

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Reclaiming the Sacred in Medicine: Toward an Integration of Ancient Wisdom and Modern Science in Healthcare

Julian Ungar-Sargon MD PhD

*Borra College of Health Sciences Dominican University August 2025, USA.*

Received: 1 July 2025 Accepted: 15 June 2025 Published: 21 July 2025

**Corresponding Author:** Julian Ungar-Sargon, Borra College of Health Sciences Dominican University August 2025, USA.

## Abstract

This essay explores the profound implications of recognizing the sacred theological origins of Cartesian mind-body dualism for contemporary healthcare transformation. Drawing from the thesis that Descartes' supposedly secular philosophy emerged from Neoplatonic, Augustinian, and mystical Christian traditions, this work proposes a revolutionary healthcare model that reclaims medicine's sacred roots while preserving the advances of the scientific revolution. Rather than abandoning technological progress, this vision suggests that understanding the spiritual genealogy of our current medical paradigm opens pathways to a post-Cartesian synthesis—one that honors the unity of body, mind, and spirit while embracing evidence-based practice. The essay outlines practical frameworks for integrating contemplative practices, sacred architecture, holistic patient care, and spiritually-informed clinical protocols within technologically advanced medical settings.

**Keywords:** Post-Cartesian Medicine, Sacred Healing, Integrative Healthcare, Mind-Body-Spirit Unity, Medical Mysticism, Therapeutic Theology, Holistic Clinical Practice.

## 1. Introduction: The Hidden Sacred in Modern Medicine

The sterile corridors of modern hospitals, filled with the hum of machines and the efficiency of protocols, seem worlds apart from the sacred healing temples of antiquity or the contemplative infirmaries of medieval monasteries. Yet beneath the surface of our seemingly secular medical system lies a hidden genealogy—one that traces back through the very philosophical

foundations that appear to have banished the sacred from healing altogether.

The recognition that René Descartes' mind-body dualism emerged not from pure rationalism but from deep spiritual traditions—Neoplatonic mysticism, Augustinian introspection, and even suppressed Gnostic currents—fundamentally challenges our understanding of modern medicine's philosophical foundations.<sup>1</sup> If the Cartesian split that supposedly



**Citation:** Julian Ungar-Sargon. Reclaiming the Sacred in Medicine: Toward an Integration of Ancient Wisdom and Modern Science in Healthcare. *Journal of Religion and Theology* 2025;7(4): 1-12

©The Author(s) 2025. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

separated science from spirituality was itself born of sacred sources, then our current healthcare crisis may not require abandoning scientific advancement but rather remembering and reclaiming its mystical origins.

This essay argues that the sacred origins of Cartesian dualism provide not just historical insight but a roadmap for healthcare transformation. By understanding how mystical traditions of inner knowing, contemplative practice, and sacred healing became rationalized and mechanized in modern medicine, we can envision a post-Cartesian synthesis that preserves technological advancement while restoring the sacred dimensions of healing that were never truly absent—only hidden beneath layers of rationalist interpretation.

The implications are radical: instead of viewing spirituality and science as fundamentally incompatible, we can recognize them as expressing different aspects of the same underlying sacred reality that healing has always accessed.<sup>2</sup> This recognition opens possibilities for a healthcare revolution that neither abandons scientific rigor nor ignores the soul's role in healing but rather integrates them in a more complete understanding of human wholeness.

## 2. The Neoplatonic Foundation

To understand how we might transcend the limitations of Cartesian medicine, we must first trace its sacred ancestry. Plotinus, the great Neoplatonic philosopher, established a hierarchy of being that placed the soul above the body while maintaining their essential interconnection.<sup>3</sup> His vision of the soul's ascent toward the One through contemplative practice provided a template for healing that was simultaneously physical and metaphysical.

In Plotinian thought, the body was not merely a mechanical vessel but a sacred manifestation of soul expressing itself in material form. Healing involved not just addressing physical symptoms but facilitating the soul's proper relationship to its embodied existence.<sup>4</sup> This perspective offered a middle path between materialist reductionism and disembodied spiritualism—precisely what contemporary integrative medicine seeks to recover.

The Neoplatonic tradition understood that true healing required what we might now call “vertical integration”—connecting the physical realm to its spiritual source while honoring both dimensions fully. This wasn't dualism in the sense of radical separation, but rather a recognition of different levels of reality

that required different but complementary approaches to healing.

## 3. Augustine's Interior Medicine

Augustine's famous declaration that “truth dwells in the inner man” (in interiore homine habitat veritas) established an approach to healing that would profoundly influence Western medicine through its transformation in Cartesian thought.<sup>5</sup> For Augustine, the inward turn was not an escape from embodied existence but a means of connecting with divine truth that could then transform physical reality.

Augustinian medicine, as practiced in medieval monasteries, integrated prayer, contemplation, herbal healing, and careful attention to the patient's spiritual state. The physician was understood to be a servant of the divine physician, working in cooperation with grace to restore wholeness.<sup>6</sup> This model recognized that healing required addressing not just physical symptoms but the deeper spiritual causes of dis-ease.

The monastery infirmaries of the medieval period, inspired by Augustinian principles, provided a template for healing environments that modern healthcare institutions might learn from. These spaces were designed as sacred architecture that supported contemplative states, incorporated natural elements, and created conditions for deep listening between caregiver and patient.

## 4. The Mystical Tradition's Therapeutic Wisdom

Medieval Christian mystics like Meister Eckhart, Julian of Norwich, and John of the Cross developed sophisticated understandings of the relationship between spiritual states and physical healing. Their recognition that the soul's condition directly affects bodily health prefigures contemporary psychoneuroimmunology while maintaining a explicitly sacred framework.

Eckhart's teaching about the “ground of the soul” where human and divine nature meet offers a profound resource for understanding the deepest level at which healing occurs. This mystical ground is neither purely physical nor purely spiritual but represents the unified source from which both emerge. Healthcare that operates from this understanding would necessarily be holistic not as an add-on to conventional treatment but as its very foundation.

The mystics' emphasis on contemplative listening provides another crucial element for post-Cartesian

medicine. Their practices of deep attention, presence, and receptivity to divine guidance offer models for therapeutic relationships that go far beyond the current paradigm of expert diagnosis and treatment prescription.

## 5. The Gnostic Undercurrent

While more controversial, the Gnostic influence on Cartesian thought points toward important dimensions of healing that conventional medicine often overlooks.<sup>7</sup> The Gnostic emphasis on hidden knowledge (gnosis) and the soul's journey toward liberation resonates with contemporary understanding of trauma, psychological healing, and the body's innate wisdom.

Gnostic healing practices recognized that many ailments stem from a fundamental alienation—what we might now call disconnection from authentic self, meaningful relationships, and sacred purpose. Their therapeutic approaches involved not just treating symptoms but facilitating the soul's remembering of its true nature and destiny.

This perspective offers valuable insights for addressing chronic illness, mental health challenges, and existential suffering that conventional medicine often finds difficult to treat effectively.<sup>8</sup> A post-Cartesian healthcare model might integrate Gnostic insights about hidden wisdom and spiritual transformation while rejecting the tradition's more problematic dualistic tendencies.

## 6. From Sacred Dualism to Mechanistic Separation

Descartes' genius lay in translating the mystical tradition's insights about the soul's supremacy and the importance of inner certainty into the language of rational philosophy.<sup>1</sup> His *cogito ergo sum* represents a secularized version of Augustine's inward turn, while his distinction between *res cogitans* and *res extensa* mathematizes the Neoplatonic hierarchy of being.

However, this translation involved a crucial loss. Where the mystical tradition maintained the soul's essential connection to divine reality and understood the body as a sacred manifestation of spirit, Cartesian dualism created a more radical separation. The mind became isolated from its theological source, and the body was reduced to mere mechanical extension.

This transformation had profound consequences for the development of modern medicine.<sup>9</sup> While it enabled remarkable advances in understanding anatomical structure, physiological processes, and mechanical

interventions, it also disconnected healing from its sacred foundations. The patient became a collection of systems to be diagnosed and repaired rather than a whole person to be known and accompanied in their journey toward wholeness.

## 7. The Scientific Revolution's Promise and Peril

The mechanistic worldview that emerged from Cartesian dualism made possible extraordinary advances in medical knowledge and technique. The development of anatomy, physiology, pharmacology, and surgery required a willingness to study the body as a physical system governed by natural laws rather than mysterious spiritual forces.

Yet this reductionist approach also created what we might call the "sacred wound" of modern medicine—a profound disconnection from the healing traditions that had sustained human communities for millennia. The baby of genuine spiritual wisdom was thrown out with the bathwater of superstition and magical thinking.

Contemporary healthcare faces a crisis precisely because it has lost touch with these deeper dimensions of healing while facing challenges—chronic disease, mental illness, existential suffering, healthcare provider burnout—that purely mechanistic approaches cannot adequately address. The rise of integrative medicine, mind-body approaches, and spirituality in healthcare represents attempts to heal this sacred wound.

## 8. The Disenchantment of Healing

Max Weber's concept of the "disenchantment of the world" finds perhaps its most tragic expression in the transformation of healing from sacred art to technical procedure.<sup>2</sup> Where traditional healing involved ritual, ceremony, prayer, and deep relationship between healer and patient, modern medicine often reduces the therapeutic encounter to efficiency protocols and standardized treatments.

This disenchantment manifests in multiple ways: the reduction of patients to diagnostic categories, the marginalization of emotional and spiritual dimensions of illness, the emphasis on technological intervention over natural healing processes, and the loss of meaning and purpose that many healthcare providers experience in their work.<sup>10</sup>

Yet the sacred origins of Cartesian dualism suggest that this disenchantment is not inevitable. If the philosophical foundations of modern medicine

emerged from spiritual traditions, then perhaps those traditions can be recovered and integrated with scientific advancement in new and creative ways.

## 9. Contemporary Healthcare's Crisis

Contemporary healthcare, despite its remarkable technological achievements, faces mounting crises that reveal the limitations of purely mechanistic approaches. The prevalence of chronic diseases, the opioid epidemic, rising rates of depression and anxiety, healthcare provider burnout, and skyrocketing costs all point to fundamental inadequacies in our current paradigm.<sup>11</sup>

These challenges often involve dimensions of human experience that mechanistic medicine is poorly equipped to address: meaning and purpose, relationship and community, spiritual crisis and existential suffering, the body's innate healing wisdom, and the mysterious dynamics of hope, faith, and love in recovery processes.

The mechanistic model's focus on acute intervention and symptom suppression often misses the deeper patterns of dis-ease that manifest as chronic conditions. Its emphasis on standardized protocols can overlook the unique story and context that each patient brings to their healing journey.<sup>12</sup>

## 10. The Emergence of Integrative Approaches

The growing interest in integrative medicine, mind-body approaches, and spirituality in healthcare represents a grassroots recognition that something essential is missing from conventional practice. These movements seek to restore wholeness to healing by incorporating dimensions that mechanistic medicine has marginalized.

However, many integrative approaches still operate within a fundamentally Cartesian framework—simply adding spiritual or alternative components to conventional treatment rather than fundamentally reconceiving the nature of healing itself. True integration requires a more radical transformation of our understanding of health, illness, and the therapeutic relationship.

The sacred origins of Cartesian dualism suggest a different approach: rather than grafting spiritual practices onto mechanistic medicine, we might rediscover the mystical dimensions of scientific inquiry itself and develop healthcare models that express the fundamental unity underlying both approaches.

## 11. The Healer's Crisis of Meaning

Healthcare providers increasingly report feelings of burnout, moral distress, and loss of meaning in their work. This crisis often stems from the tension between their original calling to heal and serve and the realities of working within systems that prioritize efficiency, profit, and technical expertise over relationship and holistic care.<sup>13</sup>

Many healthcare professionals entered their fields with something approaching a sacred calling—a desire to alleviate suffering, restore wholeness, and serve others in their most vulnerable moments. Yet they often find themselves trapped in roles that feel more like technical specialists than healers in the deepest sense.

This crisis of meaning points toward the need for healthcare models that honor both the technical expertise and the sacred calling of healing professionals. A post-Cartesian approach might help healthcare providers rediscover the mystical dimensions of their work while maintaining scientific rigor and evidence-based practice.

## 12. Reclaiming the Sacred Foundations

A truly post-Cartesian healthcare model would begin by explicitly acknowledging the sacred dimensions of healing while fully embracing scientific methodology and technological advancement.<sup>4</sup> This approach recognizes that the opposition between spirituality and science is itself a product of Cartesian dualism rather than an inherent necessity.

Such a model would understand healing as occurring simultaneously on multiple levels: physical, emotional, mental, spiritual, and social. Rather than treating these as separate domains requiring separate interventions, it would recognize them as different aspects of a unified reality that requires integrated approaches.

This perspective draws inspiration from the mystical traditions that originally informed Cartesian thought while incorporating contemporary insights from quantum physics, systems theory, psychoneuroimmunology, and other fields that point toward the fundamental interconnection of all phenomena.<sup>9</sup>

Central to a post-Cartesian healthcare vision is the recognition that body, mind, and spirit are not separate substances that somehow interact but different aspects of a single, unified reality. This understanding has profound implications for how we approach diagnosis, treatment, and the therapeutic relationship.



From this perspective, physical symptoms often carry emotional and spiritual information, psychological distress manifests in bodily experience, and spiritual crisis can contribute to physical illness. Healing requires attention to all these dimensions simultaneously rather than treating them in isolation.

This unity principle also suggests that healthcare providers must understand themselves as whole persons entering into relationship with other whole persons rather than technical experts applying interventions to biological systems. The healer's own physical, emotional, and spiritual state becomes an important dimension of the therapeutic encounter.

### 13. Sacred Architecture

The post-Cartesian vision extends to the physical environments where healing occurs. Rather than designing healthcare facilities primarily for efficiency and infection control, we might create spaces that actively support healing through their architectural and aesthetic qualities.<sup>10</sup>

Such environments would incorporate natural light, healing gardens, sacred geometry, acoustic design that supports contemplative states, and flexible spaces that can accommodate various forms of therapeutic encounter. They would be designed as places of beauty and tranquility rather than merely functional spaces.

The goal would be to create healing environments that serve as external supports for the inner transformation that true healing requires. This approach draws inspiration from the monastery infirmaries of the medieval period while incorporating contemporary understanding of environmental psychology and evidence-based design.

A key element of post-Cartesian healthcare would be the integration of contemplative practices into clinical care.<sup>4</sup> This might include brief meditation or prayer before patient encounters, mindfulness-based approaches to diagnosis and treatment, and the cultivation of what we might call "contemplative presence" in therapeutic relationships.

Healthcare providers would be trained not just in technical skills but in practices that support their own spiritual development and capacity for deep listening. This training would recognize that the healer's inner state profoundly affects their ability to facilitate healing in others.

Contemplative clinical practice would also involve creating space for patients to access their own inner

wisdom and healing resources rather than simply receiving external interventions. This approach honors the mystical insight that the deepest healing comes from the soul's connection to its divine source.<sup>3</sup>

### 14. Institutional Transformation

Implementing a post-Cartesian healthcare vision requires transformation at multiple levels, beginning with institutional culture and structure. Healthcare organizations would need to explicitly embrace a mission that honors both scientific excellence and sacred service.

This might involve developing new governance structures that include spiritual care practitioners, contemplative teachers, and community members alongside medical professionals. It would require creating organizational cultures that support the spiritual development of staff members and explicitly value qualities like compassion, presence, and wisdom alongside technical competence.

Training programs would be redesigned to include contemplative practices, study of healing traditions from various cultures, and reflection on the sacred dimensions of medical practice. The goal would be to prepare healthcare providers who are both scientifically rigorous and spiritually grounded.

### 15. Clinical Protocol Integration

At the clinical level, post-Cartesian healthcare would develop protocols that systematically integrate spiritual assessment and intervention with conventional medical care. This might include routine screening for spiritual distress, incorporation of chaplaincy and spiritual care into treatment teams, and evidence-based spiritual interventions for various conditions.

Such protocols would be based on growing research demonstrating the health benefits of practices like meditation, prayer, forgiveness work, and meaning-making. They would recognize that addressing spiritual dimensions of illness often improves physical and psychological outcomes.

The key would be developing approaches that honor patients' diverse spiritual backgrounds while maintaining appropriate professional boundaries. This requires sophisticated training in spiritual care that goes beyond simply referring patients to chaplains or encouraging them to pray.

### 16. Technology and Sacred Integration

A post-Cartesian approach to healthcare technology would ask not just whether innovations improve

outcomes or efficiency but whether they support or hinder the development of healing relationships and contemplative awareness. Technology would be evaluated for its impact on the sacred dimensions of the therapeutic encounter.

This might lead to different choices about which technologies to implement and how to integrate them into clinical practice. For example, electronic health records might be designed to support narrative medicine and holistic assessment rather than simply capturing data points.

Artificial intelligence and other advanced technologies would be implemented in ways that enhance rather than replace human connection and spiritual awareness. The goal would be using technology to create more space for the sacred dimensions of healing rather than letting it dominate the therapeutic encounter.

Perhaps most challenging, implementing post-Cartesian healthcare requires developing economic models that support contemplative practice, relationship-centered care, and attention to spiritual dimensions of healing. This challenges current payment systems that reward volume and technical procedures over time, presence, and holistic care.

New models might include payment for contemplative preparation time, longer appointment slots that allow for deep listening, and compensation for spiritual care practitioners as integral members of healthcare teams. They would recognize that investing in the sacred dimensions of healing often reduces long-term costs by addressing root causes of illness.

This economic transformation would require demonstrating the value of sacred healthcare approaches through rigorous research and outcome measurement. It would also require advocating for policy changes that support more holistic models of care.

## 17. The Contemplative Clinic Model

Drawing from the monastery infirmary tradition while incorporating contemporary medical knowledge, the contemplative clinic represents one possible embodiment of post-Cartesian healthcare.<sup>10</sup> Such a clinic would be designed as a sacred space that supports both healing and spiritual transformation.

Physical design would incorporate elements that support contemplative states: natural materials, healing gardens, meditation spaces, and acoustic design that enables quiet reflection. The architecture itself would communicate that healing involves more than technical intervention.

Clinical protocols would begin with brief contemplative preparation for both provider and patient, include systematic spiritual assessment, and integrate evidence-based spiritual interventions with conventional treatment. Appointment scheduling would allow sufficient time for deep listening and relationship development.

Staff would include not only medical professionals but also spiritual care practitioners, contemplative teachers, and perhaps artists or musicians who contribute to the healing environment. The goal would be creating a true healing community rather than simply a place where medical services are delivered.

## 18. Trauma-Informed Sacred Care

Post-Cartesian approaches to trauma treatment would recognize that trauma often involves spiritual injury—disruption of meaning, purpose, connection to the sacred, and trust in the fundamental goodness of existence.<sup>11</sup> Healing trauma requires addressing these spiritual dimensions alongside psychological and somatic interventions.

Such approaches might integrate practices from various wisdom traditions that support spiritual healing: ceremony, ritual, forgiveness work, meaning-making practices, and community support. They would recognize that trauma healing often involves a journey of spiritual transformation that technical interventions alone cannot facilitate.

The therapeutic relationship would be understood as potentially sacred, requiring healthcare providers to bring contemplative presence, unconditional positive regard, and spiritual maturity to their work with trauma survivors.<sup>9</sup> This approach honors both the science of trauma treatment and the mystery of healing that occurs in sacred relationship.

## 19. End-of-Life Sacred Medicine

Perhaps nowhere is the limitation of mechanistic medicine more apparent than in end-of-life care, where technical interventions often reach their limits and deeper questions of meaning, purpose, and transcendence become paramount.<sup>12</sup> A post-Cartesian approach to end-of-life care would explicitly honor both the medical and spiritual dimensions of dying.

Such care would integrate hospice and palliative medicine with spiritual accompaniment that honors patients' diverse backgrounds and beliefs. It would create space for prayer, ritual, forgiveness work, and meaning-making alongside symptom management and comfort care.

Healthcare providers working with dying patients would be trained in what we might call “midwifery of the soul”—skills for accompanying people through the spiritual dimensions of the dying process. This training would draw from various wisdom traditions while maintaining appropriate professional boundaries.

## 20. Outcome Measures Beyond the Physical

Implementing post-Cartesian healthcare requires developing outcome measures that capture spiritual dimensions of healing alongside conventional medical metrics. This might include assessments of meaning and purpose, spiritual well-being, quality of relationships, and sense of connection to the sacred.

Such measures would need to be culturally sensitive and applicable across diverse spiritual backgrounds while maintaining scientific rigor.<sup>9</sup> They would help demonstrate the value of sacred healthcare approaches and guide continuous improvement in contemplative clinical practice.

Research would also need to examine the long-term effects of spiritual interventions on health outcomes, healthcare utilization, and quality of life. This research is crucial for gaining acceptance of post-Cartesian approaches within evidence-based healthcare systems.

Growing research in contemplative neuroscience provides scientific support for the health benefits of practices like meditation, prayer, and mindfulness. Post-Cartesian healthcare would both draw from this research and contribute to it through careful study of spiritual interventions in clinical settings.

Such research might examine the neurological correlates of healing relationships, the effects of sacred environments on brain function, and the mechanisms by which spiritual practices influence physical health. This work could help bridge the gap between scientific and spiritual understandings of healing.

The goal would not be reducing spiritual experience to neurological phenomena but rather demonstrating the scientific legitimacy of sacred approaches to healing. This research could help overcome resistance to integrating spirituality in healthcare settings.

Implementing post-Cartesian healthcare requires transforming medical education to include contemplative practices and spiritual development alongside technical training. Research would be needed to evaluate the effectiveness of various approaches to this integration.

Such research might examine the effects of contemplative training on healthcare provider well-being, clinical outcomes, patient satisfaction, and professional longevity. It could help identify best practices for integrating sacred and scientific approaches in medical education.

This research would also need to address potential concerns about appropriate professional boundaries, religious diversity, and maintaining scientific rigor while incorporating spiritual dimensions into medical training.

## 21. Resistance from Medical Establishment

One of the primary challenges in implementing post-Cartesian healthcare will be resistance from medical establishment institutions that view spiritual approaches as unscientific or inappropriate for clinical settings. This resistance often stems from legitimate concerns about maintaining scientific rigor and professional boundaries.

Addressing this resistance requires demonstrating through rigorous research that sacred approaches to healing enhance rather than compromise scientific practice.<sup>9</sup> It also requires careful attention to issues of religious diversity and ensuring that spiritual interventions are appropriate for patients from various backgrounds.

The sacred origins of Cartesian dualism provide a powerful argument that spirituality and science are not inherently opposed but rather different expressions of the same underlying reality.<sup>2</sup> This historical perspective can help overcome false dichotomies between sacred and scientific approaches to healing.

Implementing post-Cartesian healthcare requires developing new competencies among healthcare providers—skills in contemplative practice, spiritual assessment, and sacred relationship that are typically not included in conventional medical training.

This challenge requires creating new educational programs that integrate contemplative training with scientific education.<sup>4</sup> It also requires developing standards for competency in spiritual care that maintain appropriate professional boundaries while enabling authentic sacred encounter.

Professional organizations would need to develop new certification requirements and continuing education standards that support the development of contemplatively trained healthcare providers. This represents a significant transformation in how we prepare people for healing professions.<sup>13</sup>



## 22. Economic and Policy Barriers

Perhaps the greatest challenge in implementing post-Cartesian healthcare lies in economic and policy structures that do not currently support contemplative practice, relationship-centered care, and attention to spiritual dimensions of healing.

Overcoming these barriers requires demonstrating the economic value of sacred healthcare approaches through reduced readmissions, improved chronic disease management, decreased provider burnout, and enhanced patient satisfaction. It also requires advocating for policy changes that support more holistic models of care.

This transformation will likely require a cultural shift in how we value healthcare—moving from a focus on technical intervention and efficiency toward recognition of the sacred dimensions of healing and their contribution to long-term health and well-being.

## 23. A New Renaissance in Medicine

The recognition of the sacred origins of Cartesian dualism opens the possibility for what we might call a new renaissance in medicine—a flowering of approaches that integrate the best of scientific advancement with recovered wisdom from healing traditions that have sustained human communities for millennia.<sup>2</sup>

This renaissance would not represent a return to pre-scientific medicine but rather a post-Cartesian synthesis that transcends the false oppositions between spirituality and science, holistic and reductionist approaches, ancient wisdom and modern knowledge.

Such a renaissance might produce healing modalities that we can barely imagine today—approaches that harness both cutting-edge technology and profound spiritual insight to address suffering at its deepest levels and support human flourishing in all its dimensions.<sup>4</sup>

The post-Cartesian healthcare vision has implications that extend far beyond individual clinical encounters to global health challenges, healthcare equity, and the sustainability of healthcare systems worldwide.

Sacred approaches to healing often emphasize community, prevention, and addressing root causes of illness rather than simply treating symptoms. These emphases are crucial for addressing global health challenges like chronic disease epidemics, mental health crises, and environmental health threats.

A post-Cartesian approach to global health would seek to learn from indigenous healing traditions around the world while sharing the benefits of scientific advancement in ways that honor diverse cultural approaches to health and healing.<sup>6</sup>

As healthcare technology continues to advance rapidly—through artificial intelligence, genetic engineering, nanotechnology, and other innovations—the post-Cartesian vision provides crucial guidance for ensuring that these developments serve human flourishing rather than simply technical efficiency.

This vision suggests criteria for evaluating new technologies: Do they support or hinder the development of healing relationships? Do they enhance or diminish the sacred dimensions of the therapeutic encounter? Do they contribute to human wholeness or further fragment our understanding of health and healing?

The goal would be ensuring that technological advancement serves the deeper purposes of healing—alleviating suffering, restoring wholeness, and supporting the flourishing of human beings as embodied souls in relationship with the sacred mystery of existence.

## 24. Conclusion: The Sacred Return

The recognition that René Descartes' mind-body dualism emerged from sacred sources rather than pure secular rationalism fundamentally transforms our understanding of modern medicine's philosophical foundations.<sup>1</sup> This insight reveals that the apparent opposition between spirituality and science in healthcare is not inevitable but represents a particular historical development that can be transcended.

The mystical traditions that originally informed Cartesian thought—Neoplatonic contemplation, Augustinian introspection, and even Gnostic insights about hidden wisdom—provide resources for healing that our contemporary healthcare crisis desperately needs.<sup>3–7</sup> Yet rather than abandoning scientific advancement, a post-Cartesian approach seeks to integrate these sacred dimensions with rigorous evidence-based practice.

This integration offers hope for addressing challenges that purely mechanistic medicine cannot adequately resolve: chronic disease, mental illness, existential suffering, healthcare provider burnout, and the loss of meaning that many experience in our current system.<sup>11–13</sup> By reclaiming the sacred foundations of healing while preserving scientific rigor, we can



envision healthcare that serves human wholeness in all its dimensions.

The practical implications are revolutionary: healthcare institutions designed as sacred spaces, clinical protocols that integrate contemplative practices, training programs that develop both scientific competence and spiritual maturity, and economic models that support relationship-centered care alongside technical excellence.<sup>10</sup>

Perhaps most importantly, this vision offers a path toward healing the sacred wound that lies at the heart of modern medicine—the disconnection from transcendent meaning and purpose that leaves both patients and providers feeling that something essential is missing from the healing encounter.

The post-Cartesian healthcare vision does not require choosing between ancient wisdom and modern knowledge but rather recognizes them as complementary expressions of the same underlying sacred reality that healing has always accessed.<sup>2</sup> This recognition opens possibilities for a renaissance in medicine that we can barely imagine—approaches to healing that honor both the mystery and the science of human wholeness.

As we stand at this threshold, the sacred origins of Cartesian dualism remind us that the path forward does not require abandoning our scientific heritage but rather remembering its mystical foundations and allowing them to inform our vision of what healthcare might become. In this remembering, we may discover not just better ways of treating illness but a transformation of healing itself—a return to its sacred origins that paradoxically leads us into an unprecedented future.

The journey toward post-Cartesian healthcare will not be easy, requiring transformation of institutions, training programs, economic models, and deeply held assumptions about the nature of healing. Yet the growing crisis in contemporary medicine and the hunger for more meaningful approaches to health and illness suggest that this transformation is not only possible but necessary.

In the end, the sacred origins of Cartesian dualism teach us that the apparent oppositions that have shaped modern medicine—between spirituality and science, holistic and reductionist approaches, ancient wisdom and modern knowledge—are not absolute but represent different aspects of a more fundamental unity. Recognizing this unity opens the possibility

for healthcare that serves not just our bodies but our souls, not just our symptoms but our deepest longing for wholeness and meaning.

This is the promise of post-Cartesian medicine: a return to the sacred that paradoxically leads us into the future, an integration of wisdom traditions that enhances rather than compromises scientific advancement, and a vision of healing that honors the full mystery and wonder of human existence. In pursuing this vision, we may discover that the path to tomorrow's medicine leads through yesterday's wisdom—and that the future of healing lies not in abandoning its sacred origins but in remembering and reclaiming them for our time.

## **Appendix: Kabbalah's Ontological Revolution and the Sacred Return to Healthcare**

### **1. Beyond Epistemology to Being**

While the main body of this essay has explored how the sacred origins of Cartesian dualism point toward a post-Cartesian healthcare vision, the specific tradition of Kabbalah offers unique resources for this transformation that deserve particular attention. The revolutionary insight of Kabbalistic thought lies not primarily in its epistemological contributions—how we know the divine—but in its radical ontological assertions about the nature of being itself.<sup>14</sup> This ontological focus provides crucial foundations for healthcare transformation that moves beyond the epistemological limitations of modern medical paradigms.

Moshe Idel's groundbreaking scholarship has demonstrated that Kabbalah should be understood primarily as an ontological rather than epistemological system.<sup>14,15</sup> Where modern medicine, rooted in Cartesian epistemology, asks "How can we know the body?" Kabbalah asks the more fundamental question: "What is the nature of embodied being?" This shift from epistemological to ontological inquiry opens possibilities for healthcare that conventional medical philosophy cannot access.

The Kabbalistic understanding of the sefirot (divine emanations) represents not merely a map for mystical ascent but a fundamental description of reality's ontological structure.<sup>16</sup> Unlike philosophical systems that separate being and knowing, substance and attribute, the sefirot describe a reality in which divine being continuously flows into manifest existence without losing its essential unity.

This ontological vision has profound implications for healthcare. Where Cartesian medicine sees the body as *res extensa*—extended substance separate from consciousness—Kabbalistic ontology understands embodied existence as a continuous emanation of divine being. The body is not a machine to be repaired but a sacred manifestation of the divine life that sustains all existence.

Elliot Wolfson's extensive work on Kabbalistic ontology reveals how this tradition understands being as fundamentally relational and dynamic rather than static and substantial.<sup>17</sup> This insight challenges the mechanistic ontology underlying modern medicine and points toward understanding healing as the restoration of proper ontological relationships rather than the mere correction of biological dysfunction.

## 2. The Limits of Medical Knowledge

The Kabbalistic concept of *Ein Sof* (the infinite) provides a crucial corrective to the epistemological hubris that often characterizes modern medicine. *Ein Sof* represents not a philosophical concept but an ontological reality that exceeds all categorical thinking—including medical categorization.<sup>18</sup> This insight suggests that the deepest healing occurs at levels that cannot be captured by diagnostic protocols or treatment algorithms.

Michael Fishbane's work on the relationship between mystical experience and textual interpretation demonstrates how Kabbalistic hermeneutics moves beyond rational analysis toward participatory knowing.<sup>19</sup> Applied to healthcare, this suggests that true healing requires forms of knowing that engage the whole person—body, soul, and spirit—rather than abstract diagnostic reasoning alone.

The implications are revolutionary: healthcare providers trained in Kabbalistic principles would understand that their deepest effectiveness comes not from technical mastery alone but from their capacity to participate in the divine life that sustains both healer and patient. This participation is ontological rather than epistemological—a way of being rather than a way of knowing.

The ten sefirot provide a sophisticated framework for understanding the multiple dimensions of human existence that healthcare must address.<sup>20</sup> Unlike reductionist models that fragment the person into separate systems, the sefirotic structure describes the dynamic interplay of different aspects of being within an essential unity.

The Kabbalistic concept of *tikkun olam* (repairing the world) provides a theological framework for understanding healthcare as participation in cosmic restoration.<sup>21</sup> Unlike secular approaches that view healing as merely restoring biological function, Kabbalistic ontology understands each act of healing as contributing to the restoration of divine unity in creation.

This perspective transforms the meaning and purpose of healthcare work. Physicians, nurses, and other healthcare providers become partners in divine creativity, participating in the ongoing work of perfecting creation. This understanding can address the crisis of meaning that many healthcare providers experience in mechanistic medical systems.

The ontological foundation of *tikkun olam* also suggests that healthcare cannot be limited to individual treatment but must address the social, economic, and environmental factors that contribute to dis-ease. True healing requires attention to the cosmic dimensions of human existence and the interconnection of all being.

## 3. Embodied Divine Presence

The Kabbalistic understanding of the *Shekhinah* (divine presence) as the feminine aspect of divinity that dwells within creation provides crucial resources for developing post-Cartesian approaches to embodied existence.<sup>22</sup> Unlike dualistic systems that oppose spirit and matter, the *Shekhinah* represents divine presence that is fully involved in material existence while maintaining its essential transcendence.

This insight has profound implications for healthcare's relationship to the body. Where Cartesian dualism led to the objectification of embodied existence, Kabbalistic ontology understands the body as a dwelling place of divine presence. Healthcare that honors the *Shekhinah* approaches each patient with reverence for the divine presence manifest in their embodied existence.

Wolfson's analysis of gender symbolism in Kabbalistic literature reveals how the tradition understood healing as the restoration of proper relationship between masculine and feminine divine principles.<sup>22</sup> Applied to healthcare, this suggests that healing requires integrating qualities traditionally associated with both genders: analytical precision and intuitive sensitivity, strength and receptivity, transcendence and immanence.

#### 4. Diagnostic Humility and the Recognition of Mystery

Kabbalistic ontology suggests that diagnostic practice should begin with recognition of the mystery inherent in each person's existence. While conventional diagnosis seeks to categorize symptoms according to established protocols, Kabbalistic approaches would balance analytical assessment with contemplative attention to the unique divine image manifest in each patient.

This might involve beginning clinical encounters with brief contemplative preparation that acknowledges the sacred dimension of the therapeutic relationship. Healthcare providers trained in Kabbalistic principles would understand that their most important diagnostic tool is their capacity for contemplative presence rather than technical analysis alone.<sup>10</sup>

Kabbalistic ontology understands illness as disruption in the flow of divine energy through the sefirotic structure of existence. Treatment becomes a form of *tikkun*—repairing the divine image within the patient by restoring proper ontological relationships.

This approach would integrate conventional medical interventions with practices designed to address spiritual and energetic dimensions of illness. Such practices might include meditation, prayer, visualization, and energy work that support the patient's connection to divine source while conventional treatments address physical symptoms.

The Kabbalistic understanding of *yichud* (divine union) provides a framework for understanding the therapeutic relationship as a sacred encounter in which divine presence becomes manifest through authentic human connection.<sup>23</sup> This perspective transforms clinical encounters from technical consultations into opportunities for mutual spiritual growth and healing.

Healthcare providers trained in Kabbalistic principles would understand that their own spiritual development directly affects their therapeutic effectiveness. This recognition would support practices of ongoing contemplative training, ethical reflection, and community support that sustain healthcare providers' capacity for sacred service.

Implementing Kabbalistic approaches to healthcare requires developing outcome measures that capture ontological dimensions of healing alongside conventional medical metrics. This might include assessments of patients' sense of meaning and

purpose, their experience of divine connection, and their capacity for what Kabbalah calls *devekut* (cleaving to the divine).

Such research would need to develop culturally sensitive instruments that could be applied across diverse spiritual backgrounds while maintaining scientific rigor. The goal would be demonstrating the value of ontological approaches to healing through evidence-based research.

One significant challenge in implementing Kabbalistic approaches in healthcare settings involves maintaining appropriate religious neutrality while honoring the ontological insights of the tradition. This requires distinguishing between the specific religious content of Kabbalah and its underlying ontological principles that may be applicable across diverse spiritual backgrounds.

The solution lies in developing approaches that draw from Kabbalistic wisdom while remaining open to diverse spiritual traditions. The ontological insights of Kabbalah—the primacy of relationship, the sacred nature of embodied existence, the importance of mystery and humility—can inform healthcare practice without requiring specific religious commitments.

Another challenge involves integrating Kabbalistic principles with the requirements of evidence-based medicine. This requires demonstrating through rigorous research that ontological approaches to healing enhance rather than compromise conventional medical outcomes.

The key is developing research methodologies that can capture both the quantitative outcomes valued by evidence-based medicine and the qualitative dimensions of healing emphasized by Kabbalistic ontology. This might involve mixed-methods research designs that combine statistical analysis with narrative inquiry and phenomenological investigation.

The Kabbalistic insistence on ontology rather than epistemology provides crucial foundations for the post-Cartesian healthcare vision outlined in this essay. By understanding reality as fundamentally relational and sacred rather than mechanistic and material, Kabbalistic ontology opens possibilities for healing that conventional medical philosophy cannot access.

This ontological revolution suggests that the deepest healing occurs not through technical intervention alone but through the restoration of proper relationship—to divine source, to authentic self, to community, and to the natural world. Healthcare based on Kabbalistic



principles would honor both the scientific advances of modern medicine and the sacred dimensions of existence that mechanistic approaches often overlook.

The path forward requires neither abandoning scientific methodology nor ignoring spiritual wisdom but rather integrating both within a more complete understanding of human existence. Kabbalistic ontology provides resources for this integration that can inform healthcare practice, research, and education in ways that serve both scientific rigor and sacred service.

In returning to its sacred origins, healthcare may discover not just better ways of treating illness but a transformation of healing itself—a return to its ontological foundations that paradoxically leads toward an unprecedented future of whole-person care that honors the divine image within each patient and healthcare provider alike.

## 25. References

1. Descartes R. *Meditations on First Philosophy*. Trans. Cottingham J. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996.
2. Taylor C. *A Secular Age*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 2007.
3. Plotinus. *The Enneads*. Trans. MacKenna S. London: Penguin Classics; 1991.
4. Hadot P. *Philosophy as a Way of Life*. Oxford: Blackwell; 1995.
5. Augustine. *Confessions*. Trans. Chadwick H. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1991.
6. McGilchrist I. *The Master and His Emissary: The Divided Brain and the Making of the Western World*. New Haven: Yale University Press; 2010.
7. Jonas H. *The Gnostic Religion: The Message of the Alien God and the Beginnings of Christianity*. Boston: Beacon Press; 2001.
8. Ungar-Sargon J. Beyond the Cartesian Split: The Dreambody Approach to Chronic Pain and Healing. *Addiction Research* 2025; 9(1): 1-12.
9. Ungar-Sargon J. Worn out Philosophical Ideas Still Pervade the Practice of Medicine: The Cartesian Split Lives On. *International Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation* 2024; 1(3): 1-10.
10. Ungar-Sargon J. A Healing Space for Caregiver and Patient: A Novel Therapeutic Clinic Model Integrating Holistic Healing Principles. *Medical and Clinical Case Reports* 2025; 5(1): 1-11.
11. Ungar-Sargon J. Prolonged Grief Disorder: Treatment Approaches and the Spiritual Dimensions of Healing. *Addiction Research* 2025; 9(1): 1-7.
12. Foucault M. *The Order of Things*. New York: Vintage; 1994.
13. Ungar-Sargon J. Navigating the Depths: A Framework for Caregiver's Grief Work. *Journal of Behavioral Health* 2025; 14(2): 1-9.
14. Idel M. *Kabbalah: New Perspectives*. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1988.
15. Idel M. *Studies in Ecstatic Kabbalah*. Albany: State University of New York Press; 1988.
16. Wolfson ER. *Through a Speculum That Shines: Vision and Imagination in Medieval Jewish Mysticism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 1994.
17. Wolfson ER. *Language, Eros, Being: Kabbalistic Hermeneutics and Poetic Imagination*. New York: Fordham University Press; 2005.
18. Wolfson ER. *Heidegger and Kabbalah: Hidden Gnosis and the Path of Poiesis*. Indiana University Press; 2019.
19. Fishbane M. *Biblical Myth and Rabbinic Mythmaking*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.
20. Fishbane E. *As Light Before Dawn: The Inner World of a Medieval Kabbalist*. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 2009.
21. Idel M. *Messianic Mystics*. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1998.
22. Wolfson ER. *Circle in the Square: Studies in the Use of Gender in Kabbalistic Symbolism*. Albany: State University of New York Press; 1995.
23. Idel M. *Kabbalah and Eros*. New Haven: Yale University Press; 2005.