

## The Elusive Target of Congestive Heart Failure Treatment: Delaying the Inevitable

Awad Magbri, Eussera El-Magbri, Mariam El-Magbri, Shaukat Rashid, Balhinder Brar

Toledo Vascular Access Center, Toledo, OH.

elmagbri@hotmail.com

*\*Corresponding Author:* Awad Magbri, Toledo Vascular Access Center, Toledo, OH, USA.

### Abstract

The authors presented a case of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction and low systolic blood pressure who had multiple pharmacological treatment of his condition but without avail. Treatment with renal replacement therapy in the form of veno-venous hemodiafiltration to manage fluid overload and worsening renal failure did not materialized and eventually the patient succumbed to his disease. This case showed the expensive and futile measures that physicians might chose for their patients knowing that they are only delaying the inevitable.

**Keywords:** congestive heart failure, left ventricular ejection fraction, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, LV mass, end-diastolic volume, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, Brain natriuretic peptide, N-terminal pro-BNP, Left ventricular assisted device (LVAD).

### CASE HISTORY

The patient is 72 year-old Caucasian male with history of type-2 diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease with drug eluting stent, and congestive heart failure with ejection fracture of 40% was admitted to hospital with shortness of breath at rest. The patient noticed swelling of the legs for 4 weeks despite salt restriction and diuretics. His medications include Humalog (75/25) 20 units QD, Frusemide 40 mg BID, Metolazone 2.5 mg QD, Spironolactone 12.5 mg QD, Carvedilol 12.5 mg BID, Ramipril 10 mg QD, Atorvastatin 40 mg QD, Clopidogrel 75 mg QD, and Aspirin 81 mg QD. His blood pressure was 100/60 mmHg, pulse 102 beats/min, The patient had marked jugular venous distention, crackles at the lung bases, an S3 gallop, positive hepato-jugular reflux, and pitting edema up to the knees. His laboratory investigation showed sodium of 134 mEq/L, potassium of 3.8 mEq/L, chloride 90 mEq/L, bicarbonate 28 mEq/L, blood urea nitrogen 46 mg/L, creatinine 1.8 mg/L, with an estimated GFR of <60 mL/minute, and glucose of 100 mg/L. His HgbA1C was 7%. His urinalysis was significant for 2+ proteinuria. EKG showed tachycardia with non-specific St and T wave changes, His weight was 98 kg.

The patient was treated for volume overload to relief his congestive symptoms with intra-venous Frusemide

at 5 mg/h, continuous infusion, after a waiting period of 6 hours a Neseritide was added at 0.01 mcg/kg/min. As a result his dyspnea had clinically improved with the Neseritide and his Frusemide infusion was decreased to keep his SBP 90-100 mmHg. However, his urine output was not adequately increased and the treating cardiologist started him on Nitro-glycerin at 20 mcg/min. The patient could not tolerate the medication because of low blood pressure (<90mmHg systolic) and the Nitroglycerine had to be discontinued.

Even though the patient was subjectively improved, nevertheless, his urine output and edema did not improve substantially. His weight decreased from 98kg to 96 kg. His creatinine on the other hand increased to 2.1 mg/L and his SBP was ranging from 90-100mmHg. A decision was made to start him on Dobutamine in view of his low EF, to improve his cardiac output by decreasing the afterload and increasing inotropy.

Despite Dobutamine, his urine output did not materialized significantly in 24 hours. Milrinone was added to improve an inotropy with a bolus of 25 mcg/kg followed by 0.1 ug/kg/min. Despite this change in his regimen of treatment his edema, urine output, and BP did not significantly improve. His BP was 90/70 mmHg and his serum creatinine had gone up to 3.2 mg/L.

The patient developed type-2 cardio-renal syndrome

## The Elusive Target of Congestive Heart Failure Treatment: Delaying the Inevitable

and his Diuretics, Nesiritide, and Nitroglycerine were stopped and he was started on renal replacement therapy in the form of continuous veno-venous hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF) to improve both fluid overload and worsening renal function.

### CASE DISCUSSION

Clinically, heart failure is classified into two major types based on the functional status of the heart: heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) and heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction is common in females and older adults. Ejection fraction is usually >50% and the volume of the left-ventricular cavity is typically normal. At the same time, the left ventricular wall is thickened and stiff and the ratio of the LV mass/end-diastolic volume is high (1). Based on the EF the HFpEF is categorized as borderline if the EF is between 41% and 49%, and improved HF if the EF is >40% (2). HFpEF has not been shown to respond to standard pharmacological treatment as compared to HFrEF, and therefore, carry a poor prognosis especially during the decompensated phase of HF (1, 3, 4).

In HFrEF, the LV cavity is typically dilated, and the ratio of the LV mass/end-diastolic volume is either normal or reduced. Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction responds favorably to pharmacological treatment and demonstrated better prognosis at the beginning. Heart failure can be grouped into 4 groups based on the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure and cardiac index, fig-1.

PCWP 18 mmHg	
Group A	Group B
Group C	Group D

Cardiac Index (2.1 L/min/M2)

**Figure 1.** Subgroups of HF

In heart failure there is ineffective blood volume and pressure, which stimulate the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), and renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS), causing the release of antidiuretic hormone (ADH), which all together lead to ventricular enlargement, negative ventricular remodeling and symptoms of heart failure.

In sub-group A of heart failure, the patient is presented with good cardiac index (CI>2.1 L/min/M2) and the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) is <18 mmHg. These patients' responds well to diuretics

and their chest x-ray would not show evidence of congestion. The prognosis in these patient is good. In sub-group B the CI is still >2.1 L/min/M2, but the PCWP is >18 mmHg and therefore, this group showed signs of lung congestion on chest x-ray. These patients also respond well to diuretics and vaso-dilators. In sub-groups C and D the CI is low with low systolic blood pressure (SBP) usually <110 mmHg. The difference between these 2 subgroups is that in sub-group C the PCWP is <18 mmHg in contrast to sub-D where the PCWP is >18 mmHg. In sub-group C the patient is dry with low cardiac output and low CI, while patients in sub-group D, they are wet since their PCWP is >18 mmHg but with low cardiac output and low CI.

Patients in category C and D may present with ischemic or congestive nephropathy with worsening renal failure (WRF). The prognosis in these 2 sub-groups are guarded and short of cardiac transplant there is no definitive treatment for these patients. Table-1 showed the sensitivity and specificity of the different symptoms and signs of heart failure.

**Table 1.** Symptoms and Signs of HF With its Corresponding Sensitivity and Specificity (5, 6).

Symptoms & signs of HF	Sensitivity	Specificity
SOB/dyspnea	84-100%	17-34%
Orthopnea	22-50%	74-77%
Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea	39-41%	80-84%
Fatigue/weakness/lethargy, abdominal distention, Rt hypochondrial pain	23%	80%
Tachycardia	7%	99%
Pedal edema	39%	92%
Crackles	60%	78%
S3 gallop	13%	99%
Hepato-jugular reflux	24%	96%
Ascites	1%	97%
BNP	70%	99%
N-terminal pro-BNP	99%	85%

The diagnosis of HF includes history and physical findings, chest X-ray to assess cardiac size and congestions of the lungs, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance MRI) of the heart to assess the LV volume and ejection fraction (EF) measurements. The additional information that can be obtained

## The Elusive Target of Congestive Heart Failure Treatment: Delaying the Inevitable

from MRI are the myocardial perfusion, viability and fibrosis. MRI also provides high anatomical resolution of all aspects of the heart and surrounding structures. Trans-thoracic echocardiography (TTE) is a valuable tool to assess ventricular function, size, wall thickness, wall motion and valve function. It is also helpful to evaluate the EF of the left ventricle (LVEF). The TTE is also important in assessing the mitral valve inflow pattern, the pulmonary venous inflow pattern, mitral annular velocity, to precisely evaluate LV filling and the left atrial pressure of the dysfunctional heart. Other parameters that are usually measured with TTE are tricuspid valve regurgitant gradient, measurement of inferior vena cava (IVC) diameters and its variation with respiration which can provide estimate of pulmonary artery pressure and central venous pressure (7). Many of these parameters are used for therapeutic and prognostic purposes (8, 9). Cardiac catheterization and coronary angiography are sometimes necessary in patients with new onset heart failure and angina symptoms (8).

Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-pro-BNP) have high sensitivity and specificity table-1. They are recommended for outpatient and inpatient setting for the diagnosis and prognosis of HF (2). BNP levels of  $\leq 100$  pg/mL have 90% negative predictive value (NPV), and a value of  $\geq 500$  pg/mL have an 81% positive predictive value (PPV) for the diagnosis of HF (10). The level of BNP is also a strong predictor of risk of death and cardiovascular events in patients diagnosed with heart failure. It is to be noted nonetheless that high levels of BNP is seen in renal failure, pulmonary embolism, pulmonary hypertension and chronic hypoxia. Lower levels of BNP have been observed in obese and overweight patients.

Additionally there is no difference in BNP and NT-pro-BNP as far as diagnosis and prognosis of heart failure are concerned except that NT-pro-BNP are less affected by obesity (8, 11). BNP of  $< 100$  pg/mL or NT-pro-BNP  $< 300$  pg/mL rules out the diagnosis of heart failure, while BNP  $\geq 500$  pg/mL or NT-pro-BNP  $\geq 1800$  pg/mL rules in heart failure. Both BNP and NT-pro-BNP levels aid in the decisions regarding admission/discharge and risk stratification for heart failure patients in the emergency department.

Patients with heart failure and BNP  $< 200$  at admission have a mortality rate of 2% as opposed to 9% in

mortality rate seen in patients with BNP  $> 200$  pg/mL (28). NT-pro-BNP levels  $\geq 5000$  pg/mL at admission have been associated with in-hospital mortality rate of 22.5% and longer length of stay in the remaining surviving patients (12).

### The Predictors of Poor Outcome and High Mortality Rate in Heart Failure

1. High age group
2. High BUN and creatinine (15 mmol/2.72 mg/dl respectively)
3. High heart rate and low systolic blood pressure (HR  $> 100$  bpm, SBP 115 mmHg, respectively)
4. Low serum sodium levels
5. Dyspnea at rest
6. Lack of long-term use of Beta blockers
7. Male gender
8. Lower BMI
9. NT-pro-BNP  $\geq 986$  pg/mL
10. LVEF  $< 45\%$
11. S3 gallop
12. Increased pulmonary artery wedge pressure
13. DM
14. Raised catecholamine and BNP levels.

### The Major Goals of Heart Failure Treatment is not to Cure the Disease but to (13)

1. Improve prognosis and reduce mortality
2. Alleviate symptoms and reduce morbidity
3. Reduce the length of hospital stay and subsequent readmission.
4. Prevent target organ damage
5. Appropriately manage the co-morbidities that may contribute to poor prognosis.

### That can be Accomplished by Using a Pharmacological and Non-Pharmacological Therapy.

#### The Pharmacological Therapy Consists of

- Using oxygen to keep oxygen saturation  $> 90\%$  and PaO<sub>2</sub>  $> 60\%$ .
- Using diuretics including loop Diuretics, Thiazide and Potassium sparing to reduce edema by the reduction of blood volume and venous pressure,
- Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI),

## The Elusive Target of Congestive Heart Failure Treatment: Delaying the Inevitable

or Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs),

- Beta-blockers for neuro-humoral modification along with survival benefits and prevention of arrhythmia.
- Aldosterone antagonists,
- Digoxin,
- anticoagulants and
- Inotropic agents to restore organ perfusion and reduce congestion in patients with HFrEF.

### The Non-Pharmacological Therapy Comprises of

- The use of intra-cardiac defibrillators (ICD), with or without cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) to optimize cardiac output (14).
- The utilization of renal replacement therapy (CVVH, CVVHD, CVVHDF) for fluid overload,
- Left ventricular assisted device (LVAD) as a bridge for heart transplant or permanent mechanical assistance (destination therapy) in selected patients who are not eligible for cardiac transplantation.

Back to our patient who has many poor predictors of outcome with high mortality risk, these are;

- Age and male gender
- Presence of DM and CAD
- High BUN and creatinine
- Low SBP with low EF
- The presence of S3 gallop
- Low serum sodium

## CONCLUSION

Despite the many efforts and expensive treatments that the patient received he eventually succumbed to his disease after 3 months. One wonder why we tossed expensive and unclear procedures if we know the odds are stacking against us. In this time of limited health resources one should be realistic and accept the facts that eventually you cannot prevent the inevitable but you can only delay it. By delaying the inevitable, you know that you're indulging in too expensive, very taxing, and futile practice that may have a permanent negative impact on the health system. An alternative solution which is cheaper is addressing the end of life

concerns and hospice issues that would be in the best interest of every body involved.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ohtani T, Mohammed SF, Yamamoto K. Diastolic stiffness as assessed by diastolic wall strain is associated with adverse remodeling and poor outcomes in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur. Heart J.* 2012; 33: 1742-49.
- [2] Dassanayaka S, Jones SP. Recent developments in heart failure. *Circ. Res.* 2015; 117: e58-e63
- [3] Zamani P, Rawat D, Shiva-Kumar P, et al. Effect of inorganic nitrate on exercise capacity in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Circulation* 2015; 131: 371-80
- [4] Glean AA, Ferguson SK, Holdsworth CT, et al. Effect of nitrite infusion on skeletal muscle vascular control during exercise in rats with chronic heart failure. *Am J Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2015; 309: H1354-H1360
- [5] Lindenfeld J, Albert NM, Boehmer JP, et al. HFSA 2010 Comprehensive Heart Failure Practice Guideline. *J. Card Fail.* 2010; 16: e1-e194
- [6] Marti CN, Georgiopoulou VV, Kalogeropoulos AP. Acute heart failure: Patient characteristics and pathophysiology. *Curr. Heart Fail. Rep.* 2013; 10: 427-433
- [7] Paterson I, Mielniczuk LM, O'Meara E, So A, White JA. Imaging heart failure: current and future applications. *Can J Cardiol.* 2013; 29: 317-328
- [8] Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guideline. *J Am Coll. Cardiol.* 2013; 62: e147-e239
- [9] Morbach C, Lin BA, Surgeng L. Clinical application of three-dimensional echocardiography. *Prog Cardiovasc. Dis* 2014; 57: 19-31
- [10] Maries L, Manitiu I. Diagnostic values of B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) and N-terminal fragment brain natriuretic peptide (NT-pro-BNP). *Cardiovasc J Afr.* 2013; 24: 286-289

## The Elusive Target of Congestive Heart Failure Treatment: Delaying the Inevitable

---

- [11] Pfister R, Scholz M, Wielckens K, Erdmann E, Schneider CA. Use of NT-pro-BNP in routine testing and comparison to BNP. *Eur. J. Heart Fail* 2004; 6: 289-293
- [12] Murtagh G, Canniffe C, Mahgoub M, et al. Introduction of an NT-pro-BNP assay to an acute admission unit- A 2 year audit. *Eur J. Med.* 2009; 20: 58-62
- [13] Tamargo J, Lopez-Sendon J. Novel therapeutic targets for the treatment of heart failure. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2011; 10: 536-555
- [14] Moss AJ, Hall WJ, Cannom DS, et al. Cardioresynchronization therapy for the prevention of heart-failure events. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 361: 1329-1338

**Citation:** Awad Magbri, Eussera El-Magbri, Mariam El-Magbri, Shaukat Rashid, Balhinder Brar. *The Elusive Target of Congestive Heart Failure Treatment: Delaying the Inevitable. Archives of Cardiology and Cardiovascular Diseases.* 2018; 1(2): 01-05.

**Copyright:** © 2018 Awad Magbri, Eussera El-Magbri, Mariam El-Magbri, Shaukat Rashid, Balhinder Brar. *This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*