9	29	
	69.0 %	31.0 %	100 %	
Agree	26	45	71	
	36.6 %	63.4 %	100 %	
Total	46	54	100	
	46 %	54 %	100 %	
	Dissagree Agree Total Dissagree Agree Total Dissagree Agree Total Dissagree Agree Agree Agree Agree	ParametersNot SatisfiedDissagree34 72.3 %Agree12 22.6 %Total46 46.0 %Dissagree5 100 %Agree41 43.2 %Total46 46.0 %Total32 69.6 %Agree14 25.9 %Total46 46.0 %Dissagree19 63.3 %Dissagree27 38.6 %Total46 46.0 %Dissagree20 69.0 %Agree20 69.0 %Agree26 36.6 %Agree26 36.6 %	ParametersNot SatisfiedSatisfiedDissagree34 72.3 %13 27.7 %Dissagree12 22.6 %41 77.4 %Agree46 46.0 %54 54.0 %Total46 46.0 %54 0 %Dissagree5 100 %0 6%Dissagree41 43.2 %56.8 %Total46 46.0 %54 54.0 %Agree32 69.6 %14 69.6 %Dissagree32 69.6 %14 69.6 %Dissagree14 69.6 %54 64.0 %Total46 69.6 %54 64.0 %Dissagree19 63.3 %11 63.3 %Dissagree27 38.6 %43 61.4 %Dissagree27 43 38.6 %54 61.4 %Dissagree20 69.0 %9 69.0 %Dissagree20 69.0 %9 61.0 %Dissagree26 69.0 %45 63.4 %Agree26 69.0 %45 63.4 %Agree26 69.0 %45 63.4 %	

Distribution of quality service

The quality of radiology service at the said hospital is good (40%), and very good (60%). The distribution of respondents' answers to the quality of service is found on table 4.

Score	Criteria	Sum	Percentage	Total	
3.00 - 5.25	Very	0	0 %		
5.00 - 5.25	Not Good	0	0 %0		
5.26 - 7.50	Not Good	0	0 %	100%	
7.51 – 9.75	Good	20	40 %		
9.76 - 12.00	Very Good	80	60 %		

Table 4. Distribution of quality service

Relation of Quality Service to Patients' Satisfaction Level

Table 5. Distribution of respondents based on qualityof service with level of Satisfaction

Service Quality	Level of Satisfaction				Tatal				
	Not Sati	sfied	Sati	sfied	Total			OR (95% C.I.)	
	N	%	n	%	n	%			
Good	24	60	16	40	40	100	0.037		2.591
Very Good	22	36.7	38	63.3	60	100		(1.139 – 5.895)	

From Table 5, it can be explained that the group of patients who are not satisfied with the service states that they have received good service quality (60%) and very good (36.7%), while in the group of patients who are satisfied with the service states that they have been getting good (36.7%) and very good (63.3%), quality service (p=0.037 < α 0.05). There is a significant relation between quality service and patient satisfaction level (OR 2.591).

Analysis of Variables

There is a correlation between patient satisfaction and quality service. Table 6 shows the relationship between quality services to patient satisfaction level of 0.983 with a significance value of 0.000. This means better service was given hence patient satisfaction is identified.

Table 6. Correlation between patient satisfaction andquality service

N	R	Sig.
100	0.983	0.000

Based on table 7, it is found that there is a significant influence of each quality dimension (reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and tangibility) to the satisfaction of the patient in terms of the quality aspect. The significant value of each dimension is 0.000 (p < 0.05)

Table 7. Analysis between the dimensions of patientsatisfaction

Dimension	Constant	В	Sig.
Reliability	23.405	1.973	0.000
Responsiveness	20.626	2.303	0.000
Assurance	30.438	1.736	0.000
Emphaty	26.094	2.412	0.000
Tangible	25.928	1.392	0.000

Each dimension has a positive and significant influence on quality service. Given this meaningful influence, all of these dimensions can be incorporated into multiple linear regression tests that were used to identify relationship of quality services to patient satisfaction.

Table 8 shows the significance of the dimensions of reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and appearance (tangibility) with a significant value of 0.00 (p <0.05). All five dimensions together have a significant influence on the quality of service given (r=0.973). It can also be explained that the value of the 5 dimensions (r=2.745) are constant.

Table 8. Analysis between the qualities of service to thelevel of satisfaction

Dimension	Constanta	В	Adjusted	R	Sig.
			R Square		
Reliability	2.745	0.874	0.973	0.983	0.000
Responsive	ness	1.248		0.000	
Assurance		0.847		0.000	
Emphaty		0.838		0.000	
Tangible		0.839		0.000	

DISCUSSIONS OF FINDINGS

Strengths, Weaknesses, and Limitations

The strength of this study is its design. Using mathematical expressions, we were able to correlate patient satisfaction and quality of services provided.

Furthermore, there are various aspects of this research that were beyond the control in the research process because of limited exploration. The lived experiences were not reported thereby narrowing the results to mathematical expressions.

The respondents were required to recall all incidents of quality service and patient satisfaction. It is

therefore highly possible that the participants may have forgotten all the incidents. These were few challenges especially in reporting the findings. It was also emphasized to respondents to answer the questions truthfully to avoid biases. That is why it is important to discuss the factors affecting quality service to their satisfaction.

The generalization of the findings from this research may only be limited to the specific hospital where this study was conducted. However, should it be used worldwide, caution is advised. The culture and norms of the respondents have a correlation with the findings and results that is further discussed.

Patient Satisfaction

The questionnaire was done randomly to adult Indonesian respondents at MH Thamrin hospital. Patient satisfaction among locals of Jakarta is strongly influenced by perceptions of Indonesian hospital service (Hufron & Supratman. 2008). This means biases were encountered on a few respondents who disagreed.

In contrast to product marketing among Indonesians, in the development of marketing strategies, hospital services should pay more attention to the limits of cultures (Cavanaugh, 1997; Akbar & Parvez, 2009). Word of mouth stories from both satisfied and unsatisfied adult customers can affect the service provided and seize new market segments (Hufron & Supratman. 2008; Krowinsky & Steiber, 1996).

Of the n=100 respondents, as many as n=54 were not satisfied and only n=46 were. The hospital provides services according to client needs with very clearly related to client diagnosis (Leebov & Scott, 1994).

There are several reasons why the Indonesian level of satisfaction can be different: (1) patients do not have a clear picture of their expectations on services they want. This is because their experience in their services is minimal or nonexistent to patients. In terms of physician service aspects, the services do not allow for interaction between physicians and patients and this occurs in all forms of service providers. (2) Most Indonesian patients are not given the freedom to choose a service (Paramita, 2000; Praptiwi, 2009). The choice is generally made by the insurer or the doctor (Hufron & Supratman. 2008). However, some local Jakarta respondents were truthful to say that the feeling of comfort generated by good means of infrastructure (Ming et al, 2010) was not met. Comfort should, among others, be supported by cool and comfortable room temperature, clean room conditions including toilets and sufficient seating availability as well as other facilities that support so patients do not feel bored when waiting (Kotler, 1997).

That is why hospital staff must use two-way communication methods to make patients feel comfortable (Kotler & Armstrong, 2008) if infrastructure is temporarily inconvenient. Good communication also helps patients reduce anxiety while waiting for service results so as to decrease complaints of waiting time (Alma, 2003; Hufron & Supratman. 2008). Good interaction will create comfort while waiting (Hufron & Supratman. 2008). This sense of comfort will make patients feel appreciated, cared for and can reduce anxiety (Azwar, 1996) that leads to quality of service.

Quality of Service

The Indonesian respondents who stated "good" to the quality of services has a perception that the hospital is able to provide services as promised, such as emergency room that is ready in a fast paced environment for 24 hours; hospital employees willing to help patients who have difficulty; and hospital employees providing patient care with courtesy and professionalism. Also, it means that all hospital employees are well-groomed, have extensive knowledge of the systems, such as the location of the room(s), and the diagnosis of the patients (Akbar, 2009; Azwar, 1996; Pohan, 2007). Thus leads to the relationship of patient satisfaction with quality of service

Relationship of patient satisfaction with quality of service

The result of statistical test with Chi-Square analysis is appropriate among n=100 respondents also passing the normality tests. This means that the findings of a relationship were reliable.

The dimension that has the lowest influence is the empathy. These results indicated that clients feel the quality of service but are still lacking with empathy towards how they feel (Pohan, 2007).

The most dominant domain is responsiveness. The responsiveness dimension such as quick response

and alertness in providing services have been well implemented (Saragih, 2009). There is an influence between the responsiveness of officers with the realization of the quality of health services where the officers who have high responsiveness will increase patient satisfaction on services provided (Sugiyono, 2013). Therefore, Indonesian responsiveness in a hospital setting has been very good, where the health workers have been quick to response to serve the needs of the patients.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

In Indonesia patients were satisfied with the hospital service having good (36.7%) and very good (63.3%) quality of service with a p value of 0.037 (< α 0.05). There is a significant relationship between quality of service and patient satisfaction (OR 2.591).

The 5 dimensions such as reliability (reliability), responsiveness, assurance, empathy, and appearance (tangibility) are significant (p < 0.05) and is correlated with the quality of hospital service (r=0.973). Empathy is the dimension that has the lowest influence on service quality.

Recommendations

Quality of service provided in Indonesia, should be maintained and if necessary more improved in order to improve patient satisfaction. The better the services provided, the more satisfied the patient feels.

Hospitals are expected to improve the quality of health services. Quality of service and patient satisfaction are related.

It is necessary to conduct further research on the quality of health services provided by communities with *Kabupaten* (lower-grade) locations and district public hospitals such as clinics or puskesmas.

References

- Akbar, M.M., and Parvez, N. 2009. Impact of Services Quality, Trust, andCustomer Satisfaction on Customer Loyalty, ABAC Journal, Vol. 29, No. 1,pp. 24-38.
- [2] Alma, B. 2003. *Manajemen Pemasarandan PemasaranJasa*, Alfabeta, Bandung.
- [3] Azwar, A. 1996. Menjaga Mutu Pelayanan Kesehatan, Pustaka Sinar Harapan, Jakarta.

- [4] Cavanaugh, J.E., 1997.Unifying the Derivations for the Akaike and Corrected Akaike Information Criteria. Department of Statistics, University of Missouri, Columbia. http://myweb.uiowa.edu/. Diaksespadatanggal 15 Juni 2014.
- [5] Hufron, A. & Supratman. 2008. Analisis hubungan persepsi pasient en tangmutu pelayanan kesehatan dengan tingkat mu tuke puasan pasien di puskesmas Penumping kota Surakarta", Berita Ilmu Keperawatan ISSN, Vol. 1, No.3.
- [6] Johnson, R.A. & dan Wichern, D., 2007. Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis, Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- [7] Kim, H.Y. (2013) 'Statistical notes for clinical researchers: assessing normal distribution
 (2) using skewness and kurtosis'. *Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics*, 38(1), pp.52-54.
 [Online] Available at: https://doi.org/10.5395/rde.2013.38.1.52 (Accessed: 1 June 2018).
- [8] Kotler, P. (1997). Manajemen Pemasaran: Analisis, Perencanaan, Implementasi, dan Kontrol, Edisi Bahasa Indonesia Jilid 2, PT. Prehallindo, Jakarta.
- [9] Kotler, P., & Armstrong, G. 2008. Prinsip-prinsip Pemasaran, Edisi Ke-12 Jilid1, Penerbit Erlangga, Jakarta.
- [10] Krejcie, R.V., & Morgan, D.W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 30,* 607-610.
- [11] Krowinsky, S., & Steiber, S. 1996. Measuring and Managing Patient Satisfaction, American Hospital Publishing, Washington DC.
- [12] Leebov W & Scott G. 1994. Service Quality Improvement, American Hospital Publishing Inc, USA.
- [13] Ming, S.L., Huey, D.H., and Ming, F.Y. 2010. The Study of The Relationship Among Experiental Marketing, Services Quality, Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty, *International Journal of Organizational Innovation*, pp.352-378.
- [14] Paramita, P. 2000, Manajemen Perubahan Rumah Sakit di Indonesia, Jurnal Manajemen & Administratsi Rumah Sakit Indonesia, Jakarta.
- [15] Praptiwi, A. 2009, PengelolaanKepuasanPelangganDalamPelayananKesehatan,

Open Access Journal of Nursing V1.I1.2018

disampaikanpada Pelatihandan Workshop Manajemen Keperawatan di RSUD Kuningan, Jawa Barat (diunduhdari http://pustaka.unpad. ac.id).

- [16] Pohan, I. S., 2007. Jaminan Mutu Pelayanan Kesehatan, Cetakan I, EGC Penerbit Buku Kedokteran, Jakarta.
- [17] Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2015). Nursing research: Generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. (12th ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins.
- [18] Rencher, A.C., 2002. Methods of Multivariate Analysis Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York.
- [19] Sawyer, S., 2010. Multivariate Linier Models. http://www.math. wustl.edu/. Diakses pada tanggal 23 Mei 2016.
- [20] Saragih, S. 2009, Hubungan Kualitas Pelayanandan

Kepuasan Pasien dengan Kunjungan di Balai Pengobatan Gigi Puskesmas Kota Pekanbaru, Tesis, Universitas Sumatea Utara, Medan.

- [21] Supranto, J., 1997. Pengukuran Tingkat Kepuasan Pelanggan, Penerbit Rineka Cipta, Jakarta.
- [22] Sugiyono. 2013. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatifdan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- [23] Taviyanda, D. 2010, Perbedaan persepsi pasien terhadap komunikasi teraupe utikantara perawat pegawai tetap dengan perawat pegawai kontrak di ruang dewa sakelas III rumah sakit Baptis Kediri', Jurnal STIKES RS. Baptis Kediri Vol. 3, No.2.
- [24] Tjiptono, F. 2005. *Total Quality Management,* Penerbit Andi, Yogyakarta.
- [25] Zairi, M. 2000. Managing Customer Satisfaction: A Best Practice Perspective, *The TQM Magazine*, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp. 389-394.

Citation: Yeyen Yuliawati1, Hafizah Che Hassan, Regidor-111 Dioso. Clients' Satisfaction on Quality of Services in a Hospital Setting in Indonesia. Open Access Journal of Nursing. 2018; 1(1): 40-48.

Copyright: © 2018 **Yeyen Yuliawati, Hafizah Che Hassan, Regidor-111 Dioso.** This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.