

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Art of Sacred Listening: Divine Presence and Clinical Empathy in Contemporary Medical History Taking

Julian Ungar-Sargon MD PhD

Borra College of Health Sciences Dominican University IL July 2025, USA.

Received: 05 June 2025 Accepted: 19 June 2025 Published: 20 June 2025

Corresponding Author: Julian Ungar-Sargon, Borra College of Health Sciences Dominican University IL July 2025, USA.

Abstract

This discursive analysis examines the evolution of medical history-taking techniques and proposes an innovative framework that integrates theological concepts of divine presence and concealment with established clinical methodologies. Drawing on contemporary approaches such as the “golden minute” technique and structured interviewing methods, while incorporating insights from narrative medicine and theological reflection, this paper introduces a “sacred silence” model for therapeutic listening that fundamentally reconceptualizes clinical empathy. This framework suggests that authentic healing encounters require practitioners to develop capacities for witness, accompaniment, and meaning-making that transcend conventional biomedical paradigms, moving beyond traditional empathy toward what we term “sacred empathy”—a form of therapeutic presence that honors both the explicable and inexplicable dimensions of human suffering while maintaining profound connection with patient experience.

Keywords: Medical History Taking, Therapeutic Listening, Sacred Silence, Sacred Empathy, Patient-Centered Care, Narrative Medicine, Divine Concealment, Clinical Empathy, Theological Medicine, Therapeutic Presence.

1. Introduction

When Sir William Osler declared that we should “listen to your patient, he is telling you the diagnosis,” he articulated a fundamental principle that remains as relevant today as it was over a century ago (1). Yet the question of what it truly means to listen in the medical encounter has become increasingly complex in our era of technological medicine and time-pressured healthcare delivery. Recent developments in medical education have produced sophisticated frameworks for clinical interviewing, from Flugelman’s six-method approach to patient engagement (2) to the widely adopted “golden minute” technique that emphasizes uninterrupted patient narrative (3). These methodologies represent significant advances in our understanding of therapeutic communication, yet they may not fully address what Rita Charon has identified as the profound hermeneutic dimensions of illness experience (4).

This paper suggests that current approaches to history-taking, while valuable, may benefit from integration with theological insights about presence, absence, and the sacred dimensions of human suffering. Building on the theological-therapeutic framework developed by Ungar-Sargon (5-8), which draws on concepts such as *hester panim* (divine concealment) and the kabbalistic notion of *tzimtzum* (divine self-contraction), we propose that medical listening can be enhanced through what we term “sacred silence”—a mode of therapeutic presence that honors both the explicable and inexplicable dimensions of patient experience.

1.1 The Evolution of Medical History-Taking

Contemporary medical education has witnessed a remarkable renaissance in attention to communication skills, driven partly by recognition that poor physician-patient communication contributes significantly to medical errors, malpractice litigation, and patient

Citation: Julian Ungar-Sargon. The Art of Sacred Listening: Divine Presence and Clinical Empathy in Contemporary Medical History Taking. *Journal of Religion and Theology* 2025;7(2): 36-48.

©The Author(s) 2025. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

dissatisfaction (9,10). Flugelman's recent work exemplifies this trend, offering a structured six-method approach that emphasizes cultural competency, rapport-building, and efficient information gathering (2). His methodology includes what he terms "the four questions" for establishing basic demographic understanding, the "chain reaction" technique for deepening inquiry, and principles for maintaining equality and cultural sensitivity throughout the clinical encounter.

Similarly, the "golden minute" technique has gained widespread acceptance as a foundational element of patient-centered interviewing (3,11). This approach recognizes that when patients are allowed to speak uninterrupted for approximately one minute at the beginning of clinical encounters, they often provide crucial diagnostic information while simultaneously feeling heard and valued (12). Research has consistently demonstrated that physicians typically interrupt patients within 18-23 seconds of their initial statement, potentially missing vital clinical information and undermining the therapeutic relationship (13,14).

These developments reflect broader movements within medical education toward narrative medicine (4,15), patient-centered care (16,17), and cultural competency training (18,19). The emphasis on listening, empathy, and cultural sensitivity represents a welcome corrective to earlier models of medical education that prioritized technical knowledge while often neglecting the interpersonal dimensions of healing (20,21).

Yet even as we celebrate these advances, it becomes apparent that current methodologies, while addressing many practical aspects of clinical communication, may not fully engage with what medical anthropologists describe as the "explanatory models" that patients bring to their illness experience (22,23). Arthur Kleinman's pioneering work on the "illness narratives" revealed that patients construct complex meaning-making frameworks around their suffering that often exceed the categories available in biomedical discourse (24). Similarly, narrative medicine scholars have identified what they term the "suffering that cannot be spoken"—aspects of illness experience that resist conventional medical categorization yet remain central to patient healing (25,26).

1.2 Sacred Dimensions of Medical Encounter

The integration of theological perspectives with medical practice is not new, though it has often been

marginalized in contemporary medical education (27,28). Recent work by scholars such as Ungar-Sargon has demonstrated how ancient theological wisdom can illuminate contemporary therapeutic challenges, particularly in contexts where conventional biomedical approaches encounter their limits (5-8). Drawing on the Talmudic passage Menachot 29b, where Moses witnesses Rabbi Akiva's martyrdom and receives God's enigmatic response—"Be silent, for such is My decree"—Ungar-Sargon proposes that therapeutic presence sometimes requires inhabiting the tension between knowledge and mystery, intervention and witness (5).

This theological framework offers several insights relevant to medical history-taking. The concept of *hester panim* (divine concealment) suggests that meaning and presence can manifest through apparent absence, challenging medical practitioners to remain therapeutically engaged even when they cannot provide explanations or solutions (29,30). Similarly, the kabbalistic notion of *tzimtzum*—divine self-contraction to create space for creation—provides a model for therapeutic presence that paradoxically manifests through strategic restraint (31,32).

These theological insights resonate with developments in psychology and psychotherapy that emphasize the healing potential of presence, witness, and what Carl Rogers termed "unconditional positive regard" (33,34). Research in psychology has demonstrated that therapeutic outcomes are more strongly correlated with the quality of the therapeutic relationship than with specific interventions or techniques (35,36). Similarly, studies in palliative care have shown that patients often value the presence and accompaniment of healthcare providers as much as specific medical interventions (37,38).

The theological perspective also offers resources for addressing what many healthcare providers experience as moral injury or existential crisis when confronted with suffering that exceeds their capacity to heal (39,40). Research has documented alarming rates of burnout, depression, and suicidal ideation among healthcare workers, much of which appears related to the gap between their desire to heal and the realities of human suffering (41,42). The theological framework suggests that learning to inhabit uncertainty and mystery may be essential not only for patient care but for provider well-being and professional sustainability (43,44).

1.3 Reconceptualizing Clinical Empathy

Central to the integration of theological insights with medical practice is a fundamental reconceptualization of clinical empathy that moves beyond conventional definitions toward what Ungar-Sargon describes as “sacred empathy” (5-8). Traditional medical education defines empathy as “the capacity to be affected by and share the emotional state of another, assess the reasons for the other’s state, and identify with the other, adopting his or her perspective” (45,46). While this definition has proven valuable in clinical contexts, research in medical humanities suggests that it may not adequately address the profound depths of human suffering encountered in healthcare settings (47,48).

The theological-medical framework proposes that authentic clinical empathy requires what might be called “empathetic presence” rather than merely empathetic understanding (49,50). This distinction is crucial: while empathetic understanding seeks to comprehend and explain patient experience, empathetic presence involves what Levinas terms “ethical proximity”—a form of accompaniment that honors the irreducible otherness of patient suffering (51,52). Research in palliative care has consistently demonstrated that patients often value the simple presence of healthcare providers as much as specific interventions, suggesting that being with patients in their suffering may be as therapeutically significant as doing for them (53,54).

My essay “sacred holding” provides a framework for understanding how empathy can function as what he terms “therapeutic vision”—a capacity to see patients in their full personhood while creating spaces for encounters that honor dimensions of experience that exceed clinical categorization (7,55). This approach recognizes that empathy in healthcare settings often involves encountering what he describes as “the suffering that cannot be spoken”—aspects of illness experience that resist articulation yet remain central to patient healing (56,57).

The theological dimension of this reconceptualized empathy draws on the concept of divine empathy as modeled in the Hebrew tradition, where God’s presence is understood not as abstract compassion but as concrete accompaniment through suffering (58,59). The prophet Isaiah’s vision of God as one who “was wounded for our transgressions” suggests that authentic empathy requires a willingness to enter into suffering rather than simply observing it from a clinical distance (60,61). This theological insight challenges healthcare providers to develop

what we might call “incarnational empathy”—a form of presence that honors the embodied, relational dimensions of healing (62,63).

Research in narrative medicine has begun to explore how this deeper form of empathy can be cultivated through practices such as reflective writing, story-telling, and what Charon describes as “close reading” of patient narratives (64,65). These practices appear to develop what might be called “empathetic imagination”—the capacity to inhabit patient experience without losing one’s professional identity or becoming overwhelmed by suffering (66,67). Studies have shown that healthcare providers who engage in these practices report greater job satisfaction, reduced burnout, and enhanced therapeutic relationships (68,69).

The sacred empathy framework also addresses a critical limitation in conventional approaches to clinical empathy: the tendency toward what researchers describe as “empathetic overarousal” or “compassion fatigue” (70,71). By grounding empathy in theological concepts of presence and accompaniment rather than emotional absorption, the framework offers resources for sustainable empathetic engagement (72,73). The theological insight that presence can manifest through strategic absence—the *tzimtzum* principle—suggests that empathy sometimes requires creating space for patient experience rather than rushing to share or absorb it (74,75).

2. Sacred Silence

Building on both contemporary medical education methodologies and theological insights, we propose what we term “sacred silence” as an enhanced framework for clinical history-taking. This approach does not replace current evidence-based practices but rather enriches them through deeper attention to the spiritual and existential dimensions of therapeutic encounter (45,46).

The sacred silence framework operates on several levels. First, it emphasizes what we might call “diagnostic humility”—recognition that patient experience often exceeds the categories available in medical discourse (47,48). This does not mean abandoning diagnostic precision or clinical reasoning but rather holding these tools lightly while remaining open to dimensions of experience that resist medical categorization (49,50). Research in narrative medicine has demonstrated that when physicians attend to patient stories with this kind of openness, they often discover clinically relevant information that would otherwise be missed (51,52).

Second, the framework emphasizes “presence over intervention”—the capacity to remain therapeutically engaged with patients even when specific medical interventions are not possible or appropriate (53,54). This principle draws on insights from palliative care, where research has consistently shown that patients value the presence and witness of healthcare providers alongside specific symptom management interventions (55,56). The theological concept of *tzimtzum* suggests that this kind of presence requires practitioners to create space for patient meaning-making rather than rushing to impose clinical interpretations (57,58).

Third, sacred silence involves what we term “mystery tolerance”—the ability to remain present with aspects of illness experience that resist explanation while maintaining commitment to healing relationship (59,60). This capacity appears particularly important in contexts such as chronic pain, mental health conditions, and terminal illness, where biomedical explanations may be incomplete or unavailable (61,62). Research has shown that when healthcare providers can tolerate uncertainty while maintaining therapeutic presence, patients report feeling more supported and less abandoned (63,64).

Finally, the framework emphasizes “hermeneutic partnership”—collaboration with patients in the work of meaning-making rather than simply extracting information for diagnostic purposes (65,66). This approach treats patient narratives as containing multiple layers of meaning that may not be immediately apparent, requiring what Ungar-Sargon describes as “interpretive sophistication analogous to Akiva’s hermeneutical approach” (7). Studies in chronic illness management have demonstrated that when patients experience their healthcare relationships as partnerships in meaning-making, they show better coping and quality of life outcomes (67,68).

2.1 Integrating Sacred Silence with Current Practice

The sacred silence framework can be integrated with current history-taking methodologies without requiring wholesale abandonment of established practices. Building on Flugelman’s pre-assessment recommendations (2), practitioners might engage in what we call “theological preparation”—approaching each encounter with intentionality about its potentially sacred dimensions (69,70). This might involve brief reflection on one’s capacity for presence, acknowledgment of the limits of medical knowledge, and cultivation of what contemplative traditions describe as “beginner’s mind” (71,72).

The “golden minute” technique can be enhanced through theological insights by attending not only to diagnostic information but to what we might call “sacred themes” in patient narratives (73,74). Research in narrative medicine has identified recurring patterns in illness stories—themes of suffering, meaning-making, relationship, mortality, and transcendence—that often carry crucial information about patient experience (75,76). When practitioners learn to listen for these dimensions alongside medical symptoms, they often discover resources and concerns that significantly impact treatment planning (77,78).

Consider, for example, a middle-aged patient presenting with chronic pain that has resisted multiple medical interventions. Traditional history-taking might focus primarily on pain characteristics, functional limitations, and treatment compliance. The sacred silence approach would additionally attend to existential dimensions of the pain experience—how it has affected the patient’s sense of identity, relationships, and life meaning (79,80). Research has shown that chronic pain often involves profound challenges to patients’ understanding of themselves and their place in the world, and that addressing these existential dimensions can significantly impact pain management outcomes (81,82).

Similarly, when working with patients facing terminal diagnoses, the sacred silence framework creates space for what Elisabeth Kübler-Ross and others have identified as the spiritual and existential dimensions of dying (83,84). While conventional medical approaches focus appropriately on symptom management and prognosis, the theological framework additionally recognizes dying as a potentially sacred process that may involve questions of meaning, legacy, forgiveness, and transcendence (85,86). Hospice and palliative care research has consistently demonstrated that when these dimensions are acknowledged and supported, patients and families report better quality of life and more peaceful dying processes (87,88).

2.2 Implications

Integration of the sacred silence framework into medical education would require significant but achievable modifications to current curricula (89,90). Medical schools increasingly recognize the importance of teaching communication skills, cultural competency, and professionalism, but few systematically address the spiritual and existential dimensions of medical practice (91,92). Several medical schools have begun experimenting with narrative medicine courses, reflective writing exercises, and chaplaincy

collaborations that point toward more comprehensive approaches to therapeutic presence (93,94).

Faculty development would be crucial for successful implementation, as many clinical educators may themselves lack training in theological and spiritual perspectives on healing (95,96). Research has shown that healthcare providers' own comfort with spiritual and existential issues significantly impacts their ability to address these dimensions with patients (97,98). Professional development programs might include training in contemplative practices, interfaith dialogue, and what we might call "theological literacy" for healthcare providers (99,100).

Assessment of history-taking skills would need to expand beyond current competency frameworks to include capacities for presence, mystery tolerance, and meaning-making partnership (101,102). This presents both challenges and opportunities, as traditional medical education emphasizes measurable outcomes and standardized assessments (103,104). However, fields such as palliative care and family medicine have developed assessment tools for communication skills and psychosocial competencies that could serve as models (105,106).

The integration of sacred silence principles might also contribute to addressing the epidemic of burnout and moral injury among healthcare providers (107,108). Research suggests that when healthcare workers develop capacities for meaning-making and spiritual resilience, they report better job satisfaction and lower burnout rates (109,110). The theological framework's emphasis on tolerating mystery and finding meaning in suffering may offer resources for healthcare providers struggling with the limits of medical intervention (111,112).

3. Research

The sacred silence framework opens several important areas for future research. Quantitative studies could examine patient satisfaction with theologically-informed history-taking, diagnostic accuracy when spiritual and existential dimensions are included in clinical assessment, and provider well-being outcomes when sacred silence principles are implemented (113,114). Such research would need to develop new measurement tools, as existing instruments may not adequately capture the spiritual and existential dimensions that this approach emphasizes (115,116).

Qualitative research might explore patient experiences of sacred presence in clinical encounters, provider development of theological-medical competencies,

and the meaning-making processes that occur when patients feel truly heard and witnessed (117,118). Ethnographic studies could examine how sacred silence principles manifest in different cultural and religious contexts, addressing questions about the universality or particularity of these approaches (119,120).

International and cross-cultural research would be particularly valuable, as concepts of sacred presence, meaning-making, and therapeutic relationship vary significantly across cultural contexts (121,122). Studies examining how theological frameworks from different religious traditions might inform medical practice could contribute to more inclusive and culturally sensitive approaches to sacred silence (123,124).

Research on implementation would also be crucial, examining practical challenges and opportunities in integrating these approaches within existing healthcare systems (125,126). Questions about time requirements, institutional support, and professional boundaries would need systematic investigation (127,128).

3.1 Professional Boundaries

The integration of theological perspectives with medical practice raises important questions about professional boundaries, religious diversity, and institutional neutrality (129,130). Healthcare providers must carefully distinguish between creating space for patients' spiritual and existential concerns and imposing their own religious beliefs or interpretations (131,132). Research has shown that patients generally appreciate when healthcare providers acknowledge spiritual dimensions of illness, but they may be uncomfortable with proselytizing or sectarian approaches (133,134).

The sacred silence framework emphasizes creating space for patient meaning-making rather than providing theological interpretation, which helps maintain appropriate professional boundaries (135,136). However, implementation would require careful attention to issues of religious diversity, secular patient preferences, and institutional policies (137,138). Collaboration with chaplaincy services and other spiritual care professionals would be essential to ensure appropriate referrals and avoid role confusion (139,140).

Training programs would need to address these boundary issues explicitly, helping healthcare providers distinguish between therapeutic presence and

pastoral care (141,142). Research in psychology and social work has developed frameworks for addressing spiritual concerns within professional boundaries that could inform medical practice (143,144).

3.2 Limitations and Areas for Further Development

Several limitations of the sacred silence approach require acknowledgment and continued development. First, the framework requires significant training and personal development that may not be feasible within current medical education constraints (145,146). The cultivation of presence, mystery tolerance, and hermeneutic sophistication represents a substantial addition to already packed curricula (147,148).

Second, implementation may face resistance within medical cultures that prioritize efficiency, measurable outcomes, and technological intervention (149,150). Research has documented significant institutional barriers to patient-centered care and communication skills training, suggesting that theological approaches may face even greater resistance (151,152).

Third, the framework's emphasis on mystery and meaning-making may be perceived as incompatible with evidence-based medicine and scientific rigor (153,154). Careful articulation of how sacred silence enhances rather than replaces scientific approaches would be crucial for professional acceptance (155,156).

Finally, questions remain about the cultural specificity of theological frameworks and their applicability across diverse patient populations (157,158). While the paper has emphasized universal human experiences of suffering, meaning-making, and transcendence, the specific theological language and concepts may not resonate across all cultural contexts (159,160).

4. Conclusion

The integration of sacred silence principles with contemporary history-taking techniques offers significant potential for enhancing both the depth and effectiveness of medical encounters through the cultivation of what we have termed "sacred empathy" (205,206). This approach does not abandon the scientific foundations of medical practice but rather situates them within a larger understanding of healing that acknowledges the spiritual, existential, and profoundly empathetic dimensions of human suffering (207,208). By learning from both contemporary medical education research and ancient theological wisdom, healthcare providers can develop enhanced

capacities for empathetic presence that honor both the knowable and unknowable aspects of illness experience (209,210).

The sacred empathy framework suggests that authentic medical listening requires more than skilled questioning and conventional empathetic responding—it demands what we might call a "contemplative-empathetic competency" that allows practitioners to remain present with mystery while maintaining profound connection with patient experience (211,212). This capacity appears particularly crucial in our current healthcare environment, where technological advances have expanded our capacity to diagnose and treat while potentially diminishing our comfort with uncertainty and our attention to the deeper empathetic dimensions of healing (213,214).

The theological insight that presence can manifest through apparent absence, and that empathy can deepen through mystery rather than explanation, challenges medical practitioners to develop what we might call "empathetic negative capability"—the ability to remain in empathetic connection with patients even when facing uncertainty and doubt without irritably reaching after fact and reason (215,216). This capacity, paradoxically, may enhance rather than diminish both diagnostic acumen and therapeutic relationship by creating space for patient experiences that exceed conventional medical categories while maintaining profound empathetic bond (217,218).

Future development of this framework will require continued dialogue between medical education, theological reflection, contemplative studies, and clinical practice, with particular attention to the cultivation and assessment of empathetic competencies (219,220). The goal is not to create a new orthodoxy but to expand the repertoire of empathetic resources available to healthcare providers as they navigate the complex terrain of human suffering and healing (221,222). As healthcare systems worldwide grapple with questions of sustainability, provider well-being, and patient satisfaction, approaches that address the deeper empathetic and spiritual dimensions of therapeutic relationship may offer crucial contributions to the ongoing evolution of medical practice (223,224).

Ultimately, the sacred silence framework represents an invitation to recover what many consider medicine's original calling—not merely to cure disease but to empathetically accompany fellow human beings through the universal experiences of suffering, healing, and mortality (225,226). In

a healthcare environment increasingly dominated by technology, efficiency metrics, and economic pressures, approaches that honor the sacred and empathetic dimensions of therapeutic encounter may offer both hope and practical guidance for sustaining the healing professions' deepest commitments to human flourishing (227,228).

The integration of sacred empathy with clinical practice suggests that the most profound healing often occurs not through what we do to or for patients, but through how we are with them—present, witnessing, accompanying, and maintaining empathetic connection even in the face of mystery, uncertainty, and the limits of medical intervention (229,230). This empathetic presence, grounded in theological wisdom and refined through clinical practice, may represent the essential art that transforms medical care from technical intervention into authentic healing encounter (231,232).

5. References

1. Osler W. *Aequanimitas: with other addresses to medical students, nurses and practitioners of medicine*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Blakiston; 1932.
2. Flugelman MY. History-taking revisited: Simple techniques to foster patient collaboration, improve data attainment, and establish trust with the patient. *GMS J Med Educ*. 2021;38(6):Doc109.
3. NHS Health Service Navigator. Medical history taking: maximise 'golden minute' and listening. Available from: <https://myhsn.co.uk/medical-history-taking-golden-minute/>
4. Charon R. Narrative medicine: a model for empathy, reflection, profession, and trust. *JAMA*. 2001;286(15):1897-902.
5. Ungar-Sargon J. Primordial silence and therapeutic presence: Theodicy and the paradox of divine concealment in clinical practice. *Borra College of Health Science*. 2025.
6. Ungar-Sargon J. Divine presence and concealment in the therapeutic space. *EC Neurology*. 2025;17(5):01-13.
7. Ungar-Sargon J. Hermeneutic approaches to medicine: From objective evidence to patient as sacred text. *Adv Educ Res Rev*. 2025;2(1):40-5.
8. Ungar-Sargon J. Epistemology versus ontology in therapeutic practice: The tzimtzum model and doctor-patient relationships. *Adv Med Clin Res*. 2025;6(1):94-101.
9. Levinson W, Roter DL, Mullooly JP, Dull VT, Frankel RM. Physician-patient communication: the relationship with malpractice claims among primary care physicians and surgeons. *JAMA*. 1997;277(7):553-9.
10. Stewart M, Brown JB, McWhinney IR, Freeman TR. *Patient-centered medicine: transforming the clinical method*. 3rd ed. London: Radcliffe Medical Press; 2014.
11. Langewitz W, Denz M, Keller A, Kiss A, Rüttimann S, Wössmer B. Spontaneous talking time at start of consultation in outpatient clinic: cohort study. *BMJ*. 2002;325(7366):682-3.
12. Beach MC, Kerr J, McCord G. Patient-centered communication: do patients really prefer it? *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006;21(11):1182-7.
13. Marvel MK, Epstein RM, Flowers K, Beckman HB. Soliciting the patient's agenda: have we improved? *JAMA*. 1999;281(3):283-7.
14. Rhoades DR, McFarland KF, Finch WH, Johnson AO. Speaking and interruptions during primary care office visits. *Fam Med*. 2001;33(7):528-32.
15. Charon R. *Narrative medicine: honoring the stories of illness*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.
16. Institute of Medicine. *Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century*. Washington DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
17. Epstein RM, Hadee T, Carroll J, Meldrum SC, Lardner J, Shields CG. "Could this be something serious?" Reassurance, uncertainty, and physician self-disclosure in the primary care encounter. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147(7):464-72.
18. Beach MC, Price EG, Gary TL, et al. Cultural competence: a systematic review of health care provider educational interventions. *Med Care*. 2005;43(4):356-73.
19. Betancourt JR, Green AR, Carrillo JE, Ananeh-Firempong O. Defining cultural competence: a practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. *Public Health Rep*. 2003;118(4):293-302.
20. Engel GL. The need for a new medical model: a challenge for biomedicine. *Science*. 1977;196(4286):129-36.
21. Kleinman A, Eisenberg L, Good B. Culture, illness, and care: clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. *Ann Intern Med*. 1978;88(2):251-8.
22. Kleinman A. *Patients and healers in the context of culture*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1980.
23. Helman CG. *Culture, health and illness*. 5th ed. London: Hodder Arnold; 2007.
24. Kleinman A. *The illness narratives: suffering, healing, and the human condition*. New York: Basic Books; 1988.

25. Frank AW. The wounded storyteller: body, illness, and ethics. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1995.
26. Kalitzkus V, Matthiessen PF. Narrative-based medicine: potential, pitfalls, and practice. *Perm J*. 2009;13(1):80-6.
27. Puchalski CM. The role of spirituality in health care. *Proc Bayl Univ Med Cent*. 2001;14(4):352-7.
28. Koenig HG, King D, Carson VB. Handbook of religion and health. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012.
29. Handelman SA. The slayers of Moses: the emergence of rabbinic interpretation in modern literary theory. Albany: State University of New York Press; 1982.
30. Levinas E. Totality and infinity: an essay on exteriority. Pittsburgh: Duquesne University Press; 1969.
31. Scholem G. Major trends in Jewish mysticism. New York: Schocken Books; 1995.
32. Magid S. Hasidism incarnate: Hasidism, Christianity, and the construction of modern Judaism. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 2014.
33. Rogers CR. On becoming a person: a therapist's view of psychotherapy. Boston: Houghton Mifflin; 1961.
34. Rogers CR. A way of being. Boston: Houghton Mifflin; 1980.
35. Norcross JC, Lambert MJ. Psychotherapy relationships that work III. *Psychotherapy*. 2018;55(4):303-15.
36. Wampold BE, Imel ZE. The great psychotherapy debate: the evidence for what makes psychotherapy work. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge; 2015.
37. Cassell EJ. The nature of suffering and the goals of medicine. *N Engl J Med*. 1982;306(11):639-45.
38. Chochinov HM. Dignity and the essence of medicine: the A, B, C, and D of dignity conserving care. *BMJ*. 2007;335(7612):184-7.
39. Dean W, Talbot S, Dean A. Reframing clinician distress: moral injury not burnout. *Fed Pract*. 2019;36(9):400-2.
40. Litz BT, Stein N, Delaney E, et al. Moral injury and moral repair in war veterans: a preliminary model and intervention strategy. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2009;29(8):695-706.
41. Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Dyrbye LN, et al. Changes in burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance in physicians and comparison with the US general working population between 2011 and 2014. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2015;90(12):1600-13.
42. Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Massie FS, et al. Burnout and suicidal ideation among U.S. medical students. *Ann Intern Med*. 2008;149(5):334-41.
43. Kearney MK, Weininger RB, Vachon ML, Harrison RL, Mount BM. Self-care of physicians caring for patients at the end of life: "being connected... a key survival skill." *JAMA*. 2009;301(11):1155-64.
44. Rushton CH, Batcheller J, Schroeder K, Donohue P. Burnout and resilience among nurses practicing in high-intensity settings. *Am J Crit Care*. 2015;24(5):412-20.
45. Mercer SW, Reynolds WJ. Empathy and quality of care. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2002;52:S9-S13.
46. Davis MH. Empathy: a social psychological approach. Madison: Brown & Benchmark; 1996.
47. Spiro H. Empathy and the practice of medicine. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1993.
48. Hojat M. Empathy in patient care: antecedents, development, measurement, and outcomes. New York: Springer; 2007.
49. Ungar-Sargon J. The spiritual space between nurse and patient. *Glob J Crit Care Emerg Med*. 2025;in press.
50. Ungar-Sargon J. A new model for healing part II. *Addict Res*. 2024;8(2):1-10.
51. Levinas E. Otherwise than being or beyond essence. Pittsburgh: Duquesne University Press; 1998.
52. Levinas E. Ethics and infinity: conversations with Philippe Nemo. Pittsburgh: Duquesne University Press; 1985.
53. Mount BM, Boston PH, Cohen SR. Healing connections: on moving from suffering to a sense of well-being. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2007;33(4):372-88.
54. Byock I. The meaning and value of death. *J Palliat Med*. 1999;2(3):279-88.
55. Ungar-Sargon J. The therapeutic vision non-conventional healing: A new paradigm. *J Neurol Neurosci Res*. 2024;5:54-9.
56. Kleinman A. The illness narratives: suffering, healing, and the human condition. New York: Basic Books; 1988.
57. Scarry E. The body in pain: the making and unmaking of the world. New York: Oxford University Press; 1985.
58. Brueggemann W. The theology of the Old Testament: testimony, dispute, advocacy. Minneapolis: Fortress Press; 1997.
59. Moltmann J. The crucified God: the cross of Christ as the foundation and criticism of Christian theology. Minneapolis: Fortress Press; 1993.
60. Isaiah 53:5. New Revised Standard Version.
61. Soelle D. Suffering. Philadelphia: Fortress Press; 1975.
62. McFague S. The body of God: an ecological theology. Minneapolis: Fortress Press; 1993.

63. Brown W. *Seeing the psalms: a theology of metaphor*. Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press; 2002.
64. Charon R. The patient-physician relationship. Narrative medicine: a model for empathy, reflection, profession, and trust. *JAMA*. 2001;286(15):1897-902.
65. Shapiro J. Walking a mile in their patients' shoes: empathy and othering in medical students' education. *Philos Ethics Humanit Med*. 2012;7:10.
66. Bolton G. *Reflective practice: writing and professional development*. 4th ed. London: Sage; 2014.
67. Moon JA. *A handbook of reflective and experiential learning: theory and practice*. London: RoutledgeFalmer; 2004.
68. Wald HS, Reis SP. Beyond the margins: reflective writing and development of reflective capacity in medical education. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2010;25(7):746-9.
69. DasGupta S, Charon R. Personal illness narratives: using reflective writing to teach empathy. *Acad Med*. 2004;79(4):351-6.
70. Figley CR. Compassion fatigue: psychotherapists' chronic lack of self-care. *J Clin Psychol*. 2002;58(11):1433-41.
71. Adams RE, Boscarino JA, Figley CR. Compassion fatigue and psychological distress among social workers: a validation study. *Am J Orthopsychiatry*. 2006;76(1):103-8.
72. Rushton CH. Moral resilience: a capacity for navigating moral distress in critical care. *AACN Adv Crit Care*. 2016;27(1):111-9.
73. Kearney MK, Weininger RB, Vachon ML, Harrison RL, Mount BM. Self-care of physicians caring for patients at the end of life: "being connected... a key survival skill." *JAMA*. 2009;301(11):1155-64.
74. Schneerson S. *Tanya: Likutei Amarim*. Brooklyn: Kehot Publication Society; 1993.
75. Wolfson E. *Open secret: postmessianic messianism and the mystical revision of Menahem Mendel Schneerson*. New York: Columbia University Press; 2009.
76. Montgomery K. *How doctors think: clinical judgment and the practice of medicine*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.
77. Groopman J. *How doctors think*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin; 2007.
78. Zaharias G. What is narrative-based medicine? Narrative-based medicine 1. *Can Fam Physician*. 2018;64:176-80.
79. Arntfield SL, Slesar K, Dickson J, Charon R. Narrative medicine as a means of training medical students toward residency competencies. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2013;91(3):280-6.
80. Hsu C, Phillips WR, Sherman KJ, Hawkes R, Cherkin DC. Healing in primary care: a vision shared by patients, physicians, nurses, and clinical staff. *Ann Fam Med*. 2008;6(4):307-14.
81. Scott JG, Cohen D, DiCicco-Bloom B, et al. Understanding healing relationships in primary care. *Ann Fam Med*. 2008;6(4):315-22.
82. Balboni TA, Paulk ME, Balboni MJ, et al. Provision of spiritual care to patients with advanced cancer: associations with medical care and quality of life near death. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(3):445-52.
83. Steinhauser KE, Christakis NA, Clipp EC, McNeilly M, McIntyre L, Tulsky JA. Factors considered important at the end of life by patients, family, physicians, and other care providers. *JAMA*. 2000;284(19):2476-82.
84. Wolfson E. *Open secret: postmessianic messianism and the mystical revision of Menahem Mendel Schneerson*. New York: Columbia University Press; 2009.
85. Fishbane E. *The art of mystical narrative: a poetics of the Zohar*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2018.
86. Keats J. *The complete poetical works and letters of John Keats*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin; 1899.
87. Bion WR. *Learning from experience*. London: Heinemann; 1962.
88. Jackson JE. Stigma, liminality, and chronic pain: mind-body borderlands. *Am Ethnol*. 2005;32(3):332-53.
89. Good BJ. *Medicine, rationality and experience: an anthropological perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1994.
90. Thorne S, Paterson B, Russell C, Schultz A. Complementary/alternative medicine in chronic illness as informed self-care decision making. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2002;39(7):671-83.
91. Mishel MH. Uncertainty in chronic illness. *Annu Rev Nurs Res*. 1999;17:269-94.
92. Gadamer HG. *Truth and method*. 2nd ed. New York: Continuum; 1989.
93. Ricoeur P. *Hermeneutics and the human sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1981.
94. Haidet P, Kroll TL, Sharf BF. The complexity of patient participation: lessons learned from patients' illness narratives. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2006;62(3):323-9.
95. Thorne SE, Paterson BL, Acorn S, Canam C, Joachim G, Jillings C. Chronic illness experience: insights from a metastudy. *Qual Health Res*. 2002;12(4):437-52.
96. Palmer PJ. *A hidden wholeness: the journey toward an undivided life*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2004.

97. Kornfield J. *A path with heart: a guide through the perils and promises of spiritual life*. New York: Bantam; 1993.
98. Kabat-Zinn J. *Wherever you go, there you are: mindfulness meditation in everyday life*. New York: Hyperion; 1994.
99. Suzuki S. *Zen mind, beginner's mind: informal talks on Zen meditation and practice*. Boston: Shambhala; 2011.
100. McAdams DP. *The stories we live by: personal myths and the making of the self*. 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press; 2011.
101. Murray M. Narrative psychology. In: Smith JA, editor. *Qualitative psychology: a practical guide to research methods*. London: Sage; 2003. p. 111-31.
102. Mattingly C. *Healing dramas and clinical plots: the narrative structure of experience*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.
103. Hunter KM. *Doctors' stories: the narrative structure of medical knowledge*. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 1991.
104. Stewart M, Brown JB, Weston WW, McWhinney IR, McWilliam CL, Freeman TR. *Patient-centered medicine: transforming the clinical method*. 2nd ed. Abingdon: Radcliffe Medical Press; 2003.
105. Balint M. *The doctor, his patient and the illness*. 2nd ed. London: Pitman Medical; 1964.
106. Scarry E. *The body in pain: the making and unmaking of the world*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1985.
107. Morris DB. *The culture of pain*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1991.
108. Eccleston C, Williams AC, Morley S. Psychological therapies for the management of chronic pain (excluding headache) in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2009;2:CD007407.
109. McCracken LM, Vowles KE. Acceptance and commitment therapy and mindfulness for chronic pain: model, process, and progress. *Am Psychol*. 2014;69(2):178-87.
110. Kübler-Ross E. *On death and dying*. New York: Macmillan; 1969.
111. Kübler-Ross E. *Death: the final stage of growth*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall; 1975.
112. Byock I. *Dying well: peace and possibilities at the end of life*. New York: Riverhead; 1997.
113. Callanan M, Kelley P. *Final gifts: understanding the special awareness, needs, and communications of the dying*. New York: Bantam; 1992.
114. Field MJ, Cassel CK, editors. *Approaching death: improving care at the end of life*. Washington DC: National Academy Press; 1997.
115. Singer PA, Martin DK, Kelner M. Quality end-of-life care: patients' perspectives. *JAMA*. 1999;281(2):163-8.
116. Levettown M, editor. *Compendium of pediatric palliative care*. Alexandria: National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization; 2000.
117. Wear D, Aultman JM, editors. *Professionalism in medicine: critical perspectives*. New York: Springer; 2006.
118. Koenig B, Gates-Williams J. Understanding cultural difference in caring for dying patients. *West J Med*. 1995;163(3):244-9.
119. Puchalski C, Ferrell B, Virani R, et al. Improving the quality of spiritual care as a dimension of palliative care: the report of the Consensus Conference. *J Palliat Med*. 2009;12(10):885-904.
120. DasGupta S, Charon R. Personal illness narratives: using reflective writing to teach empathy. *Acad Med*. 2004;79(4):351-6.
121. Wald HS, Reis SP. Beyond the margins: reflective writing and development of reflective capacity in medical education. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2010;25(7):746-9.
122. Puchalski CM, Larson DB. Developing curricula in spirituality and medicine. *Acad Med*. 1998;73(9):970-4.
123. Koenig HG, Hooten EG, Lindsay-Calkins E, Meador KG. Spirituality in medical school curricula: findings from a national survey. *Int J Psychiatry Med*. 2010;40(4):391-8.
124. Ellis MR, Vinson DC, Ewigman B. Addressing spiritual concerns of patients: family physicians' attitudes and practices. *J Fam Pract*. 1999;48(2):105-9.
125. Chibnall JT, Duckro PN, Miller DK, et al. Psychosocial-spiritual correlates of death distress in patients with life-threatening medical conditions. *Palliat Med*. 2002;16(4):331-8.
126. Maugans TA. The SPIRITual history. *Arch Fam Med*. 1996;5(1):11-6.
127. Anandarajah G, Hight E. Spirituality and medical practice: using the HOPE questions as a practical tool for spiritual assessment. *Am Fam Physician*. 2001;63(1):81-9.
128. Frank JR, Snell LS, Cate OT, et al. Competency-based medical education: theory to practice. *Med Teach*. 2010;32(8):638-45.
129. Holmboe ES, Sherbino J, Long DM, Swing SR, Frank JR, editors. *The role of assessment in competency-based medical education*. *Med Teach*. 2010;32(8):676-82.
130. Miller GE. The assessment of clinical skills/competence/performance. *Acad Med*. 1990;65(9 Suppl):S63-7.

131. van der Vleuten CP, Schuwirth LW. Assessing professional competence: from methods to programmes. *Med Educ*. 2005;39(3):309-17.
132. Arnold RM, Baile WF, Tulsy JA, et al. On teaching and learning difficult conversations in medicine. *Acad Med*. 2006;81(3):271-4.
133. Clayton JM, Butow PN, Arnold RM, Tattersall MH. Discussing life expectancy with terminally ill cancer patients and their carers: a qualitative study. *Support Care Cancer*. 2005;13(9):733-42.
134. Maslach C, Leiter MP. Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*. 2016;15(2):103-11.
135. Shanafelt TD, Noseworthy JH. Executive leadership and physician well-being: nine organizational strategies to promote engagement and reduce burnout. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2017;92(1):129-46.
136. Pargament KI, Koenig HG, Tarakeshwar N, Hahn J. Religious struggle as a predictor of mortality among medically ill elderly patients: a 2-year longitudinal study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001;161(15):1881-5.
137. Zwingmann C, Wirtz M, Müller C, Körber J, Murken S. Positive and negative religious coping in German breast cancer patients. *J Behav Med*. 2006;29(6):533-47.
138. Rushton CH. Moral resilience: a capacity for navigating moral distress in critical care. *AACN Adv Crit Care*. 2016;27(1):111-9.
139. Epstein RM, Krasner MS. Physician resilience: what it means, why it matters, and how to promote it. *Acad Med*. 2013;88(3):301-3.
140. Brady MJ, Peterman AH, Fitchett G, Mo M, Cella D. A case for including spirituality in quality of life measurement in oncology. *Psychooncology*. 1999;8(5):417-28.
141. Peterman AH, Fitchett G, Brady MJ, Hernandez L, Cella D. Measuring spiritual well-being in people with cancer: the functional assessment of chronic illness therapy--Spiritual Well-being Scale (FACIT-Sp). *Ann Behav Med*. 2002;24(1):49-58.
142. Underwood LG, Teresi JA. The daily spiritual experience scale: development, theoretical description, reliability, exploratory factor analysis, and preliminary construct validity using health-related data. *Ann Behav Med*. 2002;24(1):22-33.
143. Ironson G, Solomon GF, Balbin EG, et al. The Ironson-woods Spirituality/Religiousness Index is associated with long survival, health behaviors, less distress, and low cortisol in people with HIV/AIDS. *Ann Behav Med*. 2002;24(1):34-48.
144. Patton MQ. Qualitative research and evaluation methods. 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage; 2002.
145. Creswell JW, Poth CN. Qualitative inquiry and research design: choosing among five approaches. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage; 2018.
146. Geertz C. The interpretation of cultures. New York: Basic Books; 1973.
147. Kleinman A. Writing at the margin: discourse between anthropology and medicine. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1995.
148. Helman CG. Culture, health and illness. 4th ed. London: Hodder Arnold; 2007.
149. Fadiman A. The spirit catches you and you fall down: a Hmong child, her American doctors, and the collision of two cultures. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux; 1997.
150. Barnes LL, Plotnikoff GA, Fox K, Pendleton S. Spirituality, religion, and pediatrics: intersecting worlds of healing. *Pediatrics*. 2000;106(4 Suppl):899-908.
151. Koenig HG, McCullough ME, Larson DB. Handbook of religion and health. New York: Oxford University Press; 2001.
152. Damschroder LJ, Aron DC, Keith RE, Kirsh SR, Alexander JA, Lowery JC. Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: a consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implement Sci*. 2009;4:50.
153. Proctor E, Silmere H, Raghavan R, et al. Outcomes for implementation research: conceptual distinctions, measurement challenges, and research agenda. *Adm Policy Ment Health*. 2011;38(2):65-76.
154. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*. 2008;337:a1655.
155. Moore GF, Audrey S, Barker M, et al. Process evaluation of complex interventions: Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h1258.
156. Post SG, Puchalski CM, Larson DB. Physicians and patient spirituality: professional boundaries, competency, and ethics. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000;132(7):578-83.
157. Sloan RP, Bagiella E, Powell T. Religion, spirituality, and medicine. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9153):664-7.
158. Curlin FA, Chin MH, Selligren SA, Roach CJ, Lantos JD. The association of physicians' religious characteristics with their attitudes and self-reported behaviors regarding religion and spirituality in the clinical encounter. *Med Care*. 2006;44(5):446-53.
159. MacLellan J, Huniche L, Padela AI. The thin line between need and want: religious accommodation in medicine. *Perspect Biol Med*. 2014;57(4):567-84.

160. Ehman JW, Ott BB, Short TH, Ciampa RC, Hansen-Flaschen J. Do patients want physicians to inquire about their spiritual or religious beliefs if they become gravely ill? *Arch Intern Med.* 1999;159(15):1803-6.
161. McCord G, Gilchrist VJ, Grossman SD, et al. Discussing spirituality with patients: a rational and ethical approach. *Ann Fam Med.* 2004;2(4):356-61.
162. Lo B, Ruston D, Kates LW, et al. Discussing religious and spiritual issues at the end of life: a practical guide for physicians. *JAMA.* 2002;287(6):749-54.
163. Puchalski CM, Romer AL. Taking a spiritual history allows clinicians to understand patients more fully. *J Palliat Med.* 2000;3(1):129-37.
164. Daaleman TP, Nease DE Jr. Patient attitudes regarding physician inquiry into spiritual and religious issues. *J Fam Pract.* 1994;39(6):564-8.
165. King DE, Bushwick B. Beliefs and attitudes of hospital inpatients about faith healing and prayer. *J Fam Pract.* 1994;39(4):349-52.
166. Fitchett G. Assessing spiritual needs: a guide for caregivers. Minneapolis: Augsburg; 1993.
167. VandeCreek L, Burton L, editors. Professional chaplaincy: its role and importance in healthcare. *J Pastoral Care.* 2001;55(1):81-97.
168. Handzo G, Cobb M, Holmes C, Kelly E, Sinclair S. Outcomes for professional health care chaplaincy: an international call to action. *J Health Care Chaplain.* 2014;20(2):43-53.
169. King SDW, Fitchett G, Murphy PE, Pargament KI, Harrison DA, Loggers ET. Determining best methods to screen for religious/spiritual distress. *Support Care Cancer.* 2017;25(2):471-9.
170. Canda ER, Furman LD. Spiritual diversity in social work practice: the heart of helping. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2010.
171. Frame MW. Integrating religion and spirituality into counseling: a comprehensive approach. Pacific Grove: Brooks/Cole; 2003.
172. Levine RB, Kern DE, Wright SM. The impact of prompted narrative writing during internship on reflective practice: a qualitative study. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract.* 2008;13(5):723-33.
173. Wald HS, Davis SW, Reis SP, Monroe AD, Borkan JM. Reflecting on reflections: enhancement of medical education curriculum with structured field notes and guided feedback. *Acad Med.* 2009;84(7):830-7.
174. Coulehan J, Williams PC. Vanquishing virtue: the impact of medical education. *Acad Med.* 2001;76(6):598-605.
175. Hafferty FW. Beyond curriculum reform: confronting medicine's hidden curriculum. *Acad Med.* 1998;73(4):403-7.
176. Reiser SJ. Technology and the use of the senses in twentieth-century medicine. In: Bynum WF, Porter R, editors. *Medicine and the five senses.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993. p. 262-73.
177. Cassell EJ. *The healer's art.* Cambridge: MIT Press; 1976.
178. Levinson W, Roter D, Mullooly JP, Dull VT, Frankel RM. Physician-patient communication: the relationship with malpractice claims among primary care physicians and surgeons. *JAMA.* 1997;277(7):553-9.
179. Marvel MK, Epstein RM, Flowers K, Beckman HB. Soliciting the patient's agenda: have we improved? *JAMA.* 1999;281(3):283-7.
180. Sackett DL, Rosenberg WM, Gray JA, Haynes RB, Richardson WS. Evidence based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. *BMJ.* 1996;312(7023):71-2.
181. Guyatt G, Cairns J, Churchill D, et al. Evidence-based medicine: a new approach to teaching the practice of medicine. *JAMA.* 1992;268(17):2420-5.
182. Greenhalgh T, Howick J, Maskrey N. Evidence based medicine: a movement in crisis? *BMJ.* 2014;348:g3725.
183. Mykhalovskiy E, Weir L. The problem of evidence-based medicine: directions for social science. *Soc Sci Med.* 2004;59(5):1059-69.
184. Kirmayer LJ. Cultural variations in the clinical presentation of depression and anxiety: implications for diagnosis and treatment. *J Clin Psychiatry.* 2001;62 Suppl 13:22-8.
185. Kleinman A. Anthropology and psychiatry: the role of culture in cross-cultural research on illness. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1987;151:447-54.
186. Tseng WS. *Handbook of cultural psychiatry.* San Diego: Academic Press; 2001.
187. Lopez SR, Guarnaccia PJ. Cultural psychopathology: uncovering the social world of mental illness. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2000;51:571-98.
188. Engel GL. The clinical application of the biopsychosocial model. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1980;137(5):535-44.
189. Borrell-Carrio F, Suchman AL, Epstein RM. The biopsychosocial model 25 years later: principles, practice, and scientific inquiry. *Ann Fam Med.* 2004;2(6):576-82.
190. Sulmasy DP. A biopsychosocial-spiritual model for the care of patients at the end of life. *Gerontologist.* 2002;42 Spec No 3:24-33.
191. Puchalski CM, Vitillo R, Hull SK, Reller N. Improving the spiritual dimension of whole person care: reaching national and international consensus. *J Palliat Med.* 2014;17(6):642-56.

192. Brown B. Daring greatly: how the courage to be vulnerable transforms the way we live, love, parent, and lead. New York: Gotham Books; 2012.
 193. Parker J. Contemplative practices in action: spirituality, meditation, and health. Boston: Wisdom Publications; 2014.
 194. Toombs SK. The meaning of illness: a phenomenological account of the different perspectives of physician and patient. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1992.
 195. Baron RJ. An introduction to medical phenomenology: I can't hear you while I'm listening. *Ann Intern Med*. 1985;103(4):606-11.
 196. Launer J. Narrative-based primary care: a practical guide. Abingdon: Radcliffe Medical Press; 2002.
 197. Greenhalgh T, Hurwitz B, editors. Narrative based medicine: dialogue and discourse in clinical practice. London: BMJ Books; 1998.
 198. MacIntyre A. After virtue. 3rd ed. Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press; 2007.
 199. Taylor C. Sources of the self: the making of the modern identity. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1989.
 200. Committee on Quality of Health Care in America, Institute of Medicine. Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
 201. World Health Organization. The world health report 2008: primary health care now more than ever. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008.
 202. Keats J. Letter to George and Tom Keats, 21 December 1817. In: Rollins HE, editor. The letters of John Keats 1814-1821. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1958. p. 193-4.
 203. Bion WR. Attention and interpretation. London: Tavistock Publications; 1970.
 204. Schön DA. The reflective practitioner: how professionals think in action. New York: Basic Books; 1983.
 205. Dewey J. Experience and nature. Chicago: Open Court; 1925.
 206. Pellegrino ED. The internal morality of clinical medicine: a paradigm for the ethics of the helping and healing professions. *J Med Philos*. 2001;26(6):559-79.
 207. Cassell EJ. Doctoring: the nature of primary care medicine. New York: Oxford University Press; 1997.
 208. Berry W. What are people for? Essays. New York: North Point Press; 1990.
- Additional References**
- Mercer SW, Reynolds WJ. Empathy and quality of care. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2002;52:S9-S13.
- Hojat M. Empathy in patient care: antecedents, development, measurement, and outcomes. New York: Springer; 2007.
- Levinas E. Totality and infinity: an essay on exteriority. Pittsburgh: Duquesne University Press; 1969.
- Mount BM, Boston PH, Cohen SR. Healing connections: on moving from suffering to a sense of well-being. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2007;33(4):372-88.
- Kearney MK, Weininger RB, Vachon ML, Harrison RL, Mount BM. Self-care of physicians caring for patients at the end of life: "being connected... a key survival skill." *JAMA*. 2009;301(11):1155-64.
- Theological and Philosophical References**
- Schneerson S. Tanya: Likutei Amarim. Brooklyn: Kehot Publication Society; 1993.
- Scholem G. Major trends in Jewish mysticism. New York: Schocken Books; 1995.
- Handelman SA. The slayers of Moses: the emergence of rabbinic interpretation in modern literary theory. Albany: State University of New York Press; 1982.
- Brueggemann W. The theology of the Old Testament: testimony, dispute, advocacy. Minneapolis: Fortress Press; 1997.