

Alex Williams<sup>1</sup>, Jingfang Hao<sup>2</sup>, Moaed Al Meselmani<sup>3</sup>, Rosine De Paepe<sup>2</sup>, Bertrand Gakière<sup>2</sup>, Pierre Pétriacq<sup>1,4</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, Univ. of Sheffield, S10 2TN Sheffield, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay (IPS2), CNRS, INRA, Univ. Paris-Sud, Univ. Evry, Univ. Paris-Diderot, Univ. Paris-Saclay, Bâtiment 630, Rue Noetzlin, Gif-sur-Yvette cedex, France.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Univ. of Sheffield, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup>biOMICS Facility, Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, Univ. of Sheffield, S10 2TN Sheffield, United Kingdom

\*Corresponding Author: Dr Pierre Pétriacq, biOMICS Facility, Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, Univ. of Sheffield, S10 2TN Sheffield, United Kingdom

## ABSTRACT

Environmental constraints, such as biotic stress, are detrimental for plant productivity, survival and reproduction. Although plants have evolved metabolic mechanisms to tolerate environmental challenges, our knowledge on the importance of mitochondrial metabolism in biotic stress responses is still fragmentary. This study examined the effects of mutations in mitochondrial complex I (CI) and determined major stress-responsive metabolites associated with decreased tolerance to fungal infection. Using the pathosystem Arabidopsis thaliana-Plectosphaerella cucumerina, we demonstrated that the loss of CI function dramatically increased susceptibility to the necrotrophic pathogen. During infection, metabolomics analysis revealed that CI dysfunction caused a profound reorchestration of plant metabolism, including defence pathways. This metabolomics study demonstrates a clear role for mitochondrial CI function in tolerance to environmental biotic stress.

**Keywords**: Environmental stress, mitochondria, Arabidopsis thaliana, metabolomics, Plectosphaerella cucumerina, plant immunity

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In their environment, plants constantly face stress inducing adverse conditions, such as biotic infections (e.g. fungi, bacteria, pests) or abiotic constraints (e.g. drought, flood, heat, cold), which consequently reduce plant growth and yields. However, plant metabolism is particularly plastic and reactive, permitting acclimation to environmental fluctuations, and requires a new state of biochemical homeostasis, attained by a fine tuning of cellular processes and metabolic pools<sup>1</sup>. In this context, mitochondria not only house the important biochemical reactions involved in energy production in plants, but also participate in reduction/oxidation (redox) processes, which are pivotal for cellular signalling<sup>2</sup>. The main mitochondrial electron transport chain (mtETC) comprises four complexes (CI-CIV) and couples electron transfer to proton translocation through the inner mitochondrial membrane <sup>3</sup>. In addition, plants possess alternative respiratory routes, alternative NADP(H) dehydrogenases and alternative oxidase (AOX), which are not involved in energy production but allow viability of mutants of enzymes of the main pathway <sup>4</sup>.

CI, CII and CIII are important for production of mitochondrial ROS in plants, redox homeostasis and stress responses <sup>5–7</sup>. Mutations affecting CII have been implicated in the reduction of transcripts associated with the defence signalling hormone salicylic acid (SA), and impart a greater susceptibility to both (hemi) biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens<sup>8</sup>. In addition, mitochondrial ROS production via NAD signalling (*i.e.* a redox and stress signal <sup>9</sup>) leads to resistance against various pathogens <sup>10</sup>,

which further suggests an important role for mitochondrial ROS in biotic stress responses. CI, (*i.e.* NADH: ubiquinone oxidoreductase; EC 1.6.5.3) comprises more than 40 subunits <sup>11</sup>, and has received considerable attention regarding its role in plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses <sup>12–16</sup>. However, the impact of CI dysfunction on necrotrophic fungal stress is unknown.

Plectosphaerella (*P*.) cucumerina is а pathogenic ascomycete, which can strive on dead/decomposing plant tissues, saprophytically survive in soil and infect Arabidopsis thaliana (Arabidopsis) and several crops. When P. cucumerina is droplet-inoculated onto Arabidopsis leaves, the infection develops typical necrotrophic symptoms  $^{17}$ . To resist *P*. cucumerina, Arabidopsis deploys an arsenalof chemical responses ranging from phytohormones, glucosinolates and other largescale metabolic alterations <sup>17–20</sup>. In this study, we demonstrate that the disease phenotypes by P. cucumerina infection drastically intensify when CI function is impaired in Arabidopsis. Based on mass spectrometry analysis of metabolic markers, we provide evidence that the fungal infection causes large perturbations in Arabidopsis metabolome in response to loss of CI function. Our study has unveiled a new role mitochondrial CI in adaptation for to necrotrophic fungal stress.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

# **Plant Cultivation and Growth Conditions**

TheArabidopsis wild-type accession Col-0 (WT) was used along with Col-0 double mutant line ndufs8.1 ndufs8.2 (referred to as 23) and single mutant *ndufs4* (provided by E.H. Meyer and referred to as 18)<sup>14,16</sup>. Both mutants are disrupted in genes encoding subunits located in the peripheral arm of CI and display a reduced growth rate in short-day (SD) conditions <sup>16</sup>. Plants were grown in controlled SD conditions (8.5:15.5 h light:dark, 20:18 °C light:dark; 70% relative humidity, and 120  $\mu$ mol photon m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). To analyse WT and mutant plants of similar developmental and physiological stage, seeds of 18 mutant were sown 1 week before 23 mutant, which was delayed by 1 week with WT Col-0 seeds <sup>16</sup>. Hence, plants of 18, 23, and WT were grown for 6, 5 and 4 weeks, respectively.

# **Pathogenicity Assay**

Inoculation of *P. cucumerina* was performed by droplet  $(10^6 \text{ spores mL}^{-1})$ , and disease

progression was determined as lesion diameter together with microscopic observation of hyphal colonization by Trypan Blue staining <sup>17</sup>. Statistical differences in lesion diameter (n = 6, +/- SEM) were assessed using Student's *t*-test (P < 0.05 or 0.01) in Microsoft Excel.

### **Metabolomics**

All chemicals were of analytical grade (Sigma-Aldrich, UK). Untargeted metabolic profiling by Ultra Pressure Liquid Chromatography-Quadrupole-Time Of Flight-Mass Spectrometry (UPLC-Q-TOF-MS) were performed as detailed previously <sup>10</sup>. Briefly, each individual sample (n= 4) consisted of 4 pooled leaves from different plants of WT, 23 or 18 genotype, which were mock-inoculated (water) or P. cucumerina infected  $(10^6 \text{ spores mL}^{-1})$ . Samples were collected at 13 DPI, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, freeze-dried and stored at -80 °C until methanol extractions were undertaken <sup>10</sup>. Multivariate analysis of metabolomics data (37,522 m/z) was conducted in MetaboAnalyst v.3 (www.metaboanalyst.ca) using interquartile range filtering, median normalisation, cube-root transformation and Pareto scaling, after which PCA and HCA were constructed. Univariate analysis was performed using MarVis v.1 (marvis.gobics.de) to filter by ANOVA (P< 0.01) with a Benjamini-Hochberg correction for false discovery rate (FDR), yielding 1,405 significant metabolic markers. Using this filtered selection, binary comparisons between mock-inoculated or *P. cucumerina*-infected were conducted in MeV tissues v.4 (mev.tm4.org), using Student's *t*-tests (P < 0.01). diagrams were constructed online Venn (bioinformatics.psb.ugent. be/webtools/Venn). The resulting common markers for *P*. cucumerina- infected 23 and 18 mutants were identified putatively from their accurately METLIN detected m/zusing (metlin.scripps.edu) and PubChem (pubchem. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) online chemical databases.

# RESULTS

# Loss of Mitochondrial CI in Arabidopsis Drastically Decreases Tolerance to the Fungal Pathogen P. cucumerina

Arabidopsis wild-type Col-0 plants (WT), and two independent CI mutant lines, the double mutant *ndufs8.1 ndufs8.2* (23 <sup>16</sup>), and the single mutant *ndufs4* (18 <sup>14</sup>), of similar developmental stage were challenged by droplet-inoculation of *P. cucumerina.* Markedly, disease lesions by 8 days of *P. cucumerina* infection were more severe in the 23 and 18 mutant lines as compared to WT (Fig. 1A). This correlated with increased staining of fungal hyphae and associated cell death at 8 DPI (Fig. 1B). Measured at 8 and 13 DPI, lesion diameters confirmed quantitative differences in disease severity between genotypes with (WT) or without a functional CI (23 and 18; Fig.1C), and suggested a bigger impact of *ndufs4* genotype over *ndufs8.1 ndufs8.2* genotype. Hence, our results indicate that CI dysfunction in Arabidopsis favours fungal colonization by *P. cucumerina.* 

## Impacts of CI Dysfunction on the Metabolic Pools during Fungal Infection

To get further insight into the metabolic mechanisms underlying the hyper-susceptibility of CI mutants to P. cucumerina, we conducted an untargeted metabolic profiling by UPLC-Q-TOF-MS (see Experimental procedures) from leaf tissues (n = 4) sampled at 13 days after mock inoculation (Mock) or P. cucumerina infection (Plecto). This metabolomics method allows the detection of changes in metabolites involved in plant-pathogen interactions <sup>10</sup>. Chemical signals were acquired in negative electrospray ionisation (ESI), yielding 37,522 detected ions (m/zratios). Global impacts of the genotypes/inoculations on the metabolic pools were displayed by Principal Component Analysis (PCA) showing the maximal variance across the first two components (PC1 and PC2; Fig. 2A). PCA indicated separation between mock-inoculated and P. cucumerina-infected samples (Fig. 2A). This infection effect was drastic for both CI mutants, as exemplified by a distant separation of 23-Plecto and 18-Plecto conditions on the plots. Furthermore, both infected mutants showed partial overlap. This was also confirmed by a clustering analysis (Pearson's correlation, average clustering) showing relationships between samples (Fig. 2B). While WT-Mock, WT-Plecto and 18-Mock clearly clustered alone, 23-Plecto and 18-Plecto showed overlap, as for WT-Mock and 23-Mock. This suggests that 23 mutant produces an intermediate phenotype between WT and 18, which is coherent with the disease severity observed (Fig. 1C). Hence, multivariate analysis of metabolomics signatures indicate a metabolic reprogramming caused by the loss of CI function during *P. cucumerina* infection.

Next, a univariate statistical approach was adopted to identify putative markers that might explain the metabolic trends displayed in Fig. 2. The entire dataset was filtered using ANOVA (P <0.01) followed by a false discovery rate correction (FDR) to remove false positives. The resulting subset of 1,405 metabolic markers was used for binary comparisons between mockinoculation and P. cucumerina-infection for each genotype (Student's *t*-test, P < 0.01). The three lists obtained for WT, 23 and 18 plants were analysed using a Venn diagram, thereby displaying quantitative differences for the specific and overlapping stress-responsive metabolic markers (Fig. 3A). While infection by P. cucumerina significantly caused quantitative changes in metabolic pools, 23 and 18 mutants showed a stronger response (190 and 303 markers, respectively) as compared to WT (121 markers). There was little overlap for WT vs23 and WT vs18 (27 and 29 markers, respectively). Remarkably, a larger overlap of 105 markers was observed between 23 and 18, thus indicating common stress-responsive markers that were affected in two independent CI mutant genotypes. These 105 markers were further visualised for their intensities by a bidimensional clustering (48 up-regulated and 57 down-regulated markers; Fig. 3B), and putatively identified based on their accurately detected m/z using METLIN and PubChem chemical database  $^{10,17,21-23}$ . Pie-charts in Fig. 3C show predicted pathways for up- and downregulated markers (details are given in Table 1). Up-regulated compounds included secondary metabolites such as flavonoids (13%). terpenoids (8%). polyphenols (4%) and alkaloids (2%), and primary metabolites such as lipids (23%), amino acids (4%), organic acids (4%) and nucleotides (2%). Similar classes of metabolites were observed among downregulated compounds including lipids (25%), flavonoids (23%), terpenoids (9%), nucleotides (5%), amino acids 5%), organic acids (4%) and alkaloids (4%). Hence, this suggests a readjustment of metabolites from central metabolism (lipids, nucleotides, amino and organic acids), and compounds important for stress signalling (flavonoids, polyphenols, terpenoids and alkaloids). Altogether, our results indicate that decreased tolerance to fungal biotic

stress in response to loss of CI function is underpinned by large-scale metabolic alterations. **Table1.** *Putative identification of stress- responsive metabolic markers.* 

Regula tion <sup>1</sup>	P value <sup>2</sup>	RT (min) <sup>3</sup>	Detected $m/z^3$		Predicte d mass <sup>4</sup>	Error (ppm) <sup>4</sup>	Putative Compound <sup>4</sup>	Predicted Formula <sup>4</sup>	Putative Pathway <sup>5</sup>
UP	1.5E-07	(min) 3.2	<i>m/z</i> 347.135	adducts <sup>4</sup> [M-H]-	<b>d mass</b> 348.147		Serpentine	C21H20N2O3	Alkaloids
Ur	6.5E-07	3.1	250.063	[M-H]-	251.079		N-Carboxyacetyl-D-phenylalanine	C12H13NO5	Amino acids
	0.3E-07 2.9E-05	1.3	152.010	[M-H]-	153.010	50	3-Sulfino alanine	C3H7NO4S	Amino acids
	3.7E-04	1.9	693.193	[M-H]-	694.175		Pelargonidin 3-(6"-		Flavonoids
	5.7L-04	1.7	075.175	[141-11]-	074.175	57	succinylglucoside)-5-glucoside	010	r lavonolus
	2.8E-07	0.6	1105.086	[M-H]-	1106.108	13	Syzyginin A	C48H34O31	Flavonoids
	1.2E-07	1.3	287.066	[M-H]-	288.063		Eriodictyol	C15H12O6	Flavonoids
	5.3E-08	3.5	459.108	[M-H]-	460.101	32	Apigenin 7-(6"-methylglucuronide)	C22H20O11	Flavonoids
	7.0E-05	1.4	345.135	[M-H]-	346.142	1	Catechin tetramethylether	C19H22O6	Flavonoids
	2.4E-06	6.8	492.110	[M-H]-	493.135		Malvidin 3-galactoside	C23H25O12	Flavonoids
	3.4E-05	2.5	463.465	[M-H]-	464.459		Pentadecyl oleate		Lipids
	2.4E-03	0.6	1067.832		1032.909		Triacylglycerol		Lipids
	2.8E-07	5.6	1011.546		1012.529		Phosphoinositide phosphate		Lipids
	7.3E-08	5.7		[M+Na-2H]-	799.515		Phosphocholine		Lipids
	1.1E-07	5.7	836.444	[M+Cl]-	801.458		Phosphoserine		Lipids
	2.8E-08	8.1	597.436	[M-H]-	598.460		Diacylglycerol	C38H62O5	Lipids
	1.5E-05	7.6	621.311	[M-H]-	622.312		Phosphoinositol	C29H51O12P	Lipids
	8.0E-06	8.0	383.350	[M-H]-	384.339	47	Vitamin D3	C27H44O	Lipids
	1.3E-05	8.1	656.407	[M-H]-	657.437	34	Phosphoethanolamine	C35H64NO8P	Lipids
	7.3E-06	9.0	870.471	[M+Cl]-	835.515		Phosphoethanolamine		Lipids
	1.2E-04	8.1	532.365	[M-H]-	533.348	45	Phosphoethanolamine	C27H52NO7P	Lipids
	2.5E-06	2.4		[M-H2O-H]-	833.275	41		C31H53N3O19P2	
							N-acetylglucosamine		
	2.6E-07	2.0	209.045	[M-H]-	210.053	2	5-Hydroxyferulate	C10H10O5	Organic acids
	1.6E-08	3.5	115.002	[M-H]-	116.011	14	Fumaric acid	C4H4O4	Organic acids
	7.3E-06	0.6	1061.118	[M+Cl]-	1026.134	13	Camelliatannin F	C48H34O26	Polyphenols
	7.5E-05	8.3	667.035	[M+Cl]-	632.065	0	Vescalin	C27H20O18	Polyphenols
	2.8E-06	5.5	1153.610	[M+FA-H]-	1108.603	7	Ginsenoside Rb1	C54H92O23	Terpenoids
	8.6E-09	5.9	476.254	[M-H]-	477.252	20	1'-O-Acetylpaxilline	C29H35NO5	Terpenoids
	9.8E-06	8.4	565.449	[M-H]-	566.449	13	Anhydrorhodovibrin	C41H58O	Terpenoids
	3.1E-06	2.1	433.198	[M-H]-	434.194	25	Melledonol	C23H30O8	Terpenoids
	8.8E-04	1.9	778.131						Unknown
	4.6E-07	5.7	558.745						Unknown
	2.8E-07	0.6	303.950						Unknown
	6.4E-06	1.9	1048.094						Unknown
	5.8E-08	1.3	266.931						Unknown
	9.8E-08	5.7	819.454						Unknown
	8.6E-09	9.0	121.896						Unknown
	1.1E-07	2.5	1162.165						Unknown
	2.5E-06	9.7	987.310						Unknown
	5.9E-06	3.5	500.986						Unknown
	1.6E-05	9.0	119.900						Unknown
	5.0E-07	7.6	687.004						Unknown
	2.7E-07	2.3	896.231						Unknown
	1.0E-04	2.5	1073.207						Unknown
	1.1E-05	4.5	172.216						Unknown
	2.6E-04	9.0	132.905						Unknown
	4.0E-03	0.6	754.953						Unknown
	5.6E-03	2.5	467.663						Unknown
	7.4E-03	5.5	1100.489						Unknown
DOWN	1.3E-04	10.0	431.220	[M-H]-	432.231	9	Usambarensine	C29H28N4	Alkaloids
	5.8E-03	2.3	312.172	[M-H]-	313.189		Heliotrine	C16H27NO5	Alkaloids
	2.2E-07	8.4	736.137	[M+Na-2H]-	715.173	14	N2-(ADP-D-Ribosyl)-L-arginine	C21H35N9O15P2	Amino acids
	2.1E-04	2.2	407.049	[M-H2O-H]-	426.088	50	Cysteine glutathione disulfide	C13H22N4O8S2	Amino acids
	1.2E-03	5.9	435.163	[M-H]-	436.163	15	Nap-Leu-OH	C24H24N2O6	Amino acids
	6.5E-07	7.9	269.294	[M-H]-	270.292	33	3S,7S-dimethyl-hexadecan-2-ol	C18H38O	Flavonoids
	1.1E-05	1.6	809.209	[M-H]-	10.210	7	Catechin pentabenzoate	C50H34O11	Flavonoids
	7.9E-05	1.7	671.187	[M+Cl]-	636.205	18	Linoside B	C30H36O15	Flavonoids
	1.0E-04	2.3	649.169	[M-H]-	650.185	12	Hesperetin 3',7-O-diglucuronide	C30H34O16	Flavonoids
	1.1E-04	2.5	539.218	[M-H]-	540.215	19	Leucadenone A	C33H32O7	Flavonoids
	4.6E-04	10.0	829.192	[M+Cl]-	794.263		Epimedoside D	C37H46O19	Flavonoids
	4.7E-04	1.6	553.057	[M+Na-2H]-	532.085	5	Delphinidin-3-O-glucoside pyruvic	C24H20O14	Flavonoids
							acid		
	7.1E-04	6.8		[M+CH3CO 0]-	708.336	24	Scillipheosidin 3-[glucosyl-(1->2)- rhamnoside]	C36H52O14	Flavonoids
				() ( ) ( )	1100 001	12	Cyanidin 3-(6"-p-coumaryl-2"'-	C55H56O29	Flavonoids
	8.3E-04	7.9	1179.335	[M-H]-	1180.291		sinapylsambubioside)-5-(6- malonylglucoside)	0.551150025	riavonoids

2.3E-03	6.0	627.177	[M-2H]2-	1256.343	20	Cyanidin 3-O-[2"-O-(2"'-O- (sinapoyl) xylosyl) 6"-O-(p-O- (glucosyl) p-coumaroyl) glucoside] 5-O-glucoside	C58H64O31	Flavonoids
6.0E-03	6.5	1195.333	[M-H]-	1196.286	45	Cyanidin 3-(6"-caffeyl-2"'- sinapylsambubioside)-5-(6- malonylglucoside)	C55H56O30	Flavonoids
6.6E-03	9.0	677.153	[M-H]-	678.180	28	Kaempferol 3-(4",6"- diacetylglucoside)-7-rhamnoside	C31H34O17	Flavonoids
1.4E-04	5.5	566.235	[M+Na-2H]-	545.266	9	N-[(3a,5b,7a,12a)-3,12-dihydroxy- 24-oxo-7-(sulfooxy)cholan-24-yl]- Glycine	C26H43NO9S	Lipids
7.6E-06	7.2	621.310	[M-H]-	622.312	8	Phosphoinositol	C29H51O12P	Lipids
1.0E-05	8.1	474.286	[M-H]-	475.270	49	Lyso phosphoethanolamine	C23H42NO7P	Lipids
4.9E-05	5.4		[M+Na-2H]-	790.479	30	Phosphoglycerol	C44H71O10P	Lipids
1.3E-04	4.6	505.256	[M-H]-	506.262	2	Didehydrovitamin D3	C27H36F6O2	Lipids
3.1E-04	1.6	808.487	[M-H]-	809.500	6	Phosphoethanolamine	C47H72NO8P	Lipids
7.4E-04	4.2	314.253	[M-H]-	630.522	2	Diacylglycerol	C40H70O5	Lipids
1.0E-03	1.7		[M-H2O-H]-	492.322	42	Phosphatidic acid	C25H49O7P	Lipids
1.0E-03	8.0	429.455	[M-H]-	430.454	19	9Z,15Z,22Z-hentriacontatriene	C31H58	Lipids
1.0E-03	1.6	507.295	[M-11]- [M-H]-	508.280	43	Phosphoglycerol	C24H45O9P	Lipids
2.0E-03	9.0	482.282	[M-H]-	483.296	14	Phosphogryceron	C22H46NO8P	Lipids
2.8E-03	3.1	402.202 848.526	[M-11]- [M-H]-	483.270 849.552	22	Phosphoserine	C47H80NO10P	Lipids
5.8E-03	5.8	499.363	[M-11]- [M-2H]2-	1000.752	11	Triacylglycerol	C67H100O6	Lipids
7.6E-03	4.8	232.825	[M-2H]2- [M-3H]3-	701.500	3	Phosphocholine	C38H72NO8P	Lipids
5.2E-05	7.7		[M-H2O-H]-	687.038	64	UDP-N-acetyl-D-galactosamine 4- sulfate		Nucleotides
1.7E-03	3.7	543.961	[M+Na-2H]-	522.991	7	Guanosine triphosphate	C10H16N5O14P3	Nucleotides
5.4E-03	1.7	622.079	[M+Na-2H]-	601.082	35	O-acetyl-ADP-ribose	C17H25N5O15P2	Nucleotides
1.7E-04	2.2	977.189	[M-H2O-H]-	996.223	16	1-O-Galloylfructose	C39H48O30	Organic acids
2.6E-04	2.1	536.040	[M+Na-2H]-	515.046	37	3-(ADP)-glycerate	C13H19N5O13P2	Organic acids
1.5E-06	4.7		[M-H2O-H]-	412.246	0	Grayanotoxin	C22H36O7	Terpenoids
5.3E-04	2.3	549.270	[M-H]-	550.278	0	Aspecioside	C29H42O10	Terpenoids
1.4E-03	1.7	299.201	[M-H]-	300.209	2	Retinoic acid	C20H28O2	Terpenoids
1.4E-03 1.5E-03	1.7 1.6	299.201 475.306	[M-H]- [M-H]-	300.209 476.314	$\frac{2}{1}$	Retinoic acid (-)-Asbestinine 2	C20H28O2 C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids
		475.306	[M-H]-	476.314		(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids
1.5E-03	1.6				1			
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06	1.6 1.7	475.306 713.368 678.978	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids
1.5E-03 6.9E-03	1.6 1.7 8.5 4.8	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06	1.6 1.7 8.5	475.306 713.368 678.978	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04	1.6 1.7 8.5 4.8 6.0	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04 1.7E-04	1.6         1.7         8.5         4.8         6.0         10.0         6.0	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850 419.012	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04	1.6 1.7 8.5 4.8 6.0 10.0	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04 1.7E-04 3.6E-04 7.1E-04	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.6\\ 1.7\\ 8.5\\ 4.8\\ 6.0\\ 10.0\\ 6.0\\ 6.3\\ 6.0\\ \end{array} $	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850 419.012 127.870 97.865	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04 1.7E-04 3.6E-04 7.1E-04 1.0E-03	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.6\\ 1.7\\ 8.5\\ 4.8\\ 6.0\\ 10.0\\ 6.0\\ 6.3\\ 6.0\\ 7.8\\ \end{array} $	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850 419.012 127.870 97.865 316.804	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04 1.7E-04 3.6E-04 7.1E-04 1.0E-03 1.2E-03	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 8.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 6.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 7.8 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850 419.012 127.870 97.865 316.804 353.468	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04 1.7E-04 3.6E-04 7.1E-04 1.0E-03 1.2E-03 1.4E-03	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 8.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 6.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 7.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 8.9 \end{array}$	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850 419.012 127.870 97.865 316.804 353.468 929.162	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04 1.7E-04 3.6E-04 7.1E-04 1.0E-03 1.2E-03 1.4E-03 2.8E-03	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 8.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 6.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 7.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 8.9 \\ 5.7 \end{array}$	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850 419.012 127.870 97.865 316.804 353.468 929.162 863.820	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04 1.7E-04 3.6E-04 7.1E-04 1.0E-03 1.2E-03 1.4E-03 2.8E-03 3.3E-03	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 8.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 6.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 7.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 8.9 \\ 5.7 \\ 9.0 \end{array}$	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850 419.012 127.870 97.865 316.804 353.468 929.162 863.820 889.147	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
1.5E-03 6.9E-03 5.9E-06 8.7E-06 1.2E-04 1.2E-04 1.7E-04 3.6E-04 7.1E-04 1.0E-03 1.2E-03 1.4E-03 2.8E-03	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 8.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 6.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 7.8 \\ 2.3 \\ 8.9 \\ 5.7 \end{array}$	475.306 713.368 678.978 722.369 163.851 161.850 419.012 127.870 97.865 316.804 353.468 929.162 863.820	[M-H]-	476.314	1	(-)-Asbestinine 2	C28H44O6	Terpenoids Terpenoids Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown

<sup>1</sup>Markers showing an up- or down-regulation in P. cucumerina-infected 23 and 18 mutants.

<sup>2</sup>*P* values are derived from ANOVA followed by false discovery rate correction (Benjamini-Hochberg).

<sup>3</sup>*Retention times (RT) and accurate m/z values, detected by UPLC-Q-TOF-MS in negative ion mode.* 

<sup>4</sup>Predicted parameters from the METLIN chemical database using the accurately detected m/z.

<sup>5</sup>Putative metabolites and their corresponding pathways were validated by information from the PubMed chemical database.

#### DISCUSSION

Mitochondria sustain energy by generating cellular ATP through oxidative phosphorylation, and house a major site of ROS produced by the mtETC <sup>6,24</sup>. Accordingly, several studies have suggested a role for plant mitochondria in response to biotic stress by modulating redox signalling and energy demand, including CII, alternative oxidases and other mitochondrial

components <sup>7,8,10,25,26</sup>. The case of CI is slightly different as alternative NAD(P) dehydrogenases could compensate the loss of CI function in plants <sup>27</sup>. Nonetheless, CI dysfunction causes altered redox perturbations that affect responses to plant stresses <sup>12–15</sup>. In the present study, we have used two Arabidopsis mutant lines impaired in CI assembly/activity (*ndufs8.1 ndufs8.2 (23)* and *ndufs4 (18)* <sup>14,16</sup>). We

observed greater disease severity to the necrotrophic pathogen *P. cucumerina* in both mutants, compared to the WT (Fig. 1). Interestingly, *18* mutant, which had a more dramatic CI mutant phenotype than 23 in SD condition <sup>16</sup>, *i*) was also more susceptible to *P. cucumerina* than 23, and *ii*) showed a greater metabolic impact during infection than 23 (190 vs 303 markers, Fig. 3A). This demonstrates that increased disease severity to the fungal pathogen positively correlates with the severity of the CI mutant phenotype. This concurs with previous results showing higher susceptibility to fungal pathogen for CII mutant impaired in mitochondrial respiration <sup>8</sup>.

For decades now, plant metabolomics studies have been used reliably to assess the physiological status of plant cells under particular stress conditions <sup>28</sup>. Typically, plants produce a battery of primary metabolites, crucial for central metabolism (e.g. amino and organic acids, sugars, lipids) and necessary to sustain normal growth and development. In addition, secondary more chemically complex metabolites, such as flavonoids, phytohormones (e.g. polyphenols, terpenoids) or alkaloids, are usually stress-responsive compounds. Production of secondary metabolites is effective at managing pathogenic microbes but also has a high energy demand <sup>29</sup>. Given the importance of mitochondrial respiration in providing energy to the cell, impairment in mtETC could plausibly lead to altered metabolic pools, as previously reported <sup>12,14–16,30</sup>. Here, multivariate statistical analysis of metabolomics data features revealed a greater impact of the infection on both CI mutants, with 18 being more affected than 23 (Fig. 2). This lead to the investigation of quantitative differences in metabolic markers that were common for both mutants (Fig. 3A). This approach aims to unveil the underlying metabolic state that is triggered by both P. cucumerina infection and dysfunction of CI. Interestingly, a similar proportion of metabolic markers were up- and down-regulated (48 and 57, respectively), indicating that loss of CI reshuffled metabolic pools under these stress conditions (Fig. 3B). Among these markers, both an increase and decrease in similar classes of compounds were observed (Fig. 3C and Table 1). Putative identification of compounds denoted a re-adjustment of central metabolites such as lipids, amino and organic acids and

nucleotides, including compounds important for mitochondrial pathway (fumarate, glycerate derivative), stress metabolism (phenylalanine derivative) or redox signalling (cysteine glutathione disulphide; Table 1). Remarkably, several nucleotides involved in energy and/or stress signalling function were also altered under these conditions, in particular O-acetyl-ADP-ribose. This metabolite is intricately tied to NAD regulation upon stress responses <sup>31</sup>, which supports the idea of a regulatory link between NAD and mitochondrial functions under stress conditions <sup>10,16</sup>. Hence, loss of CI function after P. cucumerina challenge triggers a derailment of primary metabolism. This disrupted metabolic homeostasis was further associated with changes in stress-related secondary metabolites, such as flavonoids, polyphenols, terpenoids and alkaloids (Fig. 3C and Table 1). These classes of compounds are known for their importance in plant immunity <sup>32,33</sup>. Under stress conditions, an alteration of their cellular homeostasis due to unbalanced mitochondrial processes might affect their efficacy in thwarting pathogen attacks, as observed in the CI mutants that proved hyper-susceptible to fungal infection (Fig. 1). Interestingly, recent data suggest a link between mitochondrial respiration and the antifungal effect of the polyphenol p-coumaric acid against the necrotrophic fungus Botrytis cinerea<sup>34</sup>. Furthermore. glucosinolates are known for their role against P. cucumerina<sup>17</sup>. Here, however, loss of CI in Arabidopsis is not increased pools associated with of glucosinolates after P. cucumerina infection, which suggests that the fungal pathogen is not appropriately resisted in these conditions.

In summary, our study broadens our understanding of mitochondrial CI function in response to environmental fluctuations. We have demonstrated that CI plays a role in Arabidopsis tolerance to the fungal pathogen *P*. cucumerina. While CI dysfunction clearly influences pools of central and defence metabolites, further experiments are required to ascertain fully how these metabolic perturbations link to plant immunity.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We greatly acknowledge research support by the Plant Production and Protection (P3) centre of the University of Sheffield. The authors would like to thank Jack Holcombe for comments on earlier version of the manuscript.



Figure 1. Disease phenotypes of Arabidopsis CI mutants against necrotrophic fungus P. cucumerina.

Col-0 (WT) and the CI mutant plants ndufs8.1 ndufs8.2 (23) and ndufs4 (18) were droplet-infected with P. cucumerina ( $10^6$  spores mL<sup>-1</sup>), and resulting symptoms were scored at 8 and 13 days post-inoculation (DPI). A, Photographs showing disease symptoms (8 DPI). B, Hyphen colonization and cell death in Trypan Blue-stained leaves (8 DPI). C, Quantitative measurements of lesion diameters. Shown are mean values from 6 leaves of different plants (n = 6, +/- SEM). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences with the WT for each time point: \*, P < 0.05; \*\*, P < 0.01 (Student's t-test).

Annals of Ecology and Environmental Science V1 • I1 • 2017



Figure 2. Multivariate analysis of metabolomics data.

Col-0 (WT) and the CI mutant plants ndufs8.1 ndufs8.2 (23) and ndufs4 (18) were mock-inoculated (Mock) or droplet-infected with P. cucumerina (Plecto), then leaf tissues were sampled at 13 DPI. Metabolomics data were acquired by UPLC-Q-TOF-MS in negative ion mode (37,522 detected m/z) and analysed using MetaboAnalyst (interquartile range filtering, median normalisation, cube-root transformation and Pareto scaling). A, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) showing global metabolic trends. Maximal variance

explained for each PC is shown into brackets. **B**, Clustering analysis (HCA) based on Pearson's correlation and average clustering.



Figure 3. Quantitative and qualitative differences of stress-responsive metabolic markers.

A, Venn diagrams comparing significantly altered stress-responsive metabolic markers between Col-0 wild-type (WT) and CI mutant lines ndufs8.1 ndufs8.2 (23) and ndufs4 (18) after infection with P. cucumerina. The entire metabolomics dataset (37,522 m/z) was filtered by an ANOVA (P < 0.01 + FDR) and the resulting selection (1,405 m/z) was subsequently used for binary comparisons between mock inoculation (Mock) and P. cucumerina infection (Plecto) for each genotype (Student's t-test, P < 0.01). Numbers on the diagrams refer to statistically significant specific and overlapping markers. **B**, Bi-dimensional clustering analysis (Pearson's correlation, average clustering) of 105 markers (48 up- and 57 down-regulated) that showed common response to P. cucumerina for both CI mutants. **C**, Putative metabolic identification of the 48 up- and 57 down-regulated markers based on accurately detected m/z values (see Table 1 for details).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Rojas, C. M., Senthil-Kumar, M., Tzin, V. & Mysore, K. S. Regulation of primary plant metabolism during plant-pathogen interactions and its contribution to plant defense. *Front. Plant Sci.***5**, (2014).
- [2] Noctor, G. & Foyer, C. H. Intracellular Redox Compartmentation and ROS-Related

Communication in Regulation and Signaling. *Plant Physiol.***171**, 1581–1592 (2016).

- [3] Klodmann, J., Sunderhaus, S., Nimtz, M., Jänsch, L. & Braun, H.-P. Internal architecture of mitochondrial complex I from Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell*22, 797–810 (2010).
- [4] Schertl, P. & Braun, H.-P. Respiratory electron transfer pathways in plant mitochondria. *Front. Plant Sci.***5**, 163 (2014).

- [5] Noctor, G., De Paepe, R. & Foyer, C. H. Mitochondrial redox biology and homeostasis in plants. *Trends Plant Sci.***12**, 125–134 (2007).
- [6] Møller, I. M. & Sweetlove, L. J. ROS signallingspecificity is required. *Trends Plant Sci.***15**, 370– 374 (2010).
- [7] Jardim-Messeder, D. *et al.* Succinate dehydrogenase (mitochondrial complex II) is a source of reactive oxygen species in plants and regulates development and stress responses. *New Phytol.*208, 776–789 (2015).
- [8] Gleason, C. *et al.* Mitochondrial complex II has a key role in mitochondrial-derived reactive oxygen species influence on plant stress gene regulation and defense. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*108, 10768–10773 (2011).
- [9] Pétriacq, P., de Bont, L., Tcherkez, G. & Gakière, B. NAD: not just a pawn on the board of plantpathogen interactions. *Plant Signal. Behav.*8, e22477 (2013).
- [10] Pétriacq, P., Ton, J., Patrit, O., Tcherkez, G. & Gakière, B. NAD Acts as an Integral Regulator of Multiple Defense Layers. *Plant Physiol*.172, 1465–1479 (2016).
- [11] Efremov, R. G., Baradaran, R. & Sazanov, L. A. The architecture of respiratory complex I. *Nature*465, 441–445 (2010).
- [12] Dutilleul, C. *et al.* Leaf mitochondria modulate whole cell redox homeostasis, set antioxidant capacity, and determine stress resistance through altered signaling and diurnal regulation. *Plant Cell***15**, 1212 (2003).
- [13] Vidal, G. *et al.* Lack of respiratory chain complex I impairs alternative oxidase engagement and modulates redox signaling during elicitor-induced cell death in tobacco. *Plant Cell***19**, 640–655 (2007).
- [14] Meyer, E. H. *et al.* Remodeled respiration in ndufs4 with low phosphorylation efficiency suppresses Arabidopsis germination and growth and alters control of metabolism at night. *Plant Physiol.***151**, 603–619 (2009).
- [15] Djebbar, R. *et al.* Respiratory complex I deficiency induces drought tolerance by impacting leaf stomatal and hydraulic conductances. *Planta***235**, 603–614 (2012).
- [16] Pétriacq, P. *et al.* Photoperiod Affects the Phenotype of Mitochondrial Complex I Mutants. *Plant Physiol.***173**, 434–455 (2017).
- [17] Pétriacq, P., Stassen, J. H. & Ton, J. Spore density determines infection strategy by the plantpathogenic fungus Plectosphaerella cucumerina. *Plant Physiol*.**170**, 2325–2339 (2016).
- [18] Gamir, J., Pastor, V., Cerezo, M. & Flors, V. Identification of indole-3-carboxylic acid as mediator of priming against Plectosphaerella cucumerina. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.***61**, 169–179 (2012).
- [19] Sánchez-Vallet, A. *et al.* Disruption of abscisic acid signaling constitutively activates Arabidopsis

resistance to the necrotrophic fungus Plectosphaerella cucumerina. *Plant Physiol.***160**, 2109–2124 (2012).

- [20] Gamir, J., Pastor, V., Kaever, A., Cerezo, M. & Flors, V. Targeting novel chemical and constitutive primed metabolites against Plectosphaerella cucumerina. *Plant J.***78**, 227–240 (2014).
- [21] Smith, C. A. *et al.* METLIN: a metabolite mass spectral database. *Ther. Drug Monit.*27, 747–751 (2005).
- [22] Pétriacq, P. *et al.* Metabolite profiling of nonsterile rhizosphere soil. *Plant J.* (2017). doi:10.1111/tpj.13639
- [23] Wilkinson, S. W., Pastor, V., Paplauskas, S., Pétriacq, P. & Luna, E. Long-lasting βaminobutyric acid-induced resistance protects tomato fruit against *Botrytis cinerea*. *Plant Pathol.* (2017). doi:10.1111/ppa.12725
- [24] Millar, A. H., Whelan, J., Soole, K. L. & Day, D. A. Organization and regulation of mitochondrial respiration in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*62, 79–104 (2011).
- [25] Huang, Y. *et al.* Mitochondrial AtPAM16 is required for plant survival and the negative regulation of plant immunity. *Nat. Commun.***4**, (2013).
- [26] Zhu, F. *et al.* Mitochondrial alternative oxidase is involved in both compatible and incompatible host-virus combinations in Nicotiana benthamiana. *Plant Sci.*239, 26–35 (2015).
- [27] Rasmusson, A. G., Geisler, D. A. & Møller, I. M. The multiplicity of dehydrogenases in the electron transport chain of plant mitochondria. *Mitochondrion*8, 47–60 (2008).
- [28] Fujii, T. *et al.* Direct metabolomics for plant cells by live single-cell mass spectrometry. *Nat. Protoc.***10**, 1445–1456 (2015).
- [29] Morkunas, I. & Ratajczak, L. The role of sugar signaling in plant defense responses against fungal pathogens. *Acta Physiol. Plant.***36**, 1607–1619 (2014).
- [30] Pellny, T. K. *et al.* Mitochondrial respiratory pathways modulate nitrate sensing and nitrogendependent regulation of plant architecture in Nicotiana sylvestris. *Plant J.*54, 976–992 (2008).
- [31] Tong, L. & Denu, J. M. Function and metabolism of sirtuin metabolite O-acetyl-ADP-ribose. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*1804, 1617–1625 (2010).
- [32] Piasecka, A., Jedrzejczak-Rey, N. & Bednarek, P. Secondary metabolites in plant innate immunity: conserved function of divergent chemicals. *New Phytol.*206, 948–964 (2015).
- [33] Arbona, V. & Gómez-Cadenas, A. Metabolomics of Disease Resistance in Crops. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.*19, 13–30 (2016).
- [34] Morales, J., Mendoza, L. & Cotoras, M. Alteration of oxidative phosphorylation as a possible mechanism of the antifungal action of pcoumaric acid against Botrytis cinerea. J. Appl. Microbiol. (2017). doi:10.1111/jam.13540

#### **AUTHORS' BIOGRAPHY**



Alex Williams, I completed my undergraduate master's degree at Sheffield on plant immune ecology in 2009. My PhD work is concerned with plant immune function and below-ground rhizosphere signalling and how alterations in atmospheric  $CO_2$  impact these processes. I use metabolic and molecular approaches to investigate the underlying mechanisms that govern plant-biotic interactions, and focus on changes in phytohormonal signalling, peroxisomal ROS signalling and redox status of the plant.



**Jingfang Hao,** I obtained my bachelor degree in Biology from Henan Agricultural University in 2010, master degree in Plant Biology from Nanjing Agricultural University in 2013, and started my PhD study at the Institute of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay in 2013. During my PhD, I am investigating the relationship between NAD levels and plant growth by using RNA-seq and Chip-seq analyses.



**Moaed Al Meselmani,** I completed my PhD from Indian agricultural research Institute (India) in 2006 and worked as a researcher in the General Commission for Scientific Agricultural Research in Syria studying the effect of abiotic stress in crop plants. Currently, I am a visiting researcher in the Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology/ The University of Sheffield (UK). I am involved in different research projects. One of the main projects is investigating the effects of reducing stomatal density on the physiology and biochemistry of wheat when grown under drought conditions.



**Rosine De Paepe,** After completing my PhD in 1977, I followed a "Thèse d'État" and was recruited at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) in 1983. I became Directeur de Recherche (Research Director) in 1996. I have led the group "Mitochondria and Metabolism" from 1996. My main research aims at obtaining and characterising mutant plants affected in respiratory Complex I.



**Bertrand Gakière,** I completed a master degree in Biology and Agronomy at ENSA of Rennes (FR) in 1995, and obtained my PhD in 1999 from University of Grenoble I (FR) under Dominique Job's supervision. I investigated methionine biosynthesis in higher plants in the CNRS/Rhône-Poulenc Agrochimie "Labo Mixte" of Lyon (FR) led by Roland Douce. I moved to the Weizmann Institute of Science in Rehovot (IL) where I worked with Gad Galili on plant lysine catabolism (1999), then I moved to the Max-Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology (Golm, D) working on sulfur deficiency with Rainer Hoefgen (2002). Since October 2005, I am Associate Professor at University Paris-Sud (Orsay, FR) working on NAD metabolic signalling in plant growth and immunity.



**Pierre Pétriacq,** After completing my master degree in Physiology & Integrative Biology in 2008 at University Pierre & Marie Curie in Paris (FR), I obtained my PhD in 2011 from University Paris-Sud (Orsay, FR) under Bertrand Gakière's supervision. Our pioneer work identified NAD as a powerful signal molecule involved in plant immunity. After a teaching position (2012), I moved to Sheffield (UK) where I worked on Plant Induced Resistance with Jurriaan Ton (2013). Since 2016, I am a group leader at the Plant Production and Protection (P3) centre of the University of Sheffield. I use mass spectrometry techniques to investigate metabolic regulations under environmental fluctuations. In October 2017, I am appointed Associate Professor at University of Bordeaux to work with Yves Gibon (INRA, FR) on metabolic fluxes of fruit.