

T. Afrin and A. Wait

Department of Biology, University of Alabama and Department of Biology, Missouri State University, USA.

*Corresponding Author: A. Wait, Department of Biology, Missouri State University. Springfield, MO, USA.

ABSTRACT

The effect of pure single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) on expression of CYP6BG1 was investigated in fourth in star of diamondback moth, Plutellaxylostella (DBM) larvae. To date there has been very little understanding of the effects of SWCNTs and Ag NPs on gene expression in insects; particularly on DBM.DBM is model organism for toxicity research because of its known resistance and sequenced genome. We investigated the effects of 138.24 µg/ml SWCNTs and 4.32 µg/ml and 8.64 µg/ml Ag NPs using artificial food. Control feed were prepared using distilled water. We measured the CYP6BG1 expression with Real-time PCR. CYP6BG1 was up regulated for 138.24 µg/ml SWCNTs and 4.32 µg/ml and 8.64 µg/ml Ag NPs compared to control. Our results suggest that DBM have very strong immunity and CYP P450 family potentially helps insects to metabolize toxic ENPs. De novo expression profile analysis due to ENPs exposure to DBM is now suggested to be required for ENP toxicity studies.

Keywords: *Plutellaxylostella, Engineered nanoparticles, Insects, Single-walled carbon nanotubes, Silver nanoparticles, Gene expression, Toxicity*

INTRODUCTION

Nanoparticles that result from human activities (e.g.,combustion)or are engineered for consumer products and new technologies are probably encountered by all organisms (Buzea et al., 2007). According to the American Society for Testing Materials, and the Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly-Identified Health Risks, engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) can be defined as manufactured materials having at least two dimensions between 1-100 nm. ENPs can be categorized into different classes; for example, metals, metal oxides, non-metals, polymer based, functionalized (Klaine et al., 2008). ENPs can exhibit many novel properties and reactivity because they have high surface to volume ratio compared to other larger sized materials with similar chemical composition (Hochella et al., 2008; Auffan et al., 2009). ENPs have novel traits in terms of their form and function, unique physical and chemical properties. design, potentially complicated interactions with biological and environmental agents, potential bio-persistence in organisms and feed chains, quick dispensability, bioaccumulation, penetrability through tissue, and irreversible biochemical activities.

ENPs are increasingly used in a wide range of products and technologies; from electronic devicesto renewable energyto cosmetics and medicine (Fabrega*et al.*, 2011). According to consumer product inventories (CPI), there were 653 products in 2007 containing ENPs, and 1202 consumer products in 2014 containing NPs (Vance *et al.* 2015). This number is expected to increase significantly over time. However, there are no data that estimate ENP concentrations or distribution in the environment (Klaine *et al.*, 2008).

Because of their novel properties (size, shape, specific surface area, size distribution, chemical composition, and surface structure), some ENPs are thought to be potentially toxic (Sahu and Casciano, 2009; Sharifi *et al.*, 2012). Several researchers reported that nanoparticles are more toxic than their counterpart microparticles (Shi *et al.*, 2001; Yang and Watts, 2005; Borm*et al.*, 2006; Hund-Rinke and Simon, 2006; Powell and Kanarek, 2006). Since ENPs do not have any natural analog, it is difficult to forecast their fate, transport, reactivity, and toxicity in the environmental systems. Therefore, there are concerns about their potential negative effects

when released into the environment (Lowry *et al.*, 2012).

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (Iijima 1991) and single walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) (Iijima and Ichihashi, 1993) were developed in 1991 and 1993 respectively. A CPI report (2013) showed that CNTs are the 3rd most common ENP after silver and titanium. CNTs are an allotrope of carbon, and there are three main types of CNTs: single-walled CNTs (SWCNTs), double-walled CNTs (DWCNTs) and multi-walled CNTs (MWCNTs). Raw SWCNTs are mainly hydrophobic, whereas purified SWCNTs are mainly hydrophilic because of the functional groups on their surfaces (Sun et al., 2002). There is controversy about whether purification of raw SWCNTs reduces (Sayes et al., 2006) or increases (Tian et al. 2006) their toxicity.

Another class of engineered nanoparticle that are becoming increasingly common are Ag nanoparticles (AgNPs). There is interest in their use for antimicrobial (Demir et al., 2011) and anti-inflammatory (Bar-Ilan et al., 2009) properties. In addition, AgNPs are effective against multidrug resistance strains of bacteria such as methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Palanisamyet al., 2014), ampicillin-resistant Escherichia coli O157:H7. and erythromycin-resistant staphylococcus pyogenes (Shahverdi et al., 2007). Consequently, AgNPs have advantages over other antibiotics (Lara et al.. 2011).Because of their properties, they are also increasingly incorporated into consumer products such as feed packaging (Edwards-Jones, 2009), deodorants, clothing materials, bandages (Chen and Schluesener, 2008), burn treatments (silver sulfadiazine), socks, soaps and detergents, water and air filters, washing machines, wet wipes, bedding, coating on surgical instruments and medical and industrial textiles (Buzea et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2007; Kumari et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010).

With the use of high quantities of AgNPs, the accumulation in the environment and the exposure of living tissue of AgNPs are increasing (Chen and Schluesener, 2008). Some researcher believes that the lethal properties of AgNP to microbial cells are also responsible for their toxicity to eukaryotic cells (Buzea *et al.*, 2007). There is some evidence that particle size or surface area is mainly responsible for AgNP toxicity, as Ag^+ released from the NP surface

after oxidation could enter into the body and interact with biological molecules (Moore, 2006; Lin *et al.*, 2010; Park *et al.*, 2010).

The cytochrome (CYP) P450 monooxygenases (p450s) are an abundant gene superfamily of heme-thiolate proteins, and this group of enzymes is found in almost all living organisms (Werck-Reichhart and Fevereisen, 2000). CYP genes can be categorized into 4 major clans; CYP2, CYP3, CYP4 and mitochondrial (Nelson, 1998). They are involved in the first step of drug metabolism, in detoxification of xenobiotics numerous and endogenous substances, and are essential for proceeding to second step of detoxification (Pelkonenet al. 1998; Martignoniet al. 2006; Fröhlichet al., 2010).

In an *in vitro* study Sereemaspun *et al.* (2008) reported inhibition of CYP1A2, CYP2C19, and CYP3A4 in heterologously expressed human p450s in insect cell membrane exposed to 15 nm sized AgNPs. In Rainbow trout, 10 nm sized AgNPs caused CYP1A2 induction in gill tissue (Scown et al., 2010). Kulthong et al. (2012) found no significant effect of orally administered AgNPs (~180 nm diameter; up to 1000 mg/kg) on CYP activities in vivo in Sprague-Dawley rat, but reported inhibition of CYP2C and CYP2D activities in vitro. SWCNTs inhibited CYP3A4BRactivity in a dose-dependent manner by choking the exit channel of substrate/products through a complex mechanism in Bactosomes (El-Sayed et al., 2016).

The CYP3 clan is the largest clan (Cui *et al.*, 2017) incorporating with CYP6 and CYP9 gene families. They are found among insect p450 genes in large clusters (Feyereisen, 2006). Gene families from this clan play very important roles in insects via inactivation and metabolism of xenobiotic compounds such as insecticides and pesticides (Iga and Kataoka, 2012; lin *et al.*, 2013). Genes from this clan are referred to as "environmental response genes" (Berenbaum, 2002).

Resistance to toxic chemicals in insects are often associated with one or more detoxifying genes; e.g., p450s, esterases and glutathione S-transferases (Niu *et al.* 2011; Martinez-Paz *et al.* 2012). CYP6B enzymes are believed to be mostly responsible for insecticide toxicity in caterpillars (Cohen *et al.*, 1992; Berenbaum *et al.*, 1996). In several insects, a large number of CYP6 genes have been identified, which are

associated with toxic chemical resistance. For example. CYP6A1 (Carino et al., 1994), CYP6D1 (Liu and Scott, 1998) and CYP6A12 (Guzov et al., 1998) in housefly; CYP6CM1 (Karunker, et al., 2008) in whitefly: CYP6CY3 (Puinean, et al., 2010) in peach aphid; CYP6B3, CYP6B4, CYP6B5 (Scott and Wen, 2001) in butterfly Papiliopolyxenes; CYP6BO9 (Zhu et al., 2013) in red flour beetle; CYP6BQ23 (Zimmer et al., 2014) in pollen beetle; CYP6ER1 and CYP6AY1 (Bass et al., 2011; Ding et al., 2013: Bao et al., 2016) in brown planthopper; CYP6G1 (Hoi et al., 2014) and CYP6A2 (in D. melanogaster; CYP6AB11 (Niuet al., 2011) in Amyeloistransitella; CYP9G2 (Shen et al., 2004) and CYP6BF1 (Li et al., 2005) in diamondback moth (DBM). More than half of CYP P450 genes were upregulated in the resistance strain of Colorado potato beetle against imidacloprid pesticide (Zhu et al. 2016). Bautista et al. (2007) reported that, permethrin resistance at the fourth in star stage of DBM larvae was associated with CYP6BG1 over expression in resistant strains and inducible in their susceptible counterpart. Bautista et al. (2009) confirmed that CYP6BG1 over expression was due to increased metabolism for permethrin detoxification through RNA interference mediated gene silencing (RNAi).

Only a few studies have examined whether the CYP p450 gene is expressed differentially in animals exposed to, presumably, toxic concentrations of ENPs. El-Sayed *et al.* (2016) examined the effect of carboxylated SWCNT on animal CYP activity. Fröhlich *et al.* (2010), Lamb *et al.*(2010) and Warisnoicharoen *et al.*(2011) examined the effects of Ag NPs on CYP activity.

Our research examined if there was any evidence for detoxification of the ENPs? Specifically, were p450 genes up regulated or down regulated in DBM exposed to SWCNTs and AgNPs? We examined the effects of these ENPs on DBM because it is considered a model pest. It is a major pest on cruciferous crops (Talekar and Shelton, 1993) and can migrate and reproduce very quickly (Yu et al., 2015). It is remarkably resistant to insecticidal toxins (Sun et al., 1986; Sun, 1992; Talekar and Shelton, 1993; Scott and Wen, 2001; Furlong et al., 2013), and toxicity to ENPs would indicate that many insects might be negatively affected. For example, DBM was the first pest that became resistant to DDT (Ankersmit, 1935; Jhonson, 1953). In almost all countries they have evolved resistance against agricultural synthetic insecticides (Talekaret al., 1990), even based on Bacillus the bio-insecticides thurengiensis spores (Tabashniket al., 1997).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SWCNTs (COOH-CNT) produced by the catalytic vapor deposition (CVD) process were purchased from NanoLab Inc. (Waltham, MA, USA) in powdered from. For this study, they were dispersed in $18M\Omega$ deionized water to make the desired concentration (290 mg/L or 292 mg/L or 305 mg/L) with more than 95% purity and pH of 6.5 -7.5. Initially, 400 mg of SWCNT material were added to 1L of $18M\Omega$ deionized water. A probe sonicator was used for dispersion (twice for 30 min with a 15 minutes' rest in between) followed by ultracentrifugation at 25,000g for 30 min. The supernatant collected from the centrifuge tubes and ultracentrifugation was repeated at 25,000g for 30 minutes. During this process, the concentration decreases due to the removal of amorphous carbon and unfunctionalized carbon material and finally yield an approximate 300 mg/L desired concentration. The SWCNTs have an approximate diameter of 1.5 nm, length of 1-5 μ m and surface are of 1020.48 m²/g.

CYP6BG1		Amplicon length	T _m	GC content
Forward	ACCCTCGAGAAGGGTCTCCGA	111	61.7	61.9
Reverse	ATTCTCCGGCGAAAACCGATC	111	57.7	52.4
	RPL32			
Forward	CAATTTACCGCCCTACCATC	91	53.4	50
Reverse	CGCCAGTTACGCTTTATTTTG		52.7	42.9

 Table1. Primer design and properties used for qRT-PCR

AgNPs (20 nm PELCO® citrate NanoXactTM AgNPs) were commercially obtained from Ted Pella Inc. (Redding, CA, USA). Their diameter was 18.5 \pm 3.4 nm and their hydrodynamic diameter was 28 nm with 29.0 m²/g surface area

(TEM). The concentration of the Ag NP suspension was 0.021 mg/ml, with a particle concentration of 6.0E + 11 particle/ml. The AgNP has -43 mV zeta potential and 396 nm absorbance peak (λ_{max}) with 3.50 max Optical

Density/cm in a solution with 8.1 pH. The particle surface was sodium citrate and the aqueous carrier was 2 mM citrate. AgNPs were stored at 4°C and away from light before use and they were used directly without any processing or change before use.

The lab benches were sterilized and cleaned with 75% ethanol before the preparation of the experimental feed to avoid any infection to DBM larvae through feed with any natural microorganism. The artificial feed was a dry mix purchased from Southland Products Inc. (Lake Village, AR, USA). The mix was specifically formulated for DBM (P. xvlostella). The recipe for 250 ml feed was as follows: 40.5 g dry mix and 1.75 ml raw linseed oil and 232.5 ml deionized boiling water. For SWCNT feed preparation, 1.75 ml raw linseed oil was added to 40.5 g dry mix in an Erlenmeyer flask. Then SWCNT solution was added in 7.45 ml, 14.89 ml. 29.79 ml. 59.58 ml or 119.2 ml volume to generate 8.64 µg/ml, 17.28 µg/ml, 34.56 µg/ml, 69.12 µg/ml and 138.24 µg/ml SWCNT feed respectively. The mixture was then combined with deionized boiling water (225.05 ml, 217.61 ml, 202.71 ml, 172.92 ml and 113.3 ml respectively) on a magnetic stirrer hot plate. After mixing the final suspension for 2-3 minutes, the resulting semi-liquid feed was poured into labeled Petri dishes and left in room temperature about 15-20 minutes for solidification. The solidified feed mixture was then partitioned using a small corer (1.3 cm diameter) and the remaining feed was stored in the refrigerator at 4°C.

To prepare 60 ml AgNP feed, 0.42 ml raw linseed oil was added to 9.72 g dry mix in a flask. Then AgNP solution was added in a volume of 12.34 ml and 24.69 ml to achieve a final concentration of 4.32 μ g/ml and 8.64 μ g/ml AgNP feed respectively. It was then combined with deionized boiling water (43.46 ml and 31.11 ml respectively). Other steps were the same as SWCNT feed preparation. Nanomaterial free feed were used as control feed.

Eggs of DBM were purchased commercially from Benzon Research Inc. (Carlisle, PA, USA). Eggs on aluminum foil were kept with artificial control feed discs in plastic feed boxes under diurnal cycle of 16 h light: 8 h dark to hatch and grow until they are 2^{nd} instar larvae. The lab temperature was $25 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C with 65 ± 5 % relative humidity. The DBM laboratory is licensed by the United States Department of Agriculture to rear and distribute insects (USDA permit number: P26P-14-02726).

Fourth in star larvae (whole body) were collected and stored at -82°C. Five larvae were collected from each of the five replications of control, and the 138.24 µg/ml SWCNT treatment and the 4.32 µg/ml and 8.64 µg/ml AgNP treatment arenas. For total RNA extraction, the larvae were placed in liquid nitrogen and crushed using a porcelain mortar and pestle. All the equipment's were cleaned with 70% ethanol and R Nase-Away (Molecular Bio Products Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) to eliminate contamination. The larvae were then homogenized in 750 µl Trizol Reagent (life technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Total RNA was precipitated with 500 µl is opropanol and resuspended in 50 µl DEPC treated water.

Extracted RNA was purified with Qiagen RNeasy[®] Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Eugene, Oregon, USA) following manufacturer's guidelines. I used Qubit[®] 3.0 Fluoro meter and Qubit[®] RNA BR assay kits (Invitrogen, Eugene, Oregon, USA) to quantitate the RNA following the manufacturer's guidelines. The samples were then stored at -80°C until next use.

Three samples containing RNA at high concentration were selected from each treatment and first strand cDNA was synthesized with Qiagen QuantiTect[®] reverse transcription kit (Chatsworth, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's guidelines. I also performed reverse transcription using both oligo dT and random primers, but the QuantiTect[®] kit provided the highest yield of cDNA. All RNA samples were reverse transcribed simultaneously to avoid variations in cDNA. The cDNA was then amplified by regular PCR and confirmed with agarose gel electrophoresis. cDNA was stored at -20°C until next use.

Permethr in resistance gene CYP6BG,1 a member of the p450 gene family, was used as a target gene, and ribosomal protein L32 (RPL32) was used as a reference gene for qRT-PCR. The primer design for CYP6BG1 was reported previously by Bautista *et al.* (2007). Fu *et al.* (2013) and Gao *et al.* (2016) reported that RPL 32 is a reliable housekeeping gene. We used the Integrated DNA Technologies website to design the forward and reverse primer for RPL 32 (Table 1).

The qPCR was performed with GoTaq[®] qPCR master mix (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) in an Mx3000P thermal cycler (Agilent Technologies, Inc. Santa Clara, CA, USA) with the help of MxPro qPCR software. 96 well EU thin-walled PCR plates (BPCTiinc., Durham, NC, USA) were used for the reaction. To determine PCR amplification efficiency, standard curves were generated for both target and reference gene using 10-fold serial dilutions. Thermal cycling profiles used in this study were: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40

1.a. Control feed



1.b. Control feed larva

cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 60 sec, 72°C for 60 sec. A dissociation step cycle at 95°C for 60 sec, 50°C for 30 sec and 95°C for 30 sec was added as a final step to generated melting curves. The amplification reaction was done in three technical replicates for each biological replicate. No-template controls (NTC) were run for every sample to check for DNA contamination. The gene expression level was calculated based on cycle threshold (C_t) value by using Pfaffl method (Pfaffl *et al.*, 2002).





1.d. SWCNT feed larva

Figure1. DBM larvae exposed to artificial food.(1.a, b.) or SWCNT food The SWCNT fed are very much darker than control fed.(1.b.)Larvae feeding on control feed, clear gut (1.d.)Larvae fed on SWCNT food, accumulated SWCNT on their gut. Evidence that SWCNT were taken with food and accumulated in their body.



2.b. Control feed larva

2.d. AgNP feed larva

Figure2. DBM larvae exposed to artificial food. (2.a,b.) or AgNP food. The AgNP feed are a little brownish compared control fed. (2.b.) Larvae feeding on control food, healthy and normal length. (2.d.) Larvae feed on AgNP food are dying and have shorter length.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Larva fed SWCNT clearly incorporated the ENP in their tissue (Figure 1), whereas it is not as clear, larva feed AgNP also have discoloration associated with the ENP (Figure 2). We performed qRT-PCR, and calculated relative gene expression levels using the Pfaffl method

Journal of Genetics and Genetic Engineering V2 • I1 • 2017

to detect differences in the expression of the CYP6BG1 gene expression between treated and control DBM. The efficiency for reference and target gene was 97.5% and 83.8%, respectively. The expression level of CYP6BG1 gene was higher for all the ENP treatments (Figure 3), but they were not significantly different from control DBM (Table 1).We found that CYP6BG1 gene expression was higher in DBM feed ENPs than those feeding on feed with no ENPs. Even though our results were not statistically significant, further studies are warranted. Bautista *et al.* (2007, 2009) reported and confirmed up regulation of CYP6BG1 gene

due to permethrin resistance in DBM. CYP P450 gene family have 36 to 180 genes in insect genome (Feyereisen, 2012; Zhou *et al.*, 2014), which makes it very hard to target one or few genes for gene expression assessment due to ENPs exposure. Our study on CYP6BG1 gene expression emphasize that DBM have very strong immunity and CYP P450 family potentially helps insects to metabolize toxic ENPs. De novo expression profile analysis due to ENPs exposure to DBM is now required to advance understanding of toxicity of ENPs in insects due to changes in gene expression.



Figure3. CYP6BG1 gene expression level in DBM feeding on different concentrations and types of ENPs.

Table2. Mean (±SE) gene expression of CYP6BG1 of DBMs feeding on artificial feed without or with SWCNT (138.24 μ g/ml) and Ag NP (4.32 μ g/ml and 8.64 μ g/ml). Gene expression (df = 3; F = 1.43 and p = 0.252) wasn't significantly affected by the presence of SWCNT and AgNP in the feed.

Variable	Treatment	Mean	SE Mean
Fold change of gene	0 µg/ml	1.0	0.0
	138.24 µg/ml SWCNT	1.43	0.47
	4.32 µg/ml AgNP	2.53	0.76
	8.64 µg/ml AgNP	1.44	0.62

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge Missouri State University for funding.

REFERENCES

- Ankersmit, G.W., 1953. DDT resistance in *Plutellamaculipennis* (Curt.) (Lepidoptera) in Java. Bulletin of Entomological Research. 44(3): 421-425.
- [2] Auffan, M., Rose, J., Bottero, J. Y., Lowry, G. V., Jolivet, J. P., Wiesner, M. R., 2009. Towards a definition of inorganic nanoparticles from an environmental, health and safety perspective. Nature Nanotechnology. 4: 634–641.
- [3] Bao, H., Gao, H., Zhang, Y., Fan, D., Fang, J., Liu, Z., 2016. The roles of CYP6AY1 and

CYP6ER1 in imidacloprid resistance in the brown planthopper: Expression levels and detoxification efficiency. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology. 129: 70-74.

- [4] Bar-Ilan, O., Albrecht, R. M., Fako, V. E., Furgeson, D. Y., 2009. Toxicity assessments of multi-sized gold and silver nanoparticles in zebrafish embryos. Small. 5: 1897–1910.
- Bass, C., Carvalho, R. A., Oliphant, L., Puinean, [5] A. M., Field, L. M., Nauen, R., Williamson, M. S., Moores, G., Gorman, K., 2011. Overexpression of a cytochrome P450 mono oxygenase, CYP6ER1, is associated with resistance to imidacloprid in the brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens. Insect MolecularBiology. 20(6): 763-773.

- [6] Bautista, M. A. M., Tanaka, T., Miyata, T., 2007. Identification of permethrin-inducible cytochrome P450s from the diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) and the possibility of involvement in permethrin resistance. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology. 87(1): 85–93.
- [7] Bautista, M. A., Miyata, T., Miura, K., Tanaka, T., 2009. RNA interference-mediated knockdown of a cytochrome P450, CYP6BG1, from the diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella*, reduces larval resistance to permethrin. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 39(1): 38– 46.
- [8] Berenbaum, M. R., Favret, C., Schuler, M. A., 1996. On defining "key innovations" in an adaptive radiation: Cytochrome P450s and *papilionidae*. The American Naturalist. 148: S139–S155.
- [9] Borm, P. J. A., Robbins, D., Houbold, S., Kuhlbisch, T., Fissan, H., Donaldson, K., Schins, R., Stone, V., Kreyling, W., Lademann, J., Krutmann, J., Warheit, D., Oberdorster, E., 2006. The potential risks of nanomaterials: A review carried out for ECETOC. Particle and Fiber Toxicology. 3:11.
- [10] Buzea, C., Pacheco, I. I., Robbie, K., 2007.Nanomaterials and nanoparticles: Sources and toxicity. Biointerphases. 2(4): MR17 - MR71.
- [11] Carino, F. A., Koener, J. P., Plapp Jr., F. W., Feyereisen, R., 1992. Expression of the cytochrome P450 gene CYP6A1 in the housefly, *Musca domestica*. In: Mullin, C. A., Scott, J. G. (Eds.), Molecular Mechanisms of Insecticide Resistance: Diversity Among Insects. ACS Symposium Series, 5, Washington, DC, pp. 31– 40.Chapter 3.
- [12] Carino, F. A., Koener, J. P., Plapp Jr., F. W., Feyereisen, R., 1994. Constitutive overexpression of the cytochrome P450 gene CYP6A1 in a house fly strain with metabolic resistance to insecticides. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2(4): 411–418.
- [13] Cohen, M. B., Schuler, M. A., Berenbaum, M. R., 1992. A host-inducible cytochrome P450 from a host-specific caterpillar: molecular cloning and evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA. 89(22): 10920–10924.
- [14] Cui, D., Tian, F., Ozkan, C. S., Wang, M., Gao, H., 2005. Effect of single wall carbon nanotubes on human HEK293 cells. Toxicology Letters. 155(1): 73–85.
- [15] Cui, L., Rui, C., Yang, D., Wang, Z., Yuan, H. 2017. De novo transcriptome and expression profile analyses of the Asian corn borer (*Ostriniafurnacalis*) reveals relevant flubendiamide response genes. BMC Genomics. 18: 20.
- [16] Demir, E., Vales, G., Kaya, B., Creus, A., Marcos, R., 2011. Genotoxic analysis of silver

nanoparticles in Drosophila. Nanotoxicology. 5(3): 417–424.

- [17] Ding, Z., Wen, Y., Yang, B., Zhang, Y., Liu, S., Liu, Z., Han, Z., 2013. Biochemical mechanisms of imidacloprid resistance in *Nilaparvata lugens*: over-expression of cytochrome P450 CYP6AY1. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 43(11): 1021–1027.
- [18] Edwards-Jones V., 2009. The benefits of silver in hygiene, personal care and healthcare. Letters in Applied Microbiology. 49(2): 147-152.
- [19] El-Sayed, R., Bhattacharya, K., Gu, Z., Yang, Z., Weber, J. K., Li, H., Leifer, K., Zhao, Y., Toprak, M. S., Zhou, R., Fadeel, B., 2016. Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes Inhibit the Cytochrome P450 Enzyme, CYP3A4. Scientific Reports. 6: 21316.
- [20] Fabrega, J., Luoma, S. M., Tyler, C. R., Galloway, T. S., Lead, J. R., 2011. Silver nanoparticles: behaviour and effects in the aquatic environment. Environmental International. 37(2): 517-531.
- [21] Feyereisen, R. 2006. Evolution of insect P450. Biochemical Society Transactions. 34(6): 1252– 1255.
- [22] Feyereisen. R., 2012. Insect CYP genes and P450 enzymes. In: Gilbert, L. I., (Eds.), Insect Molecular Biology and Biochemistry. London: Academic. pp. 236–316. Chapter 8.
- [23] Fröhlich, E., Kueznik, T., Samberger, C., Roblegg, E., Wrighton, C., Pieber, T. R., 2010. Size-dependent effects of nanoparticles on the activity of cytochrome P450 isoenzymes. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 242(3): 326–332.
- [24] Guzov, V. M., Unnithan, G. C., Chernogolou, A. A., Feyereisen, R., 1998. CYP12A1, a mitochondrial cytochrome P450 from the housefly. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics. 359(2): 231–240.
- [25] Hochella, M. F., Lower, S. K., Maurice, P. A., Penn, R. L., Sahai, N., Sparks, D. L., Twining, B. S., 2008. Nanominerals, mineral nanoparticles, and earth systems. Science. 319(5870): 1631–1635.
- [26] Hoi, K. K., Daborn, P. J., Battlay, P., Robin, C., Batterham, P., O'Hair, R. A. J., Donald, W. A., 2014. Dissecting the insect metabolic machinery using twin ion mass spectrometry: a single P450 enzyme metabolizing the insecticide imidacloprid*in vivo*. Analytical Chemistry. 86(7): 3525–3532.
- [27] Iga, M., Kataoka, H., 2012. Recent studies on insect hormone metabolic pathways mediated by cytochrome P450 enzymes. Biological & Pharmaceutical Bulletin. 35(6): 838–843.
- [28] Iijima, S., 1991. Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. Nature. 354: 56-58.

- [29] Iijima, S., Ichihashi, T., 1993. Single-shell carbon nanotubes of 1-nm diameter. Nature 363: 603-605.
- [30] Jhonson, D.R., 1953. *Plutellamac lipennies resistance* to DDT in Java. Journal of Economic Entomology. 46: 176.
- [31] Karunker, I.,Benting, J., Lueke, B., Ponge, T., Nauen, R., Roditakis, E., Vontas, J., Gorman, K., Denholm, I., Morin, S., 2008. Over-expression of cytochrome P450 CYP6CM1 is associated with high resistance to imidacloprid in the B and Q biotypes of *Bemisiatabaci* (Hemiptera: *Aleyrodidae*). Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 38(6): 634–644.
- [32] Klaine, S. J., Alvarez, P. J. J., Batley, G. E., Fernandes, T. F., Handy, R. D., Lyon, D. Y., Mahendra, S., McLaughlin, M. J., Lead, J. R., 2008. Nanomaterials in the Environment: Behavior, Fate, Bioavailability, and Effects. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 27(9): 1825-1851.
- [33] Kulthong, K., Maniratanachote, R., Kobayashi, Y., Fukami, T., Yokoi, T., 2012. Effects of silver nanoparticles on rat hepatic cytochrome P450 enzyme activity. Xenobiotica. 42(9): 854–862.
- [34] Kumari, A., Yadav, S. K., Yadav, S. C., 2010. Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles based drug delivery systems. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces. 75 (1): 1-18.
- [35] Lamb, J. G., Hathaway, L. B., Munger, M. A., Raucy, J. L., Franklin, M. R., 2010. Nanosilver particle effects on drug metabolism in vitro. Drug Metabolism and Disposition. 38(12): 2246–2251.
- [36] Lara, H. H., Garza-Treviño, E. N., Ixtepan-Turrent, L., Singh, D. K., 2011. Silver nanoparticles are broad-spectrum bactericidal and virucidal compounds.Journal of Nanobiotechnology. 9: 30.
- [37] Li, H., Dai, H., Wei, H., 2005. Molecular cloning and nucleotide sequence of CYP6BF1 from the diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella*. Journal of Insect Science. 5: 45.
- [38] Lin, J., Zhang, H., Chen, Z., Zheng, Y., 2010. Penetration of lipid membranes by gold nanoparticles: insights into cellular uptake, cytotoxicity, and their relationship. ACS Nano. 4 (9): 5421–5429.
- [39] Lin, Q., Jin, F., Hu, Z., Chen, H., Yin, F., Li, Z., Dong, X., Zhang, D., Ren, S., Feng, X., 2013. Transcriptome analysis of chlorantraniliprole resistance development in the diamondback moth *Plutellaxylostella*. PLoS One. 8(8): e72314.
- [40] Liu, N., Scott, J. G., 1998. Increased transcription of CYP6D1 causes cytochrome P450- mediated insecticide resistance in housefly. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 2(8): 531– 535.

- [41] Liu, X., Lee, P.Y., Ho, C. M., Lui, V. C., Chen, Y., Che, C. M., Tam, P. K., Wong, K. K., 2010. Silver nanoparticles mediate differential responses in keratinocytes and fibroblasts during skin wound healing. Chem Med Chem. 5 (3): 468-75.
- [42] Lowry, G. V., Gregory, K. B., Apte, S. C., Lead, J. R., 2012. Transformations of Nanomaterials in the Environment. Environmental Science and Technology. 46(13): 6893-6899.
- [43] Martignoni, M., Groothuis, G. M., de Kanter, R., 2006. Species differences between mouse, rat, dog, monkey and human CYP-mediated drug metabolism, inhibition and induction. Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism & Toxicology. 2(6): 875–894.
- [44] Martinez-Paz, P., Morales, M., Martinez-Guitarte, J. L., Morcillo, G., 2012. Characterization of a cytochrome P450 gene (CYP4G) and modulation under different exposures to xenobiotics (tributyltin, nonylphenol, bisphenol A) in *Chironomus riparius* aquatic larvae. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology. 155(2): 333–343.
- [45] Moore, M. N., 2006. Do nanoparticles present ecotoxicological risks for the health of the aquatic environment? Environment International. 3298): 967–976.
- [46] Niu, G., Rupasinghe, S. G., Zangerl, A. R., Siegel, J. P., Schuler, M. A., Berenbaum, M. R., 2011. A substrate-specific cytochrome P450 monooxygenase, CYP6AB11, from the polyphagous navel orangeworm (*Amyeloistransitella*). Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 41(4): 244–253.
- [47] Palanisamy, N. K., Ferina, N., Amirulhusni, A. N., Mohd-Zain, Z., Hussaini, J., Ping, L. J., Durairaj, R., 2014. Antibiofilm properties of chemically synthesized silver nanoparticles found against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Journal of Nanobiotechnology. 12:2.
- [48] Park, E. J., Yi, J., Kim, Y., Choi, K., Park, K., 2010. Silver nanoparticles induce cytotoxicity by a Trojan-horse type mechanism. Toxicology in Vitro. 24: 872–878.
- [49] Park, K. H., Chhowalla, M., Iqbal, Z., Sesti, F., 2003. Single-walled carbon nanotubes are a new class of ion channel blockers. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 278 (50): 50212–50216.
- [50] Pelkonen, O., Mäenpää, J., Taavitsainen, P., Rautio, A., Raunio, H., 1998. Inhibition and induction of human cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes. Xenobiotica. 28:1203–1253.
- [51] Pfaffl, M.W., Horgan, G.W., Dempfle, L., 2002. Relative expression software tool (REST (c)) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. Nucleic Acids Research. 30(9): e36.

- [52] Powell, M. C., Kanarek, M. S., 2006. Nanomaterial health effects— Part 1: Background and current knowledge. Wisconsin Medical Journal. 105:16–20.
- [53] Puinean, A. M., Foster, S. P., Oliphant, L., Denholm, I., Field, L. M., Millar, N. S., Williamson, M. S., Bass, C., 2010. Amplification of a cytochrome P450 gene is associated with resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides in the aphid *Myzus persicae*. PloS Genet. 6(6): e1000999.
- [54] Sayes, C. M., Liang, F., Hudson, J. L., Mendez, J., Guo, W., Beach, J. M., Moore, V. C., Doyle, C. D., West, J. L., Billups, W. E., Ausman, K. D., Colvin, V. L., 2006. Functionalization density dependence of single-walled carbon nanotubes cytotoxicity in vitro. Toxicology Letters. 161:135–142.
- [55] Scown, T. M., Santos, E. M., Johnston, B. D., Gaiser, B., Baalousha, M., Mitov, S., Lead, J. R., Stone, V., Fernandes, T. F., Jepson, M., van Aerle, R., Tyler, C. R., 2010. Effects of aqueous exposure to silver nanoparticles of different sizes in rainbow trout. Toxicological Sciences. 115: 521–534.
- [56] Sereemaspun, Hongpiticharoen, A., P., Rojanathanes, R., Maneewattanapinyo, P., Ekgasit, S., Warisnoicharoen, W., 2008. Inhibition of human cytochrome P450 enzymes by metallic nanoparticles: a preliminary to nanogenomics. International Journal of Pharmacology. 4: 492-495.
- [57] Shahverdi, A. R., Fakhimi, A., Shahverdi, H. R., Minaian, S., 2007. Synthesis and effect of silver nanoparticles on the antibacterial activity of different antibiotics against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*. Nanomedicine. 3:168.
- [58] Sharifi, S., Behzadi, S., Laurent, S., Forrest, M. L., Stroeve, P., Mahmoud, M., 2012. Toxicity of nanomaterials. Chemical Society Reviews. 41: 2323–2343.
- [59] Shen, B., Zhao, D., Qiao, C., Lan, W., 2004.
 Cloning of CYP9G2 from the Diamondback Moth, *Plutellaxylostella* (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae). DNA Seq. 15(3): 228–233.
- [60] Shi, J. P., Evans, D. E., Khan, A. A., Harrison, R. M., 2001. Sources and concentrations of nanoparticles (<10 nm diameter) in the urban atmosphere. Atmospheric Environment. 35: 1193–1202.

- [61] Tabashnik, B. E., Liu, Y. B., Finson, N., Masson, L., Heckel, D. G., 1997. One gene in diamondback moth confers resistance to four *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 94(5): 1640–1644.
- [62] Talekar, N. S., Yang, J. C., Lee, S. T., 1990. Compilers of Annotated Bibliography of Diamondback Moth. Volume 2. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Shanhua, Taiwan: pp. 199.
- [63] Tian, F., Cui, D., Schwarz, H., Estrada, G.G., Kobayashi, H., 2006. Cytotoxicity of single-wall carbon nanotubes on human fibroblasts. Toxicology in Vitro. 20 (7): 1202–1212.
- [64] Vance, M. E., Kuiken, T., Vejerano, E. P., McGinnis, S. P., Hochella, M. F., Hull, D. R., Rejeski, D., Hull, M. S., Hull, M. S., 2015. Nanotechnology in the real world: Redeveloping the nanomaterial consumer products inventory. Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology. 6: 1769– 1780.
- [65] Warisnoicharoen, W., Hongpiticharoen, P., Lawanprasert, S., 2011. Alteration in enzymatic function of human cytochrome P450 by silver nanoparticles. Research Journal of Environmental Toxicology. 5: 58–64.
- [66] Werck-Reichhart, D., Feyereisen, R., 2000. Cytochromes P450: a success story. Genome Biology. 1(6): Reviews 3003.
- [67] Yang, L., Watts, D. J., 2005. Particle surface characteristics may play an important role in phytotoxicity of alumina nanoparticles. Toxicology Letters. 158:122–132.
- [68] Zhou, X. J., Qian, K., Tong, Y., Zhu, J. J., Qiu, X. H., Zeng, X. P. 2014. De novo transcriptome of the hemimetabolous German cockroach (*Blattella germanica*). PLoS ONE. 9:e106932.
- [69] Zhou, Y. X., Gaur, A., Hur, S. H., Kocabas, C., Meitl, M. A., Shim, M., Rogers, J. A., 2004. p-Channel, n-Channel Thin Film Transistors and pn Diodes Based on Single Wall Carbon Nanotube Networks. Nano Letters. 4: 2031–2035.
- [70] Zhu, F., Moural, T. W., Nelson, D. R., Palli, S. R., 2016. A specialist herbivore pest adaptation to xenobiotics through up-regulation of multiple Cytochrome P450s. Scientific Reports. 6:20421.
- [71] Zhu, F., Moural, T. W., Shah, K., Palli, S. R., 2013. Integrated analysis of cytochrome P450 gene superfamily in the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. BMC Genomics. 14: 174.