

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# The Origin of Light

Wim Vegt

*Department of Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands.*

Received: 30 September 2024 Accepted: 21 October 2024 Published: 28 October 2024

**Corresponding Author:** Wim Vegt, Department of Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands.

## Abstract

The enigmatic division of life into two sexes, as observed throughout the natural world, reveals profound underlying cosmic principles. This paper explores the intersection of scientific thought and religious philosophy by examining the works of Oswald Spengler and sacred texts to delve into the nature of masculinity and femininity. In the biological sphere, as expressed poetically by Spengler, plants and animals embody dualistic existence. In this view, femininity is intimately linked with the cyclic rhythms of the cosmos, while masculinity is characterized by mobility and consciousness. Religious texts often depict the Creator as male, a perspective that has led to misunderstandings regarding the roles and symbolism of the genders. The original conception is that the masculine symbolizes creative potential, akin to an artist, while the feminine represents the manifestation of that creativity, analogous to the finished artwork. In sacred literature, “Dvar Hashem,” or the “Word of God,” is not merely a spoken element but embodies an intrinsic creative force capable of fashioning entire universes from imagination. The term “Dvar” thus signifies infinite creative potential, emphasizing that true understanding of creation requires a synthesis of science and spirituality. Science, akin to Dvar, possesses transformational power, exemplified through advancements in healing, architecture, and technology. However, its fullest expression requires alignment with divine principles, without which its potential could be overshadowed by ethical voids and darkness. The relationship between science and religion demands reconciliation to prevent the encroaching shadows of misunderstanding and disengagement. This paper introduces “Quantum Light Theory,” a novel conceptual bridge linking the realms of scientific inquiry and religious doctrine. The theory postulates that matter originates from light through a process of quantization, aligning with scriptural teachings that recognize creation as a transition from void into existence. Grounded in principles of electromagnetism and gravitation, Quantum Light Theory reimagines the interaction between these forces beyond the traditional frameworks of General Relativity. By incorporating electromagnetic gradients and Lorentz transformations, it brings new insights into gravitational and luminous phenomena, such as gravitational redshift and lensing. Through mathematical modelling within a ten-dimensional hyperspace, this theory aspires to connect disparate physical laws and unveil deeper insights into the structure of reality. Empirical evidence from contemporary observations of gravitational effects, such as those facilitated by Galileo satellites, supports the theory’s predictions, challenging existing paradigms and shedding light on inherent limitations within established models. This work not only revitalizes the discourse between science and spirituality but also provides avenues for potential advancements within astronomical and astrophysical sciences, reinforcing the notion that meaningful progress resides at the confluence of diverse intellectual traditions.

**Keywords:** Black Holes, Dark Matter, General Relativity, Gravitational Redshift, Gravitational Electromagnetic Interaction, Gravitational Lensing, Quantum Physics, String Theory.

## 1. Gravity

### 1.1 Introduction

In the cosmic tapestry of life, the division into two

sexes reveals a profound aspect of creation. Even within the grounded life streams of the plant world, there is an inherent striving for separation, a symbolism elegantly portrayed by the flower, representing the

**Citation:** Wim Vegt. The Origin of Light. *Journal of Religion and Theology*. 2024;6(2):38-54.

©The Author(s) 2024. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

essence of existence alongside the force that sustains it. Animals, as microcosms, exist within the vast macrocosm, each a world unto itself, reflecting this dualistic nature. As the animal kingdom evolves, this duality of masculinity and femininity becomes clearly evident. Femininity is deeply anchored to the cosmic order, closely linked with the earth and the grand cycles of nature. In contrast, masculinity embodies freedom and movement, characterized by heightened awareness and dynamic energy.

Oswald Spengler (1918) [31] poetically encapsulates this fundamental differentiation between “Male” and “Female.” In sacred texts from both Western and Eastern cultures, the Creator—God, or Hashem—is often depicted as male, a notion frequently misunderstood within religious contexts. The true essence of the term “male” signifies “The Creator” of life, whereas “female” represents the carrier, the sustainer of creation. This relationship parallels that of an artist and their artwork, where the male is the creator, and the female is the manifestation of this creativity.

Religious misunderstanding has led to misconceptions about gender roles, misunderstanding them as indicative of divine closeness. Men, perceiving their masculinity as a reflection of the divine Creator, have often asserted a hierarchical spirituality, relegating women to a lesser proximity to the sacred. This misconception fails to recognize that all of humanity, men and women, are equally creations of the Creator—thus all are “female” in relation to the divine.

The notion of creation through “Dvar Hashem,” as expressed in foundational sacred texts, emphasizes the power of the Word not merely as spoken language but as a divine creative force—a power capable of crafting entire universes from the void. In the words of John 1:1, interpreted through the lens of its deeper meanings, “Dvar” encompasses this creative capability, transcending its translation as “Word.” Science, similar to this “Dvar,” embodies creative power; its potential for innovation and healing knows no bounds when aligned with divine purpose.

The separation between science and religion in contemporary discourse highlights the need for a reconciling bridge. This paper introduces “Quantum Light Theory” as a conceptual bridge designed to unite these domains. Quantum Light Theory proposes that the creation of matter from light occurs through quantization, reflecting scriptural depictions of creation as a separation of light from darkness. The theory explores the creation process and suggests testing through both scientific and spiritual lenses.

The innovative paradigm of Quantum Light Theory frames gravity as an intrinsic property within a ten-dimensional spatial construct, interpreting gravitational fields as emergent phenomena connected to electromagnetic fields. This interconnectedness suggests that the physical reality we experience is a projection of a larger, complex ten-dimensional continuum.

By fostering dialogue and collaboration between science and spirituality, this work seeks to illuminate the profound possibilities at the intersection of these disciplines, aspiring to bring “Ohr”—divine light—into the world. Through understanding and cooperation, the fruits of both science and religion can shine brightly, illuminating the path forward in a world that increasingly craves unity and enlightenment.

This scholarly work introduces an innovative paradigm concerning the essence of gravity, positing it as an inherent property of a ten-dimensional spatial construct. Within this paradigm, gravitational fields are interpreted as emergent attributes resulting from a three-dimensional projection, akin to the manifestation of electric and magnetic fields within separate projections of the same ten-dimensional continuum. The tenth dimension is exclusively designated for the temporal axis, serving as the cohesive dimension that unifies the nine spatial dimensions, thereby forming the palpable three-dimensional physical reality that is experienced.

Analogous to how a slide is projected onto a screen, transforming a real three-dimensional world into a two-dimensional plane, this novel theoretical framework posits that our three-dimensional reality is a projection from a ten-dimensional universe. This concept reflects Plato’s Allegory of the Cave, wherein he explicates the Theory of Forms. In this allegory, humans are likened to prisoners in a cave, perceiving only the shadows on the wall, which they mistakenly consider to be the ultimate reality. The philosopher is akin to the prisoner who ventures outside the cave, thereby apprehending the true nature of the world.

The interaction of analogous fields engenders an effect within our physical realm, manifested as a force density, which is quantified in units of  $[N/m^3]$ . Specifically, the convergence of two superimposed gravitational fields results in a gravitational force density. Similarly, the interplay of two overlapping electric fields gives rise to an electric force density, whereas the intersection of overlapping magnetic fields culminates in a magnetic force density. Through the integration of these force densities across the entire volume, the total interaction force can be deduced.

For instance, when an individual stands on a scale, the scale measures the total interaction force. This force is calculated by integrating, over an infinite volume, all gravitational interaction force densities arising from the interplay between the individual's entire gravitational field and the Earth's gravitational field. Force densities are interchangeable: hence, the overall interaction force density results from the summation of electric, magnetic, and gravitational force densities. Consequently, light interacts with a gravitational field, as elucidated in equation (6). The Lorentz transformations describe the conversion between the three-dimensional electric domain and the three-dimensional magnetic domain, and vice versa, dependent on the relative velocity between the observer and the respective field. Analogously, a similar transformation is expected to occur within gravitational fields as a consequence of accelerations. Vegt Wim (26-Oct-2022) [14].

### 1.2 A New Paradigm for Gravity

Einstein approached the interaction between gravity and light by the introduction of the "Einstein Gravitational Constant" in the 4-dimensional Energy-Stress Tensor.

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

In which  $G_{ii}$  equals the Einstein Tensor,  $g_{\mu\nu}$  equals the Metric Tensor,  $T_{\mu\nu}$  equals the Stress-Energy tensor,  $\Lambda$  equals the cosmological constant and  $\kappa$  equals the Einstein gravitational constant.

The core principle underlying General Relativity pertains to a curved 4-dimensional Space-Time Continuum. Similarly, the fundamental concept in this novel theory revolves around a 4-dimensional Universal Equilibrium delineated by equation (6).

Central to this novel theory is the vectorial summation of force densities denoted in  $[N/m^3]$ . Force densities hold universal significance and are interchangeable irrespective of their source. Vegt Wim (1995) [10]. Within this framework, fields solely engage with analogous fields. The theory contemplates three distinct categories of physical fields: Electric Fields, Magnetic Fields, and Gravitational Fields.

The outcome of the interaction between two corresponding fields manifests as a force density articulated in  $[N/m^3]$ . When two electric fields interact, the resultant force density is an electric force density delineated in  $[N/m^3]$ . Similarly, the convergence of two magnetic fields yields a magnetic force density expressed in  $[N/m^3]$ . Likewise, the intersection of

two gravitational fields produces a gravitational force density expressed in  $[N/m^3]$ . At the core of this theory lies the foundational principle that all force densities collectively establish a universal equilibrium. This elucidation can be found in Reference [13], specifically detailed within equations (4) through (22).

The vectorial force densities are derived from the divergence of the sum of the Electromagnetic Stress-Energy tensor  $\underline{\underline{T}}$  and a selected Gravitational Tensor  $\underline{\underline{J}}$ .

$$\kappa T_{\mu\nu} \Leftrightarrow T_{\mu\nu} + J_{\mu\nu} \tag{2}$$

The 4-dimensional divergence of the sum of the Electromagnetic Stress-Energy tensor and the Gravitational Tensor expresses the 4-dimensional Force-Density vector (expressed in  $[N/m^3]$  in the 3 spatial coordinates) as the result of Electro-Magnetic-Gravitational interaction.

$$f^\mu = \partial_\nu (T^{\mu\nu} + J^{\mu\nu}) \tag{3}$$

In vector notation the 4-dimensional Force-Density vector can be written as:

$$\vec{f}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} f_4 \\ f_3 \\ f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} = \square \square (\underline{\underline{T}} + \underline{\underline{J}}) \tag{4}$$

The fundamental boundary condition for this alternative approach to gravity is the requirement that the Force 4 vector equals zero in the 4 dimensions, expressing a universal 4-dimensional equilibrium Vegt Wim (1995) [10]:

$$\vec{f}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} f_4 \\ f_3 \\ f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} = \square \square (\underline{\underline{T}} + \underline{\underline{J}}) = \vec{0}^4 \tag{5}$$

Within this innovative framework, the interactions among electric-electric fields, magnetic-magnetic fields, and gravitational-gravitational fields are interchangeable. Consequently, the gravitational force density mirrors a comparable structure to that of the electric force density and the magnetic force density. The three spatial components of the Force-Density vector arising from the Electro-Magnetic-Gravitational interaction can be expressed as:

$$\vec{f} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial (\vec{E} \times \vec{H})}{\partial t} + \epsilon_0 \vec{E} (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \epsilon_0 \vec{E} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}) + \mu_0 \vec{H} (\nabla \cdot \vec{H}) - \mu_0 \vec{H} \times (\nabla \times \vec{H}) - \gamma_0 \vec{g} (\nabla \cdot \vec{g}) - \gamma_0 \vec{g} \times (\nabla \times \vec{g}) = \vec{0} \quad [\text{N/m}^3]$$

in which:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_0 (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) &= \rho_e \text{ Electric Charge Density } [\text{C/m}^3] \\ \mu_0 (\nabla \cdot \vec{H}) &= \rho_m \text{ Magnetic Flux Density } [\text{Vs/m}^3] \text{ or } [\text{Wb/m}^3] \\ \gamma_0 (\nabla \cdot \vec{g}) &= \rho_g \text{ Mass Density (Electromagnetic)} [\text{kg/m}^3] \\ \text{Electric Energy Density: } w_e &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 E^2 \\ \text{Magnetic Energy Density: } w_m &= \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 H^2 \\ \text{Gravitational Energy Density: } w_g &= \frac{1}{2} \gamma_0 g^2 \end{aligned}$$

(6)

$\gamma_0 \epsilon_0 \mu_0$  In which E represents the electric field intensity expressed in [V/m], H represents the magnetic field intensity expressed in [A/m] and g represents the gravitational acceleration expressed in [m/s<sup>2</sup>]. The permittivity indicated as, the permeability indicated as and the gravitational permeability of vacuum as. Vegt Wim (2002) [11] and Vegt Wim (26-Oct-2022) [14].

The initial term in equation (6) portrays the inertia inherent in electromagnetic radiation, while the succeeding terms, two and three, denote the interaction between electric fields. Subsequently, the fourth and fifth terms represent the interaction of magnetic fields, and the sixth and seventh terms pertain to gravitational field interactions.

At the heart of this newly suggested theory resides a fundamental notion of universal equilibrium, demonstrating a pervasive presence across temporal, directional, and spatial dimensions. This overarching equilibrium finds concise representation through the zero-vector placed on the right-hand side of the equality symbol. An instance illustrating three-dimensional universal equilibrium is akin to the projection of a slide onto a screen [4].

In the realm of curl-free gravitational fields [25] and [29], equation (6) is identical to equation (22) delineated in References [11] and [25]. In the context of curl-free gravitational fields Weng Zihua, (October 2008) [25] and [29], equation (6) may be reformulated as follows:

$$\vec{f} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial (\vec{E} \times \vec{H})}{\partial t} + \epsilon_0 \vec{E} (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \epsilon_0 \vec{E} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}) + \mu_0 \vec{H} (\nabla \cdot \vec{H}) - \mu_0 \vec{H} \times (\nabla \times \vec{H}) + \vec{g} \rho_m = \vec{0} \quad [\text{N/m}^3] \quad (7)$$

Substituting Einstein's  $W = m c^2$  in (7) results in "Electro-Magnetic-Gravitational Equilibrium Field Equation" (8) Vegt Wim (2 Oct, 2021) [12], Vegt Wim (14 October 2022)[13] and Vegt Wim (26-Oct-2022) [14]:

$$\vec{f} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial (\vec{E} \times \vec{H})}{\partial t} + \epsilon_0 \vec{E} (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \epsilon_0 \vec{E} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}) + \mu_0 \vec{H} (\nabla \cdot \vec{H}) - \mu_0 \vec{H} \times (\nabla \times \vec{H}) + \frac{1}{2c^2} \vec{g} (\epsilon E^2 + \mu H^2) = \vec{0} \quad [\text{N/m}^3] \quad (8)$$

The theory describes "Electromagnetic-Gravitational Interaction", "Magnetic-Gravitational Interaction" and "Electric-Gravitational Interaction". In this new theory particles do not interact with fields. The interaction between an electric charged particle and an electric field is not the interaction between a particle and a field but it is the interaction between the electric field of the particle interacting with the other electric field. Every interaction is an interaction between fields. Electric Fields interact with Electric Fields, Magnetic Fields interact with Magnetic Fields and Gravitational Fields only interact with Gravitational Fields. Raymond J. Beach (2014) [8]

The consequence thereof is the dissemination of light (Electromagnetic Radiation) at the precise velocity of light ascertained by an Electro-Magnetic Perfect Equilibrium in all directions, at any given point, and at any temporal juncture.

Vegt Wim (Calculation 1, June 21, 2022)[15] posited this notion. This principle stands in opposition to Maxwell's theory of Electrodynamics, within which the speed of light is exclusively applicable to planar electromagnetic waves. Maxwell James Clerk (01 January 1865) [6]. The concept of the Universal Perfect Equilibrium transcends such limitations, applying to all manifestations of light, ranging from Laser Beams to the imagery depicting the genesis of the Universe.

## 2. "Gravitational RedShift/ BlueShift in "Light (EMR)" due to Electromagnetic Gravitational Interaction"

To validate the New Theory, the experiment titled "Test of the Gravitational Redshift with Galileo Satellites in an Eccentric Orbit" was selected. Herrmann Sven, Felix Finke, Martin Lulf, Olga et al. (4 December 2018) [8] In this experiment, a stable MASER frequency from a ground station was transmitted to two Galileo Satellites. The frequency difference between the Ground Station and the Satellites was measured. This frequency shift was due to the gravitational field of the Earth, and two satellites were chosen to account for the eccentricity of the Galileo orbit.

Gravitational fields influence the propagation of electromagnetic radiation, specifically causing

a phenomenon known as gravitational redshift. According to General Relativity, light or any form of electromagnetic radiation loses energy when it escapes a gravitational field, leading to an increase in wavelength and a corresponding decrease in frequency. In the “Test of the Gravitational Redshift” experiment, the MASER signal experiences this effect as it travels from the ground station (lower gravitational potential) to the satellites (higher gravitational potential). The difference in frequency recorded by the satellites is a direct measure of this redshift. By carefully selecting two satellites in slightly different orbits, the experiment compensates for the orbit’s eccentricity, ensuring more precise measurements of the gravitational redshift. This effect highlights the interplay between gravity and electromagnetic radiation. Vegt Wim (Calculation 3, August 25, 2022) [17], confirming theoretical predictions with practical observations.

Assuming a gravitational field  $g[z]$  depending on the radial direction in cartesian coordinates between the ground station and the satellites:

$$\overline{g[z]} = \left\{ 0, 0, \frac{G M_{Earth}}{4 \pi z^2} \right\} \tag{9}$$

In which  $G (G = 6.67428 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ Nm}^2 / \text{kg}^2)$  equals the Gravitational constant,  $M_{Earth}$  the mass of the earth and  $r$  the radial distance from the centre of the earth. The mathematical solution [5] of equation (8) for plane electromagnetic waves (expressed in cartesian  $\{x,y,z\}$  coordinates) related to the Electric Field Intensity equals:

$$\vec{E} = \begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{GM_{Earth} \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi z}} h \left[ \dot{u}_0 e^{-\frac{GM_{Earth} \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{4 \pi z}} (t - \sqrt{\epsilon \mu} z) \right] \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

And the mathematical solution of (8) for the Magnetic Field Intensity equals:

$$\vec{H} = \begin{pmatrix} H_x \\ H_y \\ H_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\dot{a}_0} i_0} e^{-\frac{GM_{Earth} \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi z}} h \left[ \dot{u}_0 e^{-\frac{GM_{Earth} \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{4 \pi z}} (t - \sqrt{\epsilon \mu} z) \right] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

In this scenario, the initial frequency of the MASER radiation propagating in the direction of the Earth’s gravitational field  $g[z]$  is denoted by  $\omega_0$ . The inclusion of the exponential term indicates the Gravitational Redshift encountered as the MASER radiation traverses the Earth’s gravitational field. While the

speed of propagation of Electromagnetic Radiation (i.e., the speed of light) stays consistent, both the amplitude of the field intensity and the frequency undergo an exponential decline..

Mathematical calculations compare the results obtained from General Relativity with those from the New Theory. By setting the distance from the ground station to the Earth’s centre as  $z_1 = 6,378,000$  [m] (Earth’s radius) and the average distance of ESA satellites in a Galileo orbit as  $z_2 = 23,222,000$  [m] (distance from the ESA satellite to the Earth’s centre), the Gravitational Redshift, Delva P, Puchades N, Schönemann E, Dilssner F, Courde C et al (December 2018) [2] and Herrmann Sven, Felix Finke, Martin Lülff, Olga, et al (December 2018) [5], As per the principles established in General Relativity, this value is ascertained. Vegt Wim (Calculation 2, July 16, 2023) [16]:

$$\Delta \dot{u}_{GR} = 0.00000000004011815497097883 \text{ [s}^{-1}] \tag{12}$$

Calculated with Mathematica, the Gravitational RedShift [2, 5, 6, 17] according the New Theory, which is a solution of equation (8) equals Vegt Wim (Calculation 2, July 16, 2023) [16]:

$$\Delta \dot{u}_{GR} = 0.00000000004011824206173742 \text{ [s}^{-1}] \tag{13}$$

Both calculated values are within the Range of the measured gravitational RedShift by the average values of both ESA satellites in the Galileo orbit

$$\Delta \dot{u}_{Measured} = 0.000000000040118 \pm 2.2 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ [s}^{-1}] \tag{14}$$

In [2] a factor  $\alpha$  has been defined which presents the measured deviation  $\alpha$  between the predicted Gravitational RedShift by General Relativity and the Measured Gravitational RedShift.

$$\alpha = \Delta \dot{u}_{MEASURED} - \Delta \dot{u}_{GR} = (2.2 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{-5} \tag{15}$$

A comparable factor  $\alpha$  can be used to determine which theory (General Relativity or the New Theory) has the nearest approach to the experimentally measured data. Highly accurate measuring experiments are required with an accuracy higher than 16 digits beyond the decimal point.

### 3. Black Holes without Singularities with Dimensions Smaller than the Diameter of the Hydrogen Atom

A second fundamental solution for equation

(8) describes a **Gravitational Electromagnetic Confinement (BLACK HOLE)** [1] within a radial gravitational field with acceleration  $g$  (in radial direction). This solution represents a Black Hole, the confinement of light due to its own gravitational field, and has no singularities. This solution for equation (8) describes Black Holes, dependent of time and radius, presenting discrete spherical energy levels, within a radial gravitational field with acceleration  $g$  (in radial direction) has been represented in (16) and (17).


$$\begin{pmatrix} E_r \\ E_\theta \\ E_\phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f(r) \sin(kr) \sin(\omega t) \\ -f(r) \cos(kr) \cos(\omega t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} H_r \\ H_\theta \\ H_\phi \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -f(r) \sin(kr) \cos(\omega t) \\ -f(r) \cos(kr) \sin(\omega t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{1}{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{G_1}{4\pi r^2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$w_{em} = \left( \frac{\mu_0}{2} (\vec{m} \cdot \vec{m}) + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} (\vec{e} \cdot \vec{e}) \right) = f(r)^2 \left( (\sin(kr) \sin(\omega t))^2 + (\cos(kr) \cos(\omega t))^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{\mu} (\sin(kr) \cos(\omega t))^2 + (\cos(kr) \sin(\omega t))^2 \right) \tag{16}$$

In which the radial function  $f(r)$  equals:

$$f[r] = K e^{-\frac{G M_{BH} \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi r}} \tag{17}$$

$G$  represents the Gravitational constant and  $M$  represents the total confined electromagnetic mass of the BLACK HOLE. Equation (16) presents a Standing (Confined) Electromagnetic Field Configuration with a phase shift of 90 degrees between the electric field and the magnetic field with the corresponding Nodes and AntiNodes. [11] The solution has been calculated according Newton's Shell Theorem.



**Figure 1.** The Energy Density [J/m³] as a function of the Radius  $R = \max 10^7$  [m] of the Black Hole

Figure 1 and Figure 2 Vegt Wim (Calculation 4 21 February 2023) [18] demonstrate the large effect of “Gravitational Intensity Shift” and “Gravitational RedShift” at the distance of 25 [km]. Over a distance of 10.000 [km] the intensity of the emitted light of the Black Hole with a mass of  $10^{35}$  [kg] falls back with a factor of  $10^{-51}$ . Also the frequency of the emitted

Assuming a constant speed of light “c” and Planck’s constant  $h$  within the BLACK HOLE, the radius “R” (with  $n = 1,2,3,4,\dots$ ) of theBLACK HOLE with the energy of a proton, according  $W = m_{\text{proton}} c^2$ , would be:  $1.5009211 \times 10^{-10} 1.5009211 \times 10^{-10}$  [J].

$$R_{\text{GEON}} = n \left( \frac{c}{f} \right) \left( \frac{c}{W} \right) h 7.1865 \cdot 10^{-26} \left( \frac{n}{W} \right)$$

$$R_{\text{GEON}} = n 3.82 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ [m]} \tag{18}$$

Black Holes (GEONs) Wheeler John Archibald (15 January 1955) [26] are varying from atomic dimensions with dimensions of  $10^{-27}$  [kg], until Black Holes with dimensions of  $10^{40}$  [kg], At these dimensions Black Holes turn into Dark Matter. The fundamental boundary condition for the confinement of Electromagnetic radiation (BLACK HOLES) is that the energy flow (Poynting vector)  $\vec{S} = \vec{E} \times \vec{H}$  equals zero at the surface of the confinement. This is possible at every “90 degrees Phase Shift Surface” (Sphere) between the Electric Field and the Magnetic Field. [27] and [28]

### 3.1 Black Holes with a Singular Point and Large Dimensions

Fig 1 represents a Black Hole (GEON) Wheeler John Archibald (15 January 1955)[26] with a mass of  $10^{35}$  [kg] and a radius of about 25 [km] controlled by a different mathematical solution for equation (8). The radius of the Black Hole equals about 25 [km] which has been controlled by a different mathematical

$$f[r] = K e^{\left( \frac{G M_{BH} \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi r} - \log[r] \right)} \text{ [J/m}^3 \text{]} \tag{19}$$

light of the Black Hole falls back with a factor  $10^{-51}$ . Emitted light in the visible spectrum of  $10^{14}$  [Hz] falls back to a frequency of  $10^{-37}$  [Hz]. These extreme low frequencies with extreme low intensities have never been measured which has result in the name “Black Hole” for the phenomenon of “Gravitational Intensity Shift” and “Gravitational RedShift” for a large mass.

It follows from equation (8) and the solutions (10) and (11) that the speed of light does not change inside and around Black Hole. Only the direction of the

propagation of light can change due to a gravitational field. Nikko John Leo S. Lobos, Reggie C. Pantig (2022). [7]




Figure 2. The Energy Density [J/m³] as a function of the Radius  $R = \max 10^5 [m]$

### 3.2 Dark Matter in the Universe Controlled by “Gravitational Shielding”

mass equals  $5 \cdot 10^{21} [m]$  which has been controlled by a different mathematical solution (20) for equation (8).

Fig 3 represents Dark Matter with a total mass of  $10^{53} [kg]$  and a radius of about 10 times the size of the Milky Way Galaxy. The radius [11] of the dark

$$f[r] = K e^{\left(\frac{G M_{BH} \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi r} \cdot \log[r]\right)} \quad [J/m^3] \quad (20)$$




Figure 3. The Energy Density [J/m³] as a function of the Radius  $R = \max 10^{25} [m]$  of the Dark Matter.




Figure 4. The Energy Density [J/m³] of the Dark Matter as a function of the Radius  $R = \max 10^{22} [m]$

Figure 3 and Figure 4 demonstrate the large effect of “Gravitational Intensity Shift” and “Gravitational RedShift” at the distance of  $5 \cdot 10^{21} [m]$  which is 10 times the radius of the Milky Way Galaxy. Over the distance of  $5 \cdot 10^{21} [m]$  the intensity of the emitted light of the Dark Matter with a mass of  $10^{53} [kg]$  falls back with a factor of  $10^{-261}$ . Also the frequency of

the emitted light of the Black Hole falls back with a factor  $10^{-261}$ . Emitted light in the visible spectrum of  $10^{14} [Hz]$  falls back to a frequency of  $10^{-247} [Hz]$ . These extreme low frequencies with extreme low intensities have never been measured which has result in the name “Dark Matter” for the phenomenon of “Gravitational Intensity Shift” and “Gravitational

RedShift” for an extreme large mass. It follows from equation (8) and the solutions (10) and (11) that the speed of light does not change inside and around the Dark Mass. Only the direction of the propagation of light can change due to the gravitational field of the Dark Mass.

### 4. The Relationship between Black Holes and Quantum Physics

Introducing the Quantum Vector Function  $\bar{\phi}$  ,

$$\bar{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2}} \left( \bar{H} + i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) \tag{21}$$

Substituting (21) in (16) results in the quantum presentation for the BLACK HOLE:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Phi(r, \theta, \varphi)} &= \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2}} \left( \bar{H} + i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) f(r) \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_r \\ \Phi_\theta \\ \Phi_\varphi \end{pmatrix} \\ \overline{\Phi(r, \theta, \varphi)} &= K \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}} e^{-\frac{G1 \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi r}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Sin}(k r) & \text{Sin}(k r) \\ 0 & -i \text{Cos}(k r) & i \text{Cos}(k r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Cos}(\omega t) \\ i \text{Sin}(\omega t) \end{Bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

With “K” a constant value depend of the mass of the BLACK HOLE. The Dot product between the unit vector and the Quantum Vector Function  $\bar{\phi}$  represents the quantum mechanical probability function  $\Psi[r, t]$  which is a fundamental solution of the Schrödinger Wave Equation [12].

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Phi(r, \theta, \varphi)} &= K \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}} e^{-\frac{G1 \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi r}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{Sin}(k r) & \text{Sin}(k r) \\ 0 & -i \text{Cos}(k r) & i \text{Cos}(k r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Cos}(\omega t) \\ i \text{Sin}(\omega t) \end{Bmatrix} \\ \Psi(r, t) &= \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{Bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Cos}(\omega t) \\ i \text{Sin}(\omega t) \end{Bmatrix} K \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}} e^{-\frac{G1 \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi r}} = K \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{\mu}} e^{-\frac{G1 \epsilon_0 \mu_0}{8 \pi r}} e^{i \omega t} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The Scalar function  $\Psi[r, t]$  represents a fundamental solution of the Quantum Mechanical Schrödinger wave equation. [10]

### 4.1 Black Holes with Discrete Spherical Energy Levels at Sub-Atomic Dimensions

In order to effectively confine Electromagnetic Energy, a critical requirement is that the Poynting vector reaches a value of zero at the surface of the spherical confinement. Creating this confinement within a sphere necessitates the presence of a standing electromagnetic wave pattern, characterized by concentric spheres. Each of these spheres establishes an antinodal plane for either the Electric Field (E) or the Magnetic Field (B), with the radius distance between each sphere precisely equal to half the wavelength of the overall confinement.

Within this setup, a constant denoted as “k” is introduced, defined as  $k = n\pi/\lambda$ , where “n” represents a natural number (1, 2, 3, 4, ...) and  $\lambda$  signifies the wavelength of the radiation. This equation elucidates the structured connection between the wavelength, the constant k, and the natural number n within the sphere of electromagnetic confinement in a spherical system.

### 4.2 Time and Radius Dependent Black Holes with Discrete Energy Levels. The Confinements of Electromagnetic Radiation within Spherical Regions

Every concentric sphere represents an anti-nodal surface for the Electric Field (E) or the Magnetic Field (H). The Poynting Vector:  $\bar{S} = \bar{E} \times \bar{H}$  at this spherical surface equals zero at any time and at any location at this sphere. The Electromagnetic Energy remains always within this sphere and the next concentric sphere. The concentric spheres have a difference in radius of one half wavelength of the electromagnetic radiation within the confinement and a different discrete energy level. Every concentric sphere represents an anti-nodal surface of the electric field or the magnetic field [20].





Figure 5. Nodal and Antinodal Spheres for Standing (Confined) Spherical Electromagnetic waves with a 90 degrees phase shift between the Electric field and the Magnetic field. Equation (23)



Figure 5 illustrates the spatial distribution of nodal and antinodal spheres concerning stationary, confined spherical electromagnetic waves characterized by a distinctive 90-degree phase disparity between the electric and magnetic fields. This configuration is

delineated by Equation (23) which encapsulates the nuanced interplay between these fundamental electromagnetic components within a three-dimensional framework [20].




**Figure 6.** Nodal- and Anti-nodal Spheres ( $k = 3$ ) for Standing (Confined) Spherical Electromagnetic waves with a 90 degrees phase shift between the Electric field and the Magnetic field. Equation (23)

Figure 6 depicts the intricate nodal and anti-nodal spheres, emphasizing the scenario of standing, confined spherical electromagnetic waves where the wave number  $k$  is set at 3. Vegt Wim (Calculation 5, 16 March 2023) [19] This visualization captures the unique 90-degree phase offset prevailing between the electric and magnetic fields. Equation (23) serves as the key mathematical representation encapsulating this phenomenon, further elucidating the interplay and characteristics of these electromagnetic waves within a specific spatial context.

Equation (24) describes a Time and Radius dependent BLACK HOLE.

### 4.3 Time and Polar Angle dependent Black Holes



**Figure 7.** Nodal- and Antinodal Polar Angle Regions ( $m = 3$ ) for Standing (Confined) Spherical Electromagnetic waves with a 90 degrees phase shift between the Electric field and the Magnetic field. Equation (15)

In the realm of time and polar angle-dependent black holes, Section 4.1.2 explores the intricate dynamics associated with these celestial entities. Figure 7 offers a detailed insight into the nodal and antinodal regions

across the polar angle, particularly emphasizing the case where the azimuthal quantum number  $m$  is defined as 3. Vegt Wim (Calculation 6, 23 April 2023) [20] These findings shed light on the behavior of standing,


confined spherical electromagnetic waves featuring a distinct 90-degree phase discrepancy between the electric and magnetic fields, as encapsulated by Equation (25). [19]

Equation (25) describes a Time and “Polar Angle” dependent BLACK HOLEVegt Wim (Calculation 7, 15 May 2023) [21]:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= K e^{-\frac{G1e0\mu0}{8\pi r}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Sin}[m \theta] \text{Sin}[\omega t] \\ \text{Sin}[m \theta] \text{Cos}[\omega t] \end{pmatrix} \\ \vec{H} &= K e^{-\frac{G1e0\mu0}{8\pi r}} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Sin}[m \theta] \text{Cos}[\omega t] \\ -\text{Sin}[m \theta] \text{Sin}[\omega t] \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$


Equation (25) represents by the function  $S_j n[m]$  ( $m = 1,2,3,4,\dots$ ) the confinement of electromagnetic radiation between two Polar Angular Regions [15].

Figure 8 illustrates the regions of nodal and antinodal behavior with respect to the polar angle, specifically focusing on cases where the azimuthal quantum number  $m$  is set to 3. This visualization pertains to standing, confined electromagnetic waves displaying a significant 90-degree phase differential between the electric and magnetic fields. The underlying dynamics are succinctly captured by Equation (15), providing a formal representation of these intriguing wave patterns.



**Figure 8.** Nodal- and Antinodal Polar Angle Regions ( $m = 3$ ) for Standing (Confined) Electromagnetic waves with a 90 degrees phase shift between the Electric field and the Magnetic field. Equation (25)

#### 4.4 Time and Azimuthal Angular Dependent Black Holes



**Figure 9.** Nodal- and Antinodal Azimuthal Angular Regions ( $n = 3$ ) for Standing (Confined) Electromagnetic waves with a 90 degrees phase shift between the Electric field and the Magnetic field. Equation (26)

Fig. 9 Nodal- and Anti-nodal Azimuthal Angular Regions ( $n = 3$ ) for Standing (Confined) Electromagnetic waves with a 90 degrees phase shift between the Electric field and the Magnetic field.

Equation (26).Equation (26) describes a Time and “Polar Angle” dependent BLACK HOLEVegt Wim (Calculation 7, 15 May 2023) [21]:

$$\vec{E} = K e^{-\frac{G1\epsilon_0\mu_0}{8\pi r}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Cos}[n \varphi] \text{Sin}[\omega t] \\ \text{Cos}[n \varphi] \text{Cos}[\omega t] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{H} = K e^{-\frac{G1\epsilon_0\mu_0}{8\pi r}} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Cos}[n \varphi] \text{Cos}[\omega t] \\ -\text{Cos}[n \varphi] \text{Sin}[\omega t] \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

The function denoted by Equation (26), where  $n$  ranges over integers ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ), encapsulates the confinement of electromagnetic radiation within two distinct Azimuthal Angular Regions, as referenced by [14,15].

4.5 Time, Polar- and Azimuthal Angular Dependent Black Holes




Figure 10. Nodal- and Anti-nodal Polar Angular and Azimuthal Angular Regions ( $n = 4$  and  $m = 4$ ) for Standing (Confined) Electromagnetic waves with a 90 degrees phase shift between the Electric field and the Magnetic field. Equation (27)

Figure 10 showcases the delineation of nodal and anti-nodal regions pertaining to both polar and azimuthal angular domains, specifically when  $n$  is set to 4 and  $m$  is set to 4. This visualization sheds light on the intricate behavior of standing, confined electromagnetic waves characterized by a distinct 90-degree phase difference between the electric and magnetic fields. The mathematical framework governing these phenomena is encapsulated by Equation (27), providing a formal expression of these electromagnetic wave patterns within the specified angular regions.

Equation (27) describes a Time “Azimuthal Angle” and “Polar Angle” dependent BLACK HOLEVegt Wim (Calculation 7, 15 May 2023) [21]:

$$\vec{E} = K e^{-\frac{G1\epsilon_0\mu_0}{8\pi r}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{Cos}[n \varphi] \text{Sin}[m \theta] \text{Sin}[\omega t] \\ \text{Cos}[n \varphi] \text{Sin}[m \theta] \text{Cos}[\omega t] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{H} = K e^{-\frac{G1\epsilon_0\mu_0}{8\pi r}} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\text{Cos}[n \varphi] \text{Sin}[m \theta] \text{Cos}[\omega t] \\ \text{Cos}[n \varphi] \text{Sin}[m \theta] \text{Sin}[\omega t] \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

Equation (27) represents by the function  $\text{Cos}[n \varphi]$  ( $n = 1,2,3,4,\dots$ ) and  $\text{Sin}[m \theta]$  ( $m = 1,2,3,4,\dots$ ) the confinement of electromagnetic radiation between two Azimuthal Angular Regions and two Polar Angulars Regions [14,15].

4.6 Spherical Confinement of Light between two Concentric Spheres within Black Holes




Figure 11. Nodal- and Antinodal Regions for Standing (Confined) Electromagnetic waves with a 90 degrees phase shift between the Electric field and the Magnetic field. Equation (14)


Figure 11 illustrates the nodal and antinodal regions associated with standing, confined electromagnetic waves featuring a 90-degree phase differential between the electric and magnetic fields. The intricacies of this wave behavior are represented mathematically by Equation (14), offering a formal description of the electromagnetic field dynamics within this context.

Equation (28) captures the phenomenon of the reflection of Confined Electromagnetic Energy within the confines of a Black Hole, delineated between two concentric spheres. In this scenario, the speed of light, which is contingent upon the variable “r” representing the radial distance, undergoes a change in direction commensurate with the frequency of the confined light, or Electromagnetic Radiation.

Remarkably, a Black Hole possesses the capacity to undergo a process of splitting into two distinct Black

Holes characterized by differing radii. During this transformation, the original Black Hole transitions to a lower energy level, akin to an atom descending to a lower energy state. The resultant new Black Holes formed as a consequence of this splitting represent the disparity in energy levels, resembling the analogous behavior of an atom transitioning between energy levels within its atomic structure. Vegt Wim (Calculation 5, 16 March 2023) [19]:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E} &= K e^{-\frac{G1c0\mu0}{8\pi r}} f \left[ t - \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0} \cos[2k r]}{2k} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sin[k r] \sin[\omega t] \\ -\cos[k r] \cos[\omega t] \end{pmatrix} \\ \vec{H} &= K e^{-\frac{G2c0\mu0}{8\pi r}} f \left[ t - \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0} \cos[2k r]}{2k} \right] \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\mu_0}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\sin[k r] \cos[\omega t] \\ -\cos[k r] \sin[\omega t] \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$



**Figure 12.** Nodal- and Antinodal Regions for Standing (Confined) Electromagnetic within two concentric spheres. Equation (18)

Figure 12 presents a visual representation of the nodal and anti-nodal regions characterizing standing, confined electromagnetic waves enclosed within two concentric spheres. The electromagnetic field behaviors within this configuration are mathematically defined by Equation (18), offering a precise formulation of the wave dynamics within the specified spatial constraints.

**4.7 Universal Equilibrium in the “Concept of Quantum Mechanical Probability” in “The New Theory”.**

The 4-dimensional notation for the divergence of the Stress-Energy Tensor (25) expresses in the 4<sup>th</sup> dimension (time dimension) the law of Conservation of Energy”. For an Electromagnetic Field the law for conservation of Energy has been expressed as:

$$\vec{f}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} f_4 \\ f_3 \\ f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} = \square \square \vec{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \cdot \vec{S} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \\ f_3 \\ f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{0}^4 \tag{29}$$

From the equation for the “Conservation of Electromagnetic Energy”(38.1) the “Fundamental Equation for Confined Electromagnetic Interaction” in “The New Theory” will be derived, which equals the Relativistic Quantum Mechanical “Dirac” equation and the Schrödinger wave equation at velocities relative low compared to the speed of light.

The “Fundamental Equation for Confined Electromagnetic Interaction” in “The Proposed Theory” can be considered to be the relativistic version of the Quantum Mechanical Schrödinger wave equation, which equals the Quantum Mechanical Dirac Equation.

**5. Confined Electromagnetic Energy within a 4-Dimensional Equilibrium**

The physical concept of quantum mechanical probability waves has been created during the famous 1927 5<sup>th</sup> Solvay Conference. During that period there were several circumstances which came just together and made it possible to create a unique idea of “Material Waves” (Solutions of Schrödinger’s wave equation) being complex (partly real and partly

imaginary) and describing the probability of the appearance of a physical object (elementary particle) generally indicated as “Quantum Mechanical Probability Waves”.

The idea of complex (probability) waves is directly related to the concept of confined (standing) waves. Characteristic for any standing acoustical wave is the fact that the Velocity and the Pressure (Electric Field and Magnetic Field in QLT) are always shifted over 90 degrees. The same principle does exist for the standing (confined) electromagnetic waves,

For that reason every confined (standing) Electromagnetic wave can be described by a complex sum vector  $\phi$  of the Electric Field Vector  $\bar{E}$  and the Magnetic Field Vector  $\bar{B}$  ( $\bar{E}$  has 90 degrees phase shift compared to  $\bar{B}$ ).

The vector functions  $\bar{\phi}$  and the complex conjugated vector function  $\bar{\phi}^*$  will be written as:

$$\bar{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu}} \left( \bar{B} + i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) \tag{30}$$

$\bar{B}$  equals the magnetic induction,  $\bar{E}$  the electric field intensity ( $\bar{E}$  has + 90 degrees phase shift compared to  $\bar{B}$ ) and  $c$  the speed of light. The complex conjugated vector function  $\bar{\phi}^*$  equals:

$$\bar{\phi}^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu}} \left( \bar{B} - i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) \tag{31}$$

The dot product equals the electromagnetic energy density  $w$ :

$$\bar{\phi} \cdot \bar{\phi}^* = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left( \bar{B} + i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) \cdot \left( \bar{B} - i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \mu H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon E^2 = w \tag{32}$$

Using Einstein’s equation  $W = m c^2$ , the dot product equals the electromagnetic mass density  $w$ :

$$w = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left( \bar{B} + i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) \cdot \left( \bar{B} - i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \mu^2 H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 E^2 = \rho \tag{33}$$

The cross product is proportional to the Poynting vector: Vegt Wim (1995)[10]

$$\bar{\phi} \times \bar{\phi}^* = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left( \bar{B} + i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) \times \left( \bar{B} - i \frac{\bar{E}}{c} \right) = i\sqrt{\epsilon\mu} \bar{E} \times \bar{H} = i\sqrt{\epsilon\mu} \bar{S} \tag{34}$$

This article presents a new “Gravitational-Electromagnetic Equation” describing

Electromagnetic Field Configurations which are simultaneously the Mathematical Solutions for the Scalar Quantum Mechanical “Schrodinger Wave Equation” and more exactly the Mathematical Solutions for the Tensor representation of the “Relativistic Quantum Mechanical Dirac Equation” (41).[19]

The 4-dimensional divergence of the sum of the Electromagnetic Stress-Energy tensor expresses the 4-dimensional Force-Density vector (expressed in [N/m<sup>3</sup>] in the 3 spatial coordinates) as the result of Electro-Magnetic-Gravitational interaction.

$$f^\mu = \partial_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{35}$$

In vector notation the 4-dimensional Force-Density vector can be written as:

$$\bar{f}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} f_4 \\ f_3 \\ f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} = \square \cdot \bar{T} = 0 \tag{36}$$

The fundamental boundary condition for this alternative approach to gravity is the requirement that the Force 4 vector equals zero in the 4 dimensions, expressing a universal 4-dimensional equilibrium:

The 3 spatial components of the Force-Density vector, as a result of Electro-Magnetic-Gravitational interaction can be written as:

Substituting the electromagnetic values for the electric field intensity “E” and the magnetic field intensity “H” in (36) results in the 4-dimensional representation of the Electro-Magnetic-Gravitational Fields Equation (37):

$$\begin{aligned} (f_4) \Leftrightarrow \nabla \cdot (\bar{E} \times \bar{H}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial (\epsilon_0 (\bar{E} \cdot \bar{E}) + \mu_0 (\bar{H} \cdot \bar{H}))}{\partial t} &= 0 \\ \text{Energy-Time Domain} \\ \begin{pmatrix} f_3 \\ f_2 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial (\bar{E} \times \bar{H})}{\partial t} + \epsilon_0 \bar{E} (\nabla \cdot \bar{E}) - \epsilon_0 \bar{E} \times (\nabla \times \bar{E}) \\ + \mu_0 \bar{H} (\nabla \cdot \bar{H}) - \mu_0 \bar{H} \times (\nabla \times \bar{H}) &= \bar{0} \\ \text{3-Dimensional Space Domain} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

In which  $f_1, f_2, f_3$ , represent the force densities in the 3 spatial dimensions and  $f_4$  represent the force density (energy flow) in the time dimension (4<sup>th</sup> dimension). Equation (37) can be written as:

$$(f_4) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Energy-Time Domain} \\ \text{Conservation of Energy} \\ \text{B-7} \end{array} \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{S} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (38.1)$$

$$(f_3) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{3-Dimensional Space Domain} \\ \text{B-1} \quad \text{B-2} \quad \text{B-3} \\ -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial (\vec{E} \times \vec{H})}{\partial t} + \epsilon_0 \vec{E} (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \epsilon_0 \vec{E} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}) + \\ \text{B-4} \quad \text{B-5} \\ + \mu_0 \vec{H} (\nabla \cdot \vec{H}) - \mu_0 \vec{H} \times (\nabla \times \vec{H}) = \vec{0} \end{array} \quad (38.2)$$

The 4<sup>th</sup> term in equation (38.1) can be written in the terms of the Poynting vector “S” and the energy density “w” representing the electromagnetic law for the conservation of energy (Newton’s second law of motion

### 6. The 4-dimensional Relativistic Dirac Equation

Substituting (32) and (34) in Equation (38.1) results in The 4-Dimensional Tensor presentation for the relativistic quantum mechanical Dirac Equation (39):

$$(x_4) \quad \nabla \cdot (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\phi}^*) + \frac{i}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{\phi}^*}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{i}{c} \frac{\partial (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\phi}^*)}{\partial t} - (\vec{\phi} \times (\nabla \times \vec{\phi}^*) + \vec{\phi}^* \times (\nabla \times \vec{\phi})) + (\vec{\phi} (\nabla \cdot \vec{\phi}^*) + \vec{\phi}^* (\nabla \cdot \vec{\phi})) = 0 \quad (39)$$

To transform the electromagnetic vector wave function  $\phi$  into a scalar (spinor or one-dimensional matrix representation), the Pauli spin matrices  $\sigma$  and the following matrices (Ref. 3 page 213, equation 99) are introduced:

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma \\ \sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_{ab} \end{bmatrix} \quad (40)$$

The Equations (6), (32) and (34) can be written in tensor presentation as the 4-Dimensional Relativistic Quantum Mechanical Dirac Equation: [9] (Equation 102, page 213)

$$(x_4) \quad \left( \frac{imc}{h} \vec{\beta} + \vec{\alpha} \cdot \nabla \right) \psi = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \quad (41.1)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial (\vec{E} \times \vec{H})}{\partial t} + \epsilon_0 \vec{E} (\nabla \cdot \vec{E}) - \epsilon_0 \vec{E} \times (\nabla \times \vec{E}) + \mu_0 \vec{H} (\nabla \cdot \vec{H}) - \mu_0 \vec{H} \times (\nabla \times \vec{H}) + \gamma_0 (\vec{g} \cdot \vec{g}) - \gamma_0 \vec{g} \times (\nabla \times \vec{g}) = \vec{0} \quad (41)$$

### 7. The Fundamental Experiment to Validate the New Theory in Physics

The fundamental foundation for Einstein’s Theory of General Relativity is the “Curvature of Space and

Time” due to a Gravitational Field. In the “Theory of General Relativity” Gravitational RedShift has been explained by a change in time and space resulting is a change in the observed frequency shift in the spectrum of the light being emitted by far away Galaxies. The foundation for Einstein’s theory of General Relativity is a constant value for the speed of light in the absence of a gravitational field Daniel Y. Gerazi (2010) [1].

In the “New Theory” the fundamental foundation is “Equilibrium”. Equilibrium for the “5 fundamental force densities in light” in any direction at any time and at any location. The 5 fundamental forces in light are:

1. “Inertia Force” (Energy has always inertia according Einstein’s E = m c<sup>2</sup>)
2. “Electric Force”
3. “Magnetic Force”
4. “Electric Force” due to the “Lorentz Transformation” of the “Magnetic Force”
5. “Magnetic Force” due to the “Lorentz Transformation” of the “Electric Force”

The speed of light has been fully controlled by the perfect equilibrium between the 5 fundamental force densities in any direction at any time and at any location. For a single beam of light the perfect equilibrium between the 5 fundamental forces always results in the speed of light:

$$c = 1 / \sqrt{\epsilon \mu} \quad (42)$$

However in this experiment 3 beams of light with the same frequency and the same phase and 3 controllable (LPA) different intensities K1, K2 and K3 will cross each other in 3 orthogonal directions. This will result in different boundary conditions for the total electromagnetic radiation and will be measurable by a changing in the speed of light. In this experiment by a changing of the speed of light in the chosen z-direction. The changing of the speed of light will become visible by a change in the interference patterns of the 2 LASER beams. The original beam and the manipulated beam.

The solution for equation (8) has been calculated in Mathematica when 3 laser beams cross each other perpendicular and will cause a change in the speed of light within the intersection of the 3 crossing Laser Beams due to Electromagnetic Interaction. According the calculations in Mathematica 11.3 at the exact “location dependent speed of lightc[x,y,z]”


there will be a perfect equilibrium between all the electromagnetic- inertia- and radiation pressure force densities at any time in any direction:

$$\frac{1}{c(x,y,z)} = h(x,y,z) = \frac{(K_1^2 x - K_2 K_3 x + K_1^2 y + K_2^2 z - K_3(K_1 y + K_2 z))}{K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2} \sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0} \quad (43)$$

The findings articulated in equation (43) have been documented in Vegt Wim, Calculation 8 (16 June 2024). The alteration in the velocity of light within the designated cross-sectional area will manifest in the interference patterns observed on Screen 1 and Screen 2. This phenomenon can be elicited by modulating the intensity of the secondary and tertiary LASER beams using the two “LASER Power Attenuators” (as denoted in blue) subsequent to the bifurcation of the beam(s) through the beamsplitter(s).

From the implications of equation (43), it can be concluded that only within the intersection of the

### 7.1 Technical Setup for the Experiment to demonstrate that the speed of light will change in the area of Electromagnetic Interaction



**Figure 13.** Arrangement for Demonstrating “Electromagnetic Interaction” involving three orthogonal coherent laser beams interacting within a confined cross-sectional area to exhibit “Electromagnetic Interaction”.

## 8. Summary and Conclusions

In summarizing the findings of this article, it becomes evident that the intricate relationship between scientific inquiry and spiritual philosophy serves as a fertile ground for advancing our understanding of the cosmos. This study elucidates a transformative paradigm, positing the foundational concept of “Equilibrium” as a critical framework for reconceptualizing complex interactions among light, gravity, and electromagnetism within the context of black holes and dark matter. By embedding the Stress-Energy Tensor within the Gravitational Tensor, this

three distinct LASER beams does the resultant electromagnetic wave propagate as a singular entity. This unified electromagnetic wave is characterized by a single Poynting vector, which corresponds to the vectorial summation of the Poynting vectors associated with the three individual LASER beams. This phenomenon underpins the principle that the total momentum of the resultant electromagnetic wave is equivalent to the cumulative momentum derived from the three separate LASER beams, a consequence of electromagnetic interaction.

The interaction patterns among the three corresponding LASER beams will become evident within regions defined by dimensions that correspond to the wavelengths of the original LASER beam. Consequently, the observation of these specific interaction patterns necessitates the use of a high-resolution camera equipped with a macro-zoom lens.

research proposes an innovative tensor framework that expands upon and challenges prevailing theories rooted in General Relativity.

Moreover, the intriguing notion that light may experience implosion as a consequence of its intrinsic gravitational field, leading to the formation of “GEONs” with characteristics reminiscent of elementary particles, invites a reevaluation of the widely accepted invariance of light speed. This revelation is intertwined with the overarching theme of the convergence of scientific and spiritual paradigms, aligning with sacred texts that suggest

a cosmic interconnectedness manifesting through diverse forms of creation.

The empirical data supporting this study, such as insights gleaned from Galileo satellites and MASER frequency measurements, lend credibility to the proposed models and call for a reassessment of established paradigms within astrophysics and astronomy. The findings advocate not only for an integration of quantum physics with general relativity but also for a reconciliation of science and spirituality, underscoring the potential for meaningful advancements at their confluence.

In conclusion, this article asserts that a holistic understanding of the universe is achievable through the synthesis of diverse intellectual traditions—scientific inquiry and religious philosophy alike. By embracing this interdisciplinary approach, we unlock the potential for groundbreaking revelations about the nature of reality, forging pathways for future exploration that transcend the boundaries of conventional thought, thereby enriching both scientific discourse and spiritual contemplation.

#### Data Availability

All Data and Calculations have been published at: <https://quantumlight.science/>

### 9. References

1. Daniel Y. Gerazie; Lunar Laser Ranging Test of the Invariance of  $c$ ; NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Laboratory for ExoPlanets and Stellar Astrophysics, Code 667, Greenbelt, MD 20771; <https://arxiv.org/pdf/0912.3934>
2. Delva P, Puchades N, Schönemann E, Dilssner F, Courde C et. al 4 December 2018, Gravitational Redshift Test Using Eccentric Galileo Satellites, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 121, 231101 – Published; DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.231101
3. Einstein Albert 1953, On the Influence of Gravitation on the Propagation of Light; *Annalen der Physik* (ser. 4), 35, 898–908, [http://myweb.rz.uni-augsburg.de/~eckern/adp/history/einstein-papers/1911\\_35\\_898-908.pdf](http://myweb.rz.uni-augsburg.de/~eckern/adp/history/einstein-papers/1911_35_898-908.pdf)
4. Einstein Albert (originally published in 1953) 19 Jul 2011, “Elementare Überlegungen zur Interpretation der Grundlagen der Quanten-Mechanik”, (Oliver and Boyd), pages 33-40; Translated into English, 2011, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1107.3701>
5. Herrmann Sven, Felix Finke, Martin Lülff, Olga et. al 4 December 2018, Gravitational Redshift Test Using Eccentric Galileo Satellites, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 121, 231102, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.231102
6. Maxwell James Clerk 01 January 1865, A dynamical theory of the electromagnetic field;; <https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/10.1098/rstl.1865.0008>
7. Nikko John Leo S. Lobos, Reggie C. Pantig; Generalized Extended Uncertainty Principle Black Holes: Shadow and lensing in the macro- and microscopic realms; *Physics* 2022, 4(4), 1318-1330; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/physics4040084>
8. Raymond J. Beach; A classical Field Theory of Gravity and Electromagnetism; *Journal of Modern Physics*; 2014, 5, 928-939; DOI: 10.4236/jmp.2014.510096
9. Vegt Wim; A Continuous Model of Matter based on AEONs, *Physics Essays*, Volume 8, Number 2, 1995; Equation 15 Page 202; DOI: 10.31219/osf.io/ra7ng
10. Vegt Wim; A Continuous Model of Matter based on AEONs, *Physics Essays*, Volume 8, Number 2, 1995; Equation 119 Page 216, Equation A45 Page 221 and Equation A46 Page 221; DOI: 10.31219/osf.io/ra7ng
11. Vegt Wim 2002; The Maxwell-Schrödinger-Dirac Correspondence in Auto Confined Electromagnetic Fields; Equation 3 Page 3; *Annales Fondation Louis de Broglie*, Volume 27, no 1
12. Vegt Wim 2 October 2021; The 4-Dimensional Dirac Equation in Relativistic Field Theory; *European Journal of Applied Sciences*; Equation 23 Page 49; DOI: 10.14738/aivp.91.9403
13. Vegt Wim; “The Origin of Gravity in “Quantum Light Theory””; OSF Preprints; 14 October 2022; DOI: 10.31219/osf.io/n43yd
14. Vegt Wim; The Origin of Gravity; *Research & Reviews: Journal of Pure and Applied Physics*; Manuscript No. JPAP-22-76022(A); Equation 21 Page 13; Published: 26-Oct-2022; DOI: 10.4172/2320-2459.10004.
15. Vegt Wim; The speed of light by Electromagnetic Interaction; Calculation 1; 21 June 2022; [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2576692?p\\_p\\_auth=mTldHX3v](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2576692?p_p_auth=mTldHX3v)
16. Vegt Wim; Gravitational RedShift between two Atomic Clocks, Calculation 2; 16 July 2023; [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2622560?p\\_p\\_auth=EC8QO0Xz](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2622560?p_p_auth=EC8QO0Xz)
17. Vegt Wim; Propagation of Light within a Gravitational Field in Quantum Light Theory, Calculation 3; 25 August 2022; <https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2576537>
18. Vegt Wim; Black Holes with Discrete Spherical Energy Levels, Calculation 4; 21 February 2023; [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2896941?p\\_p\\_auth=D7ZKuo3k](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2896941?p_p_auth=D7ZKuo3k)



19. Vegt Wim; Time and Radius dependent GEONs with discrete Energy Levels, Calculation 5; 16 March 2023; [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2991686?p\\_p\\_auth=CGtF3Tkg](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2991686?p_p_auth=CGtF3Tkg)
20. Vegt Wim; Time and Polar Angular Regions dependent GEONs with discrete energy levels, Calculation 6; 23 April 2023; [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2901457?p\\_p\\_auth=H4jjDHmQ](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/2901457?p_p_auth=H4jjDHmQ)
21. Vegt Wim; Time and Azimuthal Regions dependent GEONs with discrete energy levels, Calculation 7; 15 May 2023; [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/3200586?p\\_p\\_auth=TWz8jyxO](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/3200586?p_p_auth=TWz8jyxO)
22. Vegt Wim; An Experiment to test General Relativity: Changing the speed of light by Electromagnetic Interaction, Calculation 8; 16 June 2024; [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/3168232?p\\_p\\_auth=425V1HDh](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/3168232?p_p_auth=425V1HDh)
23. Vegt Wim; A Perfect Equilibrium inside a Black Hole, Calculation 9; Wolfram Community: [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/3087823?p\\_p\\_auth=dPH7iBMg](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/3087823?p_p_auth=dPH7iBMg)
24. Vegt Wim; An Experiment to test General Relativity: Changing the speed of light by Electromagnetic Interaction; Calculation 10; [https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/3168232?p\\_p\\_auth=425V1HDh](https://community.wolfram.com/groups/-/m/t/3168232?p_p_auth=425V1HDh)
25. WengZihua, Influence of velocity curl on conservation laws, October 2008, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0810.0065>
26. Wheeler John Archibald; GEONs, Physical Review Journals Archive, 97, 511, Issue 2, pages 511-526, Published 15 January 1955, Publisher: American Physical Society, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.97.511:
27. Joshua N. Benabou, Quentin Bonnefoy, Malte Buschmann, Soubhik Kumar, and Benjamin R. Safdi; Cosmological dynamics of string theory axion strings; Phys. Rev. D 110, 035021 – Published 19 August 2024; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.110.035021>
28. Astrid Eichhorn, Rafael R. Lino dos Santos, and João Lucas Miqueleto; From quantum gravity to gravitational waves through cosmic strings; Phys. Rev. D 109, 026013, 30 January 2024; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.026013>
29. N. Mavromatos, P. Dordis and S.N. Vlachos; Torsion-induced axions in string theory, quantum gravity and the cosmological tensions; Proceedings of Science, Volume 463, Workshop on the Standard Model and beyond, 2023; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22323/1.463.0162>
30. Oswald Spengler; Der Untergang des Abendlandes, Welthistorischen Perspektiven; (The Decline of the West, Form and Actuality); 1922; Volume 2, Chapter 10 ( The State, The Problem of the Estates: Nobility and Priesthood). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/gh/11.1.62>
31. Torah, Bereshit 1:3 [3] Bible, Genesis 1:3
32. Bhagavad Gita, Chapter 13: Verse 18.
33. Surah An-Nur Ayat 35 (24:35 Quran).
34. Joel Kaye, A History of Balance, 1250–1375. The Emergence of a New Model of Equilibrium and its Impact on Thought, April 2014, ISBN: 9781107028456