

Application of Multifactorial Discriminant Analysis of Morphostructural Differentiation of Sheep

¹Dauda, A., ²Abbaya, H. Y and ¹Ebegbulem, V. N

Department of Animal Science University of Calabar P. M. B. 1115 Calabar, Nigeria ¹Department of Animal Production, Adamawa State University, Mubi, P. M. B. 025, Mubi

*Corresponding Author: Dauda, A., Department of Animal Production, Adamawa State University, Mubi, P. M. B. 025, Mubi

ABSTRACT

A study on phenotypic variation was carried out with a total of 905 sheep comprising 250 Koroji (120 males and 130 females), 233 Balami (115 males and 118 females), 202 Uda (98 males and 104 females) and 220 Yankasa (108 males and 112 females). The animals were selected based of alertness, health and absence of deformity. Theparameters measured were body weight (BW), body length (BL), height at wither (HTW), chestcircumference (CC), head length (HDL), headwide (HDW), ear length (EL), horn length(HNL), horn circumference (HNC), tail length(TL), rump wide (RW), rump length (RL), height at rump (HR), foreleg (FLG), hind leg(HLG), height at rump (HTR) and neck length(NL). The data were analyzed with SPSS. The results revealed that breed had significant (P < 0.05) effect on phenotypic traits. This study revealed highest genetic distance between Balami and Yankasa (27.57) than followed by distance between Balami and Koroji (18.36) and the least is between Koroji and Uda (7.22). Eigen value revealed share variance (69.6) of function 1 and 19.3 and 11.1% in function 2 and 3 respectively. The result of structure matrix revealed EL has the highest loading (0.73) followed by RW (0.18) in function 1. RL has the highest loading (0.46) followed by HDL (0.43) in function 2. HDW has the highest loading (0.91) followed by BW (0.76) in function 3. Beside, standardized canonical discriminate coefficients revealed that the highest EL (1.04) and HDL (0.85) were in function 1, RL (1.26), HDL (0.89) in function 2 and HDW (1.02) and RW (0.37) in function 3. The study concluded that breeds had effect on phenotypic traits of sheep. The genetic distance showed large distance between Balami and Yankasa and low distance between Koroji and Uda. Eigenvalue showed 69.6% variations that exist between the four breeds populations. The structure matrix and standard canonical discriminant coefficient revealed EL, HDL, HDW and RW as the discriminators and predictors of variations in sheep (Balami, Koroji, Uda.

KEYWORDS: Discriminant, Sheep, Traits, Morphological

INTRODUCTION

The relative contribution of livestock to agricultural gross domestic product (GDP) is higher in the developed regions but the trend has been slightly downwards over the past 30 years, whereas in most developing regions, there has been a rise in the importance of livestock (FAO, 2001). Within the livestock industry in Nigeria, small ruminants represent a very important national resource. Sheep are reared primarily for meat and play significant socio-economic roles in the lives of rural dwellers (Yakubu and 2011). Adequate knowledge Ibrahim. of diversities within and between animal populations will not only help in reducing misidentification in animal husbandry but also aid conservation of many important endangered alleles. Sub-Saharan Africa is an important source of farm animal genetic resources as most of the alleles coding for traits which are implicated for resistance to stress, resistance to diseases, ability to thrive on low quality feed can be found in this region (Yunusa et al., 2013). Genetic diversity in animal breeds allows for the existence of livestock in all but few environments globally, providing a range of products and functions (Salako and Ngere, diversity 2002). It is the in genetic characteristics that enable different animal races to survive in different climatic zones of the world (Yunusa et al., 2013). The characterization of indigenous sheepbreeds and breed diversity are essential forconservation of their genes sources and attainment of the flocks position for breedingpurposes as well as to meet future needs (Yilmaz et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2014; Dauda et al., 2018b). A good description orcharacterization comparison based on morphological properties can provide to some

extent areasonable representation of the differences among the breeds, though not exhaustive, itserves as the foundation upon whichdeoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) analysis can bebuilt (Yunusa et al 2013). Knowledge of morphometriccharacteristics marks the first step inclassification of farm animal genetic resources (FAnGR) (Delgado et al 2001). In Nigeria effort morphometric previously, on differentiation of Nigerian breeds of sheep have been restricted to the use of analysis of variance, whereas the current trend in livestockclassification involves the use of multivariatestatistical tools (Zaitoun et al., 2005; Dossa et al., 2007). Multifactorial analyses of morphological traits have been proved to be suitable inassessing genetic variation within andbetween populations when all morphologicalvariables considered are simultaneously (Yakubu and Ibrahim, 2011). The general objective of this study is to characterize four local sheep breeds of Nigeria based on morphological differences using multifactorial discriminant analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

The study was carried out at Maidugurimetropolis. Maiduguri is the capital and thelargest urban center of Borno State, NorthEastern Nigeria. The state lies between latitude11°32' North and 11°40' North and latitude13°20' East and 13°25' East between the Sudan Savanna and Sahel Savanna vegetation zones, characterized by short rainy season of 3-4months (June-September) followed by aprolonged dry season of about 8 monthsduration (BMLS. 2016). **Management of Experimental Animal**

The animals were managed underextensive management where they weresubjected to the traditional extensivemanagement system, with little or no provision for shelter in the day and night. They grazedduring the day on natural pasture containingforages such as Northern Gamba grass(Andropogon gayanus), Stylo (Stylosanthesgracilis) and Leucaena (Leucaenaleucocephala). Occasionally, supplements suchas groundnut haulm, beans shell, cereal offal and crop residues were sometimes providedprior and/or after grazing of natural pastures. Adequate health care was virtually nonexistent while uncontrolled breeding was thepractice.

Phenotypic Traits Measured

The morphological measurements were taken from selected 905 sheep comprising 250 Koroji (120 males and 130 females), 233 Balami (115 males and 118 females), 202 Uda (98 males and 104 females) and 220 Yankasa (108 males and 112 females). The animals were selected based of alertness, health and absence of deformity. The parameters measured were body weight (BW), body length (BL), height at wither (HTW), chestcircum ference (CC), head length (HDL), head wide (HDW), ear length (EL), horn length(HNL), horn circumference (HNC), tail length(TL), rump wide (RW), rump length (RL), height at rump (HR), foreleg (FLG), hind leg(HLG), height at rump (HTR) and neck length(NL). The weight (Kg) was measured by using of glas fiber band with model number WJ515and the height measurement (cm) was done using a ruler. This was achieved by placing the animals on a flatground and held by two field assistants. The length and circumference measurements (cm)were carried out using a graduated tape. Measurements were done in the every morning before the animals were released grazing throughout the period for of morphometric measurement. All measurements were carried out by the same person, in order to avoid inter-individual variations.

Data Analysis

The statistical analysisfor the phenotypic traitswere obtained using PROC UNIVARIATE procedure of SAS (2004), with breed of sheepincluded in the model as the source of variation. Means separation was done using Duncan's Multiple Range Test of the same statistical package at probability level of 5%. The linear model employed was:

Yij= μ + α i+ e_{ij}

where,

Yij=record of morphological traits of each animal

 μ =overall mean

 α i=fixed effect of the ith breed (i = Balami, Koroji, Uda, Yankasa)

eij=random residual error associated with record of each animal.

The Estimation of Mahalanobis squared distances (D2) between different breeds in same location were obtained using Candisc procedure of SAS (1999). Squared distance (D2) between the different breeds in same location was

estimated by the following relationship D2 (i/j) = (xi - xj) cov-1 (xi - xj).

Where D2 = genetic distance between populations in an m- dimensional space. I/i = the element of the ith row and the ith column of the inverse matrix.

xi-xj= mean sets of original variables Cov = covariance of the original data set.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of effect of breeds on phenotypic traits are presented in Table 1. The result showed that all the phenotypic traits were significantly (P<0.05) different except BW, HDW and NL. BW, HTW, CC, TL, HL, FL, HTR showed high values 64.12, 84.87, 84.41, 47.24, 66.26, 56.56 and 76.37 respectively in Koroji except El and RL value 24.11 and 22.18 is high in Balami sheep. Balami sheep also had significantly higher (P<0.05) values for all the phenotypic traits measured compared to Yankasa and Uda counterparts. This indicates

Table1.*Effect of Breeds on Phenotypic Traits*

that Koroji sheep is bigger than the three other sheep found in the northern Nigeria. The present phenotypic variations as showed in this study could be an indication of the inherent genetic constitution of each sheep breed. Morphological variation could be quite attractive for screening overall adaptive genetic diversity (Toro and Caballero, 2005). The value of HTW, CC obtained in this study were higher the value 76.01 and 79.40 reported for mature Balami sheep (Yunusa et al., 2013). The HTW and CC obtained in this study were also higher than HTWs of 67.4 and 68.0cm and CCs of 80.0 and 81.3cm respectively for mature Zulu sheep in South Africa (Kunene et al., 2007). The variation in CC and HTW could be due to breeds, management or environment.Productivity of sheep is affected by many factors, such as breed improvement programs based on the maximum utilization of genetic variation, but these features may also vary due to certain environmental factors (Petrovic et al., 2011)

	Balami	l	Koroji		Uda	Yan	kasa	
Traits	Mean	Std Dev						
BW	60.87 ^a	14.31	64.12 ^a	16.42	57.83 ^a	17.76	51.09 ^b	8.47
BL	52.80	5.81	53.17	5.91	50.48	8.14	50.67	5.12
HTW	81.51 ^b	6.26	84.87 ^a	8.11	79.27 ^{bc}	7.04	76.84 ^c	6.83
CC	82.12 ^{ab}	8.42	84.41 ^a	9.23	79.39 ^{bc}	12.46	76.96 ^c	5.63
HDL	23.91 ^a	9.72	23.37 ^{ab}	3.37	22.34 ^{ab}	2.73	21.09 ^b	3.06
HDW	12.31	1.81	12.35	1.57	11.93	2.22	12.00	3.22
EL	24.11 ^a	3.29	20.50 ^c	2.65	22.43 ^b	2.87	18.09 ^d	1.98
TL	45.62 ^{ab}	6.80	47.24 ^a	5.64	44.27 ^b	5.47	45.72 ^{ab}	7.19
RL	22.18 ^a	3.35	21.78 ^a	2.93	18.82 ^b	4.66	20.88 ^a	3.83
RW	16.42 ^{bc}	2.10	17.67 ^a	2.01	15.80 ^c	3.11	17.44 ^{ab}	2.53
HTR	74.86 ^{ab}	6.58	76.37 ^a	7.51	72.88 ^b	6.06	69.53 [°]	6.32
FL	53.93 ^b	5.07	56.56 ^a	5.89	53.77 ^b	3.67	51.56 ^b	7.77
HL	62.98 ^b	4.98	66.26 ^a	5.67	63.57 ^b	5.69	60.00 ^c	7.31
NL	31.51	3.44	32.94	6.96	33.16	4.70	32.47	6.77

BW=body weight, BL=body length, HTW=height-at-wither, CC=chest circumference, HDL=head length, HDW=head width, EL=ear length, TL=tail length, RL=rump length, RW=rump width, HTR=height-at-rump, *FL*=fore leg length, *HL*=hind leg length, *NL*=neck length. Std Dev=standard deviation

The results of Mahalanobis distance between Balami, Koroji, Uda and Yankasa are presented in Table 2.Genetic distance which is the degree of genetic difference (genomic difference) between breeds/species or populations are measured by some numerical method (Dauda et al., 2018b). Thus, the average number of codon or nucleotide differences per gene is a measure of genetic distance. It is theoretically obtained as difference in allele frequencies for all loci in animal genome (Yunusa et al., 2013; Dauda et al., 2018b).Genetic distance is important to determine the hybrid vigour (heterosis) expected

during crossbreeding (Yunusa et al., 2013). Since, to exploit animal genetic resources it is pertinent to have background knowledge of the amount of genetic variation that exists between and within the species (Dauda et al., 2018b). This study revealed highest genetic distance between Balami and Yankasa (27.57) than followed by distance between Balami and Koroji (18.36) and the least is between Koroji and Uda (7.22). The high genetic distance observed between Balami and Yankasa could be due to natural selection, artificial selection and adaptation to environmental conditions whereas

the lower genetic distance between koroji and Uda could be due to genetic exchange that has taken place overtime and has reduced the genetic distance that would have theoretically described their differences. This could have been facilitated by geographical proximity, was whereby there unrestricted and indiscriminate crossbreeding among local populations (Yakubu and Ibrahim, 2011). Thus, crossbreeding between Balami and Yankasa produce good heterosis, while the may

crossbreeding between Koroji and Uda might not produce desirable heterosis with regard to traits of economic importance. Animal genetic diversity is essential in meeting the protein shortage in the developing countries such as Nigeria. Genetic diversity of livestock species allows animal production to be practiced in a range of environments and with a range of different objectives. It provides the raw material for survival and adaptability of a species.

	Balami	Koroji	Uda
Balami			
Koroji	18.36		
Uda	11.94	7.22	
Yankasa	27.57	8.53	15.72

Table2.Mahalanobis Distance between Balami, Koroji, Uda and Yankasa

The results Eigen value, Canonical correlation, Cumulative and Variance Percentage are presented in Table 3. The percentage of share variance (69.6) of function 1 is higher than 19.3 and 11.1% in function 2 and 3 respectively. The canonical correlation of function 1 is also higher (0.712) than 0.471 and 0.375 in function 1 and 2 respectively. The high percentage of the shared variance (eigenvalue) and the total variation in the grouping of discriminant function 1 in this study could be attributed to the differences among the four sheep populations in their phenotypic traits and evidence of high genetic variability among them. The results of Structure Matrix for Discriminant Functions are presented in Table 4. The result revealed that EL has the highest loading (0.73) and followed by RW (0.18) in function 1. RL has the highest loading (0.46) followed by HDL (0.43) in function 2. HDW has the highest loading (0.91) followed by BW (0.76) in function 3. The structure matrix showed the correlation of each variable with each discriminant function (Gwaza and Igbayima, 2015). The highest loading of EL and RW suggested that the correlation between EL and RW was the function that discriminated between the populations in discriminant

function 1. In discriminate function 2, high loading of RL and HDL suggested that the correlation between these was the function that discriminated between the populations in discriminant function 2 and correlation between HDW and BW in function 3 also implies are the traits that discriminated between the populations. These traits are the most powerful predictors of variation in body dimension of four breeds of sheep between populations. The results of standardized canonical discriminant coefficients are presented in Table 5. The results revealed that EL (1.04) and HDL (0.85) in their standardized canonical discriminant coefficients in function 1, RL (1.26) showed highest followed by HDL (0.89) in function 2 and HDW (1.02) showed highest and followed by RW (0.37) in function 3. The standardized canonical discriminant coefficient provides information on the importance and strength of each predictor to the discriminant function (Meritexell et al., 2003). The aforementioned traits are adequate to be use in discriminating and identifying phenotypic variation between the populations of four breeds of sheep (Balami, Koroji, Uda and Yankasa).

Function	Eigenvalue	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Canonical correlation
1	1.026	69.6	69.6	0.712
2	0.285	19.3	88.9	0.471
3	0.164	11.1	100.0	0.375

 Table 3.Eigen value, Canonical correlation, Cumulative and Variance Percentage

Table 4.Structure Matrix for Discriminant Functions

Function				
Traits	1	2	3	
EL	0.73	0.20	-0.04	
HDW	0.09	0.20	0.91	

Application of Multifactorial Discriminant Analysis of Morphostructural Differentiation of Sheep

BW	0.06	0.07	0.76
CC	0.10	0.10	0.74
HTR	0.17	0.21	0.71
BL	0.02	0.08	0.68
RL	0.07	0.46	0.67
HDL	0.05	0.43	0.63
FLG	0.10	0.27	0.60
HTW	0.14	0.03	0.50
RW	0.18	-0.19	0.47
TL	0.03	0.11	0.28
HNL	0.15	0.02	0.26
NL	0.15	0.07	0.20

Function				
Traits	1	2	3	
HDW	0.26	0.33	1.02	
EL	1.04	0.53	-0.27	
RL	0.35	1.26	0.36	
RW	0.74	0.10	0.37	
HTR	0.65	-0.09	0.17	
HDL	0.85	0.89	-0.20	

 Table 5.Standardized Canonical Discriminant Coefficients

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that breeds had effect on phenotypic traits of sheep. The genetic distance showed large distance between Balami and Yankasa and low distance between Koroji and Uda. Eigenvalue showed 69.6% variations that exist between the four breeds populations. The structure matrix and standard canonical discriminant coefficient revealed EL, HDL, HDW and RW as the powerful discriminators and predictors of variations in sheep (Balami, Koroii. Uda and Yankasa) populations. Information emanating from this study will aid in future conservation, selection and planning of breeding programmes.

REFERENCE

- [1] Borno State Ministry of Land and Survey,(BMLS) (2016). Annual Report 15-58
- [2] Dauda, A., Abbaya, H. Y., Shettima, S. M. and Saul, S. (2018a). Phenotypic Differentiation of Koroji Sheep in Maiduguri, *Nigerian Journal of Animal Science*, 20 (2): 33-41
- [3] Dauda, A., Okon, B. and Ibom, L. A. (2018b). Genetic Diversity of Giant African Land Snails (GALS). Proceedings of 6th NSCB Biodiversity Conference; Uniuyo 2018 (144 – 147 pp)
- [4] Delgado, J.V., Barba, C., Camacho, M.E., Sereno, F.T.P.S., Martinez, A. and Vegapla, J.L. (2001). Livestock characterisation in Spain. *Agriculture*, 29:7-18.
- [5] Dossa, L.H., Wollny, C., Gauly, M., 2007. Spatial variation in goat populations from Benin as revealed by multivariate analysis of

morphological traits. *Small Ruminants Resource*. 73:150-159.

- [6] FAO, 2001. Production Yearbook, Vol. 48. FAO, Roma, Italy. Available from: <u>http://faostat.fao.org/site/395/default.aspx</u>
- [7] Gwaza, D. S and Igbayima, W. S. (2015). The use of morphological measurement for characterization of local chicken ecotypes populations. *Journal of Animal Production Resource*, 27:21-26
- [8] Herrera M., Rodero E., Gutierrez M.J., Pena, F., Rodero J.M. (1996). Application of multifactorial discriminant analysis in the morphostructural differentiation of Andalusian caprine breeds. *Small Ruminant Resource*, 22: 39–47
- [9] Khan, M. A., Tariq, M.M., Eyduran, E., Tatliyer A., Rafeeq, M., Abbas, F., Rashid, N., Awan, M. A. and Javed, K. (2014). Estimating body weight from several body measurements in harnai sheepwithout multicollinearity problem. *The journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 24(1): 120- 126.
- [10] Kunene, N.W., Bezuidenhout, C.C., Nsahlai, I.V., (2009). Genetic and phenotypic diversity in Zulu sheep populations: implications for population. *Small Ruminant Resource*, 84:100-107.
- [11] Meritexell, R. E. A., Bredeweg, E. L. and Nagrete, O, C. (2003). A discriminant function for predicting sex in BelearicSheawater. *Water birds*, 26(1):72-76.
- [12] Petrovic, M. P., Muslic, D. R., Petrovic, V. C. and Maksimovic, N. (2011). Influence of environmental factors on birth weight variability of indigenous Serbian breeds of

sheep. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(22): 4673-4676

- [13] Salako, A. E. and Ngere, L. O. (2002). Application of multifactorial discriminant analysis in the Morphometric structural differentiation of the WAD and Yankasa sheep in the humid southwest Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*. 29(2):163-167.
- [14] Statistical Analysis System (SAS) (2004). User's guide: Statistic. SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, NC,USA
- [15] Toro, M.A., Caballero, A., (2005). Characterization and conservation of genetic diversity in subdivided populations. Philos. T. R. Soc. B 360:1367-1378
- [16] Yakubu, A. and Ibrahim, A. I. (2010). Multivariate analysis of morphostructural

characteristics in Nigerian indigenous sheep. *Italian Journal of Animal Science, 10:e17*

- [17] Yilmaz, O., I. Cemal and O. Karaca (2013). Estimation of mature live weight using some body measurements in Karya sheep. *Tropical Animal Health Production*, 45: 397–403.
- [18] Yunusa, A. J., Salako, A. E., and Oladejo, O. E. (2013). Morphometric characterization of Nigerian indigenous sheep using multifactorial discriminant analysis. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*, 5(10): 661-665
- [19] Zaitoun, I. S., Tabbaa, M. J. and Bdour, S. (2005). Differentiation of native goat breeds of Jordan on the basis of morphostructural characteristics. *Small Ruminant Resource*. 56:173-182.