SRYAHWA PUBLICATIONS

Annals of Ecology and Environmental Science
ISSN: 2637-5338 | Volume 6, Issue 1, 2024
https://doi.org/10.22259/2637-5338.0601003

REVIEW ARTICLE

A Literature Review on the Effect of Plastic Waste Deposits on Soil Ecosystem

Adetayo Olaniyi Adeniran[1], Funmilayo Mary Ilugbami[2], Gbemileke Tobi Oyeniran[3]

[1] *Federal University of Technology Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.*

[2] *Department of Social Sciences, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria.*

[3] *Georgia State University, Atlanta, United States.*

Received: 15 March 2024 Accepted: 01 April 2024 Published: 04 April 2024

Corresponding Author: Adetayo Olaniyi Adeniran, Federal University of Technology Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria.

Abstract

Both land and marine environments are plagued by plastic trash. All living things are greatly affected about the dangers that emanate from plastics being disposed into the environment. The creation and buildup of plastic in the environment are happening at a never-before-seen rate because of careless usage, insufficient recycling, and landfill deposits. About 370 million tonnes of plastic were produced worldwide in 2019, of which nine percent was recycled, twelve percent was burned, and the remainder was disposed of in landfills or the environment. Plastic trash is seeping into marine and terrestrial ecosystems. For academics, legislators, and other stakeholders, managing plastic trash is a severe issue. Microplastics are a novel type of pollution that seriously endangers the ecology of soil. The majority of current research on microplastics focuses on aquatic habitats; however, little is known about how soil ecosystem is affected. The categorization and origin of soil microplastics were covered in this research. Microplastics and microplastic contamination in soil were summarised, and methods for isolating and identifying the issues were also covered. The adsorption and mechanism of plastic contaminants were examined in this study. Additionally, the consequences of plastic pollution on nitrogen and carbon fluxes as well as terrestrial microbial communities were examined.

Keywords: Ecosystem, Environmental Pollution, Microplastics, Plastic pollution.

1. Introduction

We live in the age of plastic. Plastic items are employed extensively in many different industries, and both their output and trash are growing yearly. With forty percent of the total weight in demand, the packaging industry in Europe has the highest need for plastics, followed by the automotive, agricultural, electrical appliance, and construction sectors. From 2 million tonnes in 1950 to 380 million tonnes in 2015, the world produced 7.8 billion tonnes of plastic or around twenty-eight percent of China's entire production. Of that amount, nine percent was recycled and seventy-nine percent was dumped in landfills or left to decay in the wild. Due to the widespread usage of plastics, the atmosphere, seas, and terrestrial habitats are now polluted by plastics and their derivatives (Adeniran & Oyemade, 2016).

Given the resilience of plastics to deterioration and enduring presence in the ecosystem, they are geological markers of the Anthropocene that have lately emerged as a threat to the environment (Dey et al., 2021; Leal-Filho et al., 2021). Plastic trash is defined as the careless and immoral dumping of plastic waste in any ecosystem, even though plastic is a fantastic substance that propels economic expansion and synthetic modernity (Hogan & Mikos, 2021; Kitz et al., 2021). The intricate interdependency between plastic consumption and the economy is fundamental in modern living (Aoki & Saito, 2021).

Citation: Adetayo Olaniyi Adeniran, Funmilayo Mary Ilugbami, Gbemileke Tobi Oyeniran. The Effect of Plastic Waste Deposits on Soil Ecosystem. Annals of Ecology and Environmental Science. 2024;6(1): 23-31.

To make plastics appropriate for a range of uses, researchers have spent the 20th century figuring out the physicochemical structures and functions of plastics (Rezaei et al., 2020). Nevertheless, careless usage and unethical disposal of plastics pollute the environment (Chae et al., 2020). Due to its detrimental effects on the environment and public health, plastic pollution has drawn the attention of governments, the scientific community, the media, and the general public as environmental stewardship becomes more and more of a worry (Fadare & Okoffo, 2020). Plastics are valuable resources that assist society in many ways, including comfort, hygienic conditions, and safety. However, because they are single-use materials that should be disposed of properly, their drawbacks exceed their advantages (Li et al., 2021; PlasticEurope, 2021).

Food packaging (Dey et al., 2021; Leal-Filho et al., 2021), drug delivery (Aoki & Saito, 2021; Hogan & Mikos, 2021), refused fuel (Chae et al., 2020; Rezaei et al., 2020), safety from communicable diseases (Fadare & Okoffo, 2020; Leal-Filho et al., 2021), roads, and pavements (Biswas et al., 2020; Conlon, 2021)., and food packaging have all benefited greatly from synthetic materials. When it comes to the plastics market, the packaging industry held the most share in 2019. Other industries that followed were textiles, building and construction, automotive and transportation, infrastructure and construction, and consumer products (Celis et al., 2021; Geyer et al., 2018; PlasticEurope, 2021).

Irrational manufacturing, improper landfill disposal, and insufficient recycling management are the reasons why plastics end up as trash (Kitz et al., 2021; Xiao et al., 2019). The extraordinary rate at which plastic waste is seeping into the environment, including terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, presents serious obstacles to the management of waste for expanding populations, particularly in emerging nations (Godfrey, 2019; UNEP, 2018).

Globally, plastic production began in 1950 at 1.5 million tonnes and reached approximately 370 million tonnes in 2019. Asia accounted for fifty-one percent of this growth, with the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) countries (Canada, Mexico, and the United States) coming in second at nineteen percent, Latin America at four percent, Europe at sisteen percent, the Commonwealth of Independent States (Azerbaijan, Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, and other) at three percent, and the Middle East and Africa at seven percent respectively.

According to Ben-Arye & Levenberg (2019), under the waste management scenario whereby it was assumed and recorded that there is no targeted improvements through technological breakthroughs and other interventions, the amount of plastic trash that ends up in landfills and natural ecosystems by the year 2050 is expected to exceed 12 billion tonnes. Geyer et al. (2018) and Godfrey (2019) report that once a plastic product's lifecycle ends, nine percent of it is recycled, twelve percent of the plastic is burnt, and twelve percent is disposed into the environment, while seventy-nine percent is disposed in the landfilled. Reuse and recycle are the concepts that have been applied in the management of plastic waste (Statista, 2021; Shkarina et al., 2018; Xiao et al., 2019).

In 2012, the manufacture and use of plastic items resulted in 390 million tonnes of carbon dioxide emissions worldwide, excluding landfills and incineration. The globe's "marine garbage belt" is created when a significant amount of plastic debris is carelessly dumped into lakes, rivers, and the ocean. This debris is then concentrated in five areas of the world by moving ocean currents. The marine environment has been put in danger by these plastic pieces, which may have permanent effects (Karki & Sachu, 2020). This study analysed the primary techniques for separating, screening, and detecting microplastics as well as the issues that currently exist. It also looked at the origin, categorization, and movement of microplastics in soil.

To provide a reference for the study of microplastics' influence on soil ecology, the focus and direction of future research were proposed based on the pollution, adsorption characteristics, and mechanism of microplastics, as well as their influence on soil animals, microbes, and microorganisms, as well as the influence of soil material circulation. In recent times, microplastics, measuring less than 5 mm, have gained global recognition as a novel category of pollution. Because microplastics are so tiny, they may readily be taken up by minerals and build up in the food chain. They are multi-absorbent and may increase their surfaces by absorbing particles or microorganisms. A large portion of current research focuses on aquatic ecosystems, including lakes, seas, and beaches. Microplastics have been found to have an impact on wild fish, seabirds, and freshwater birds as a means of dispersing pollutants (Riling, 2012).

Regarding the impact of microplastics on soil ecosystems, not much has been done. The following might be the causes. Aquatic environments differ from terrestrial habitats in terms of ecosystems. Furthermore, aquatic ecosystem study models are not appropriate. The introduction of microplastics into terrestrial ecosystems, whether as primary or secondary microplastics, will have a significant impact on the material cycle and energy flow of terrestrial ecosystems because of the development of landfills, industrial production, human life, and agricultural technology. The ecosystem will be significantly impacted by this. Vianello et al. (2013) noted that when microplastics get into the ground, they do more than just collect pollution. It may, however, also be utilised to transport heavy metals, enhancing their ability to adsorb substances. Furthermore, by altering the physical characteristics of soil, microplastics can build up to a concentration in the soil and impact both biodiversity and soil function.

2. Literature

2.1 Overview of Microplastic Pollution

Primary and secondary microplastics are two categories of microplastics. Primary microplastic mostly refers to microplastic particles generated in micrometric production, which are then utilised as industrial or cosmetic product raw materials. One example of this is the addition of microplastic beads to exfoliating personal care products. Synthetic fibres discharged with laundry trashwater are examples of secondary microplastics. Large plastic used in urban construction, agriculture, and industry can also break down or split due to environmental factors like light, heat, and soil wear, or it can break down naturally due to soil animal activity (Wagner, 2021).

Human activity is the primary source of microplastics found on land, mostly from point and non-point sources of pollution. Point source pollution encompasses the following: sewage treatment and sludge application; primary microplastics in domestic and industrial trashwater; synthetic microfibers in laundry trashwater; and sewage discharge, trashwater irrigation, and sludge application that enter the soil ecosystem. One of the major ways that microplastics reach the farming environment in agriculture is through trashwater irrigation. Landfills, trash treatment facilities, and agricultural membranes are examples of non-point sources of pollution. One of the main sources of secondary plastic particles in farming ecosystems is the widespread use of plastic film in agriculture.

Air acts as a carrier for the particles and microfibers produced by landfills and other surface sediments, allowing them to infiltrate terrestrial ecosystems (Karki & Sachu, 2020). Earthworms and other creatures classified as geophagia consume brittle plastic trash, which is broken down into secondary microplastics in their stomach pouches. Living in vertical caves, deep-dwelling earthworms use the plastic trash on the soil's surface as food for other soil creatures in the soil food web or as a means of excreting faeces on the cave wall. Additionally, it can build up inside earthworm bodies.

Digging mammals like gophers and moles, as well as occasional gnawing or fragmentation of mesoblastic soil fauna like mites or springtail animals, can create secondary microplastics and introduce them into the soil. In addition to being utilised as long-distance transporters, birds and other migratory animals also contribute to the migration and dissemination of microplastics (Hodson et al., 2017).

2.2 Separation and detection of microplastics

Digging mammals like gophers and moles, as well as occasional gnawing or fragmentation of mesoblastic soil fauna like mites or springtail animals, can create secondary microplastics and introduce them into the soil. In addition to being utilised as long-distance transporters, birds and other migratory animals also contribute to the migration and dissemination of microplastics (Hodson et al., 2017). Techniques using microplastics can also be used to resolve sediments in soils found in aquatic environments. The soil does, however, contain a significant concentration of refractory substances, such as tannin, suberin, and lignin, and only in certain places do biological agents exist. Separating and detecting microplastic in the soil is challenging due to soot from incomplete combustion (Kalenbach et al., 2021).

2.2.1 Separation of soil microplastics

Pressed force extraction (PFE) is a laboratory technique that can be used to separate plastic products smaller than 30 microns from the ground. PFE is suitable for separating a variety of materials, such as PE, PVC, and PP. PFE technology is the process of separating non-volatile organic compounds from solids under pressure and in subcritical conditions. In the laboratory, this technique is frequently employed to extract organic pollutants from trash, soil, and sediment. With its primary chassis control system, temperature control module, high-pressure control module, cylinder control system, bulk material control system module, and other components, the pressing force extraction tool is an effective control system.

The solution is combined once the sample reaches the extraction cell.

The extraction cell receives the drain pump's direction from the high-efficiency liquid control valve. Once the pressure cell has been pushed to a certain level, the pump's liquid phase is eliminated. Static extraction begins when the temperature reaches the desired level. The solvent was purged in the collecting flask using high-pressure nitrogen gas following static extraction. A Nicolet 6700 Smart FTIR Smarty TR, which determines the plastic quality, is attached to the dried residue (Joo et al., 2018).

2.2.2 Detection of microplastics

Microplastics in the environment can be detected and measured using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), Raman spectroscopy, and Pyr-GC-MS pyrolysis analysis. One of them, Pyr-GC/MS, is a microplastic polymer that may be used as an identifying tool for fish ingestion. If microplastics are discovered, a microscope can be used to pre-screen them. As an alternative, assess surface morphology using SEM or ESEM-EDS. Microscopic techniques can overestimate or underestimate amounts, and occasionally there are technological barriers that prevent the identification of microplastics. SEM is being employed in many different domains, including the analysis of material surface morphology and the determination of microorganism surface morphology.

The charge effect is present, but it is quite accurate. Microplastics' surface shape and composition are the primary uses of ESEM-EDS. When an electron beam acts on a sample that has poor conductive performance, such as semiconductor materials or insulating film, the sample's surface will accumulate some negative charge, which is a charged effect in the electronic energy spectrum. The sample's charged surface, which is equivalent to a surface free of auger electrons, adds additional voltage and causes the measured kinetic energy in auger electron spectroscopy to be lower than normal. Sample charge is a serious issue because of the high electron beam density (Majewsky et al., 2016).

TED-GC-MS, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, and Raman spectroscopy can be chosen according to the complexity of the sample components and the size of the microplastics. The procedure for TED-GC-MS analysis involves two steps. The gaseous byproducts of the sample's first breakdown in a thermogravimetric analyzer are subsequently trapped on a solid phase adsorber. The solid phase adsorber is next examined using mass spectrometry and thermal desorption gas chromatography. For identification, Raman spectroscopy is frequently used with microscopic methods. Particle-size plastic goods bigger than 1μm offer more spatial resolution than FTIR and may be used for a wide range of research. However, the process detection procedure takes time, and the approach is susceptible to interference from soil organic matter autofluorescence.

When it comes to plastic goods, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy works well with particles larger than 20μm. While FTIR is less susceptible to autofluorescence from soil organic matter than Raman spectroscopy, it is more easily disrupted by organic matter and requires a longer detection time. Individual species can be identified using Pyr-GC-MS. Fish consumption of microplastic may be identified by polymer using Pyr-GC/MS. The two most recognised polymers were PET and PVC. Microplastics consumed might be an indicator of nearby pollution sources. Microplastic ingestion by freshwater, marine, and estuarine fish has been extensively reported. This approach has fewer restrictions on particle size than Raman and FTIR spectroscopy, although preprocessing requires time.

TED-GC-MS is the combined term for the solid phase extraction TGA and TDS-GC-MS. In complicated soil matrices, this approach may be utilised to detect polyethene, polypropylene, and polystyrene. Currently, it is limited to the quantitative detection of polyethene, although it does not require laborious pretreatments (Majewsky & Bitter & Eiche & Horn et al., 2016).

2.3 The effect of microplastics on soil properties

The long-term weathering of microplastics in the soil, combined with UV light and their interaction with other soil components, causes the surface to gradually become rougher and particles or debris to craze. It also results in a smaller particle size distribution, increased specific surface area, adsorption sites, surface functional groups, and hydrophobicity. Complex factors like soil pH and octanol-water partition coefficient regulate these changes. Pesticides and antibiotics have a significantly enhanced adsorption capacity on soil due to factors such as salinity, organics, ion exchange, heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, polychlorinated biphenyls, and other organic pollutants. This alters the physical and chemical properties of soil and impacts the health of soil ecosystems (Vy, 2020).

2.3.1 Adsorption Capacity of Microplastics to Microorganisms

For soil ecosystems to remain healthy, bacteria are essential. People started to worry that microplastics may contain diseases and other dangerous microbes and disrupt soil ecosystems as studies into this topic grew. Long-term microbial adsorption sites on the surface of microplastics can develop biofilms and impact the ecological role of soil microorganisms. This is made possible by microplastics. Furthermore, microbes might migrate to different habitats due to the presence of microplastics, altering the flora and ecosystem function (Bouwmeester et al., 2015).

Studies on the composition and selectivity of bacterial communities on polystyrene and polyethene surfaces revealed that, although the majority of pathogenic bacteria were not adsorbed by microplastics, the horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes was the cause of microplastics in sewage treatment plants. vector. The adsorption of microorganisms by microplastics in soil and the growth of microorganisms on the surface of microplastics, however, have not received much research attention; thus, more research and development are required (Majewsky et al., 2016).

2.3.2 Adsorption Capacity of Organic Pollutants by Microplastics

Another significant class of elements influencing the well-being of soil ecosystems are organic contaminants, including pesticides, herbicides, polychlorinated biphenyls, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and antibiotics. Researchers have frequently assumed in recent years that microplastics facilitate the entry of contaminants into the environment. Microplastics can also be used to adsorber polychlorinated biphenyls, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane, and hexachlorocyclohexane at varying background concentrations in the media. The contaminants' ability to adsorb on the surface of the microplastic is directly impacted by their hydrophobicity. While the adsorption of organic pollutants by polyamide, polystyrene, and polyvinyl chloride is driven by surface adsorption and the adsorption of microplastics, the adsorption of polyethene is primarily caused by the distribution balance of the solid and liquid phases.

It may be deduced that the hydrophobic effect is the primary driver of microplastic adsorption because of its tight relationship to the hydrophobicity of contaminants. Two microplastics, polyethene and polystyrene, were used to adsorb 19 distinct contaminants, including insecticides, medications, and personal hygiene items, at pH values of 4, 7, and 10. This process also showed that the chemicals Adsorbed compounds on resins are more readily hydrophobic than ordinary particles. Another important factor influencing the adsorption of organic contaminants is the ageing and weathering of microplastics in the environment. In addition, π-π interactions, multivalent cation bridges, and hydrogen bonding offer a substantial regulatory potential for antibiotic adsorption on microplastics. Because of the many environmental factors found in soil, microplastics have the potential to significantly impact the adsorption of organic pollutants in the soil. (Lahive et al., 2017).

2.3.3 Adsorption Capacity of Heavy Metals by Microplastics

Recent research has demonstrated that heavy metals and microplastics present in soil interact geochemically. The primary locations for the usage, fertilisation, and irrigation of agricultural plastic are forests and agricultural land. HDPE has a greater capacity for adsorbing Zn2+ in forest soils that are abundant in organic matter, and the adsorption behaviour is consistent with the Langmuir and Freundlich equations. One of the most often used adsorption isotherm equations is the Langmuir equation, which was put out by physical chemist Langmuir Icing in 1916 under the suppositions of molecular motion theory. These days, adsorption uses it extensively. As an empirical adsorption isotherm on a non-uniform surface, the Freundlich adsorption equation is more suited for low-concentration adsorption conditions and provides a clear explanation of the experiment over a larger concentration range. It can also effectively describe the adsorption mechanism of non-uniform surfaces. a consequence (Steinmetz et al., 2016).

Furthermore, the adsorption of heavy metals may be somewhat impacted by the functional groups in the soil adhering to the surface of microplastics. The adsorption of heavy metals by microplastics and heavy metals is influenced by the functional groups that alter their hydrophobicity. According to Von Moos, Burkhardt-Holm et al. (2012), microplastics can harm the health of the soil ecosystem once they enter the soil, weather, and age due to the complex soil environment. This means that they can become effective carriers of heavy metals and become fixed in the soil environment.

3. Ecological effects of microplastics

There are three basic reasons why soil microplastics influence the ecosystem. contamination of the environment by contaminants originating from plastics' primary ingredients, plastics' manufacturing additives, and plastic microplastics. Microplastics can build up in plants and have an impact on plant health. They can directly change the chemical and physical characteristics of the soil and the functional and structural diversity of the microbial communities there. Both people and animals may breathe, consume, and drink microplastics into their bodies (Yoga et al., 2020).

3.1 Effect on soil material cycle

There is not much study being done right now on microplastics and soil material circulation. Thus, it is important to clarify the function of encouraging or obstructing soil material movement as well as its mode of action. Microplastics can linger in the soil for a very long period since they are difficult to break down. It has an impact on terrestrial ecosystems, biodiversity, and soil function at specific concentrations.

Microplastics have the potential to directly impact soil material circulation as well as its chemical and physical characteristics. Microplastics can absorb impurities from soil solutions and modify the physical characteristics of soil, including porosity, aggregate structure, and soil aggregate incorporation. The activity of microbes can change. Soil cycling is significantly influenced by enzyme activity, which is a reflection of microbial activity and nutrient availability. The release of extracellular enzymes that contribute to the release of C, N, P, and other soil nutrients is heightened by increased microbial activity.

Benthic animals are crucial to the material cycle of soil ecosystems because they influence and assist the flow of nutrients between plants and soil. (Yoga et al., 2020). The cycle of soil materials is significantly influenced by soil animals, and microplastics may have an additional impact by reducing the variety of soil species. Microplastics released into the soil with faeces are either consumed or broken down by other creatures throughout the absorption and excretion process by soil animals. This impacts soil circulation, organic matter decomposition, primary and secondary productivity, and nutrient levels (Wagner, 2021). Arthropods have a limited range, reaching their greatest density in the top 10 cm of the soil. Nevertheless, they are crucial in the movement of microplastics from the soil surface into the soil.

By reducing the variety of soil species, the microplastic particles that are getting into the soil endanger more soil animals and have an indirect impact on the nutrient cycle and material deterioration of the soil. The structure of the soil can be impacted by these microplastic particles. The bioavailability of the adsorbed organic and inorganic pollutants will be somewhat impacted if they are integrated into the soil aggregate structure, which will have an impact on the soil structure and material circulation (Hodson et al., 2017).

3.1.1 Effects on Soil Animals

Currently, earthworms, nematodes, and springtails are the most common species utilised to investigate how microplastics affect terrestrial animals. Relevant research on the impacts of microplastics on animals in aquatic habitats can be utilised to better understand the consequences of microplastics on terrestrial species. Filter feeders and other aquatic soil creatures live in a thin layer of water on the soil's surface. As terrestrial animals that are found in different habitats but belong to the same kind and have comparable feeding habits, annelids, molluscs, arthropods, and nematodes are among the creatures that live in the soil and may be found in both freshwater and marine environments. Animals on land may benefit from some of the impacts of microplastics on marine creatures (Paço et al., 2017).

Microplastics have an impact on animal variety, growth, and reproduction. When microplastics get into an animal's body, they can physically shred organs and tissues, and the body will react by becoming inflamed due to foreign materials. Ingesting microplastics substitutes food and creates biological nutrients in the process (Hollman et al., 2015) The diversity of persons and animals will be impacted to varied degrees by the shortage of energy sources, poisonous compounds released by microplastics, and the damaging effects of pollutants that have been adsorbed. Numerous variables, including particle size, concentration, and physiological characteristics of the animals, are linked to the impacts of microplastics on animals.

The impact of microplastic particle size on terrestrial animals has not received much attention up to this point in studies, while the influence on different kinds of marine species has been thoroughly established. The way that particle size and mouth size relate to one another influences how much microplastics animals ingest. Animals living on land may readily consume microplastic particles that are smaller than one millimetre. Once swallowed, microplastics can either stay within the body of terrestrial animals or be released into the environment. Studies have demonstrated that microplastics may penetrate the intestinal wall and travel to different regions of the body, in addition to having a higher likelihood of remaining in the gut than other chemicals consumed (Hodson et al., 2017).

Particle size is also related to the build-up, transmission, and harmful consequences of microplastics in animal tissues and organs. Smaller microplastic particles are simpler to transport, collect, and phagocyte by cells; these particles may be linked to intracellular phagosomes with limited space. Microplastic particles larger than 1 mm are kept in the gut or expelled in the faeces. Numerous studies on marine microplastics have shown that the impacts of microplastics on animals are also connected to their physiological and behavioural characteristics. Marine creatures that eat microplastics are found in a variety of trophic levels and employ a variety of feeding techniques, such as filter feeding and litter feeding (Jang, 2020).

The physiological and behavioural traits of animals are also connected to the effects of microplastics on them. Diverse trophic levels and feeding techniques are employed by marine creatures that consume microplastics, such as detritus, filter feeders, and predators. Filter feeders are a common illustration of trophic patterns in aquatic environments because they tend to collect toxins. Microplastics immediately impact important animal species in the soil and build up in low-trophic level creatures, which in turn affects the food chain. Earthworms, mites, and springtails are among the tiny to medium-sized flora soil creatures that consume microplastics, which end up building up in the soil detritus food web.

Interaction is carried out by earthworms between organisms that live in the soil and those that are above ground, as well as between the pedosphere and the atmosphere. Because earthworms are consumed by moles, badgers, birds, and other animals, the microplastics that the earthworms pick up have an effect on other soil organisms higher up the food chain. Microplastics are capable of adsorbing pathogenic microorganisms, heavy metals, and organic pollutants from the soil solution and surrounding soil environment. Animals that ingest microplastics, which are carriers of pollutants, have toxic effects initially in their physiology and then in the accumulation of food tissue (Yoga et al., 2020).

3.1.2 Effects on soil microorganisms

Soil animals have an impact on the migration, diffusion, and secondary breakdown of microplastics in soil ecosystems. Plastic pieces that are consumed by giant earthworms may be broken down into microplastics in their stomachs. Comparing vermicompost to soil or food, smaller and more concentrated microplastic particle sizes were found. Earthworms' actions have the potential to disperse microplastic particles to other locations through surface attachment, excretion, and dead bodies.

For instance, the actions of earthworms will carry the microplastics on the soil's surface into the deep soil after they have been consumed. Additionally, when water and microplastics migrate to the bottom soil, earthworm-created soil pores will aid in this process. Through surface attachment, gripping, and pushing, other medium-sized soil organisms like springtails and mites can also accelerate the mobility of microplastics in the soil.

4. Conclusion

The chemical and energy flow cycle in geothermal systems has a significant impact regardless of whether microplastics are present in the system as virgin plastics or microplastic derivatives as a result of advancements in trash management, industrial production, human life, and agricultural technologies. On the other hand, the effects of microplastic on soil ecosystems have been the subject of many studies. The effect of microplastics is restricted to the physical and chemical characteristics of soil, soil animals, and variations in the strength of soil material caused by a variety of natural variables. Microplastics are made up of several varieties and complicated components.

As soon as feasible, future research ought to address the following problems. The chemistry and structure of soil are complex. There are many different sources of microplastic particles in the soil, and certain big plastics, like mulching film, break down into smaller plastics when exposed to light and heat. These smaller plastics are difficult to separate and can seriously harm the ecology of the soil. Thus, it is imperative to find a solution to the separation and detection of soil microplastics. The current techniques for separating and detecting microplastic particles in beaches, silt, and bottom mud can be used to try to identify the microplastic particles in the soil.

The impacts of microplastics on the environment vary depending on their source and nature. Soil modification and adsorption are the main factors influencing the alteration of soil microplastics. When environmental deterioration occurs, certain big plastics, like soil, release chemicals that endanger the ecology that lives there. Consequently, the environmental effects of microplastics from various sources should get special consideration when examining the effects of microplastics on the environment. Furthermore, distinct microplastic kinds differ in their fundamental structures, capacity for adsorbing pollutants, and impacts on soil. Microplastics can be chosen from a variety of varieties and used in tests to examine their effects on the environment.

The ecosystem is affected differently by microplastic rods of varying diameters. Toxicity studies, such as the use of biomarkers, are required to clarify the toxicity of microplastics to soil primary microplastic particles as the toxicity of various sizes of microplastics to soil organisms is still unclear. Even after being discharged into the environment, the resultant microplastic particles keep disintegrating into smaller ones. These tiny particles are more likely to enter the body since they have a wide surface area on which to interact with substances. As so, they represent a serious risk to the organism's health. There is now a lot of study being done on the nature of nanoparticles in the environment.

Given the unique properties of nanoparticles, a more comprehensive understanding of their behaviour in the environment can be gained via the use of traditional diagnostic and risk assessment techniques in nanoparticle research. Large volumes of terrestrial microplastics are created, and sourced globally, and are difficult to manage in terms of concentration. A model for the circulation cycle of the life cycle of plastic pollutants in waters has been developed by some, and there are now works on quantitative research on the discharge of microplastics in waterways through the life cycle assessment of goods. However, no research has been done on the retention cycle model of microplastics in terrestrial areas. Thus, the environmental impact of plastic products may be investigated using the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) method.

In addition to these considerations, the influence of microplastics on pollution is also influenced by soil composition and structure, climate, and environmental conditions. The surface qualities of microplastics are influenced by varying climatic and environmental variables, which in turn have varying impacts on pollution. Consequently, the effect of various deposits on environmental pollution must be considered while conducting a research on environmental pollution, or on the environmental aspect of sustainable development. The primary causes of microplastic contamination of the soil ecosystem are as follows: they can adsorb pathogen bacteria to microplates, raise soil pore size, promote enzyme activity, absorb pollutants from the soil solution, and change component flow.

5. References

1. Adeniran, A. O. & Oyemade, H. (2016). Inventory Analysis of Solid Waste Management in Ikorodu Community. Journal of Civil and Environmental Research, 8(9), 26-38.
2. Aoki, K. & Saito, N. (2021). Biodegradable polymers as drug delivery systems for bone regeneration. Pharmaceutics, 12, 95.
3. Beksinska, M., Wong, R. & Smit, J. (2020). Male and female condoms: Their key role in pregnancy and STI/HIV prevention. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Obstet. Gynaecol., 66, 55-67.
4. Ben-Arye, T. & Levenberg, S. (2019). Tissue engineering for clean meat production. Front. Sustain. Food Syst., 3, 46.
5. Bouwmeester, H., Hollman, P. & Peters, R. (2015). Potential Health Impact of Environmentally Released Micro- and nanoplastics in the Human Food Production Chain: Experiences from Nanotoxicology. Environment Science & Technology, 49(15), 8932-8939.
6. Celis, J. E., Espejo, W., Paredes-Osses, E., Contreras, S. A., Chiang, G. & Bahamonde, P. (2021). Plastic residues produced with confirmatory testing for COVID-19: Classification, quantification, fate, and impacts on human health. Sci. Total Environ., 760, 144167.
7. Chae, J. S., Kim, S. W., Lee, J. H., Joo, J. C. & Ohm, T. I. (2020). Combustion characteristics of solid refuse fuel derived from mixture of food and plastic wastes. J. Mater. Cycles Waste Manag., 22, 1047-1055.
8. Conlon, K. (2021). Plastic roads: Not all they're paved up to be. Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol., 1-4.
9. Dey, A., Dhumal, C. V., Sengupta, P., Kumar, A., Pramanik, N. K. & Alam, T. (2021). Challenges and possible solutions to mitigate the problems of single-use plastics used for packaging food items: A review. J. Food Sci. Technol., 58, 3251-3269.
10. Fadare, O. O. & Okoffo, E. D. (2020). Covid-19 face masks: A potential source of microplastic fibers in the environment. Sci. Total Environ., 737, 140279.
11. Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R. & Law, K. L. (2018). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. Sci. Adv., 3, e1700782.

12. Godfrey, L. (2019). Waste plastic, the challenge facing developing countries - Ban it, change it, collect it? Recycling, 4, 3.
13. Hodson, M., Duffus-Hodson, C., Clark, A., Prendergast-Miller, M. & Thorpe, K. (2017). Plastic Bag Derived Microplastics as a Vector for Metal Exposure in Terrestrial Invertebrates. Environmental Science & Technology, 51(8), 4714.
14. Hogan, K. J. & Mikos, A. G. (2021). Biodegradable thermoresponsive polymers: Applications in drug delivery and tissue engineering. Polymer, 211, 123063.
15. Horton, A., Walton, A., Spurgeon, D., Lahive, E. & Svendsen, C. 2017. Microplastics in Freshwater and Terrestrial Environments: Evaluating the Current Understanding to Identify the Knowledge Gaps and Future Research Priorities. The Science of the Total Environment, 586, 127-141.
16. Joo, S., Cho, I. & Seo, H. (2018). Structural Insight into Molecular Mechanism of Poly (Ethylene Terephthalate) Degradation. Nat Commun, 9(1), 382.
17. Jang, J. (2020). Microplastics: The Impact of Microplastics on Marine Pollution and Human Health. Available at: http://www.theseus.fi/handle/10024/341886
18. Kalenbach, E., Rodland, E., Buenaventura, N. & Hurley, R. (2021). Environmental pollution remediation and management. Springer.
19. Karki, S. (2020). Extraction of Microplastics from Soil and Bio-composts. Available at: https://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-2020060115752
20. Kitz, R., Walker, T., Charlebois, S. & Music, J. (2021). Food packaging during the COVID-19 pandemic: Consumer perceptions. Int. J. Consum. Stud., 1-15.
21. Leal-Filho, W., Salvia, A. L., Minhas, A., Paco, A. & Dias-Ferreira, C. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic and single- use plastic waste in households: A preliminary study. Sci. Total Environ., 793, 148571.
22. Majewsky, M., Bitter, H., Eiche, E. & Horn, H. (2016). Determination of Microplastic Polyethylene (PE) and Polypropylene (PP) in Environmental Samples using Thermal Analysis (TGA-DSC). Sci. Total Environ., 568, 507-511.
23. Paço, A., Duarte, K., da Costa, J., Santos, P., Pereira, R., Pereira, M. & Rocha-Santos, T. (2017). Biodegradation of Polyethylene Microplastics by the Marine Fungus Zalerion Maritimum. The Science of the Total Environment, 586, 10-15.
24. Riling (2012). Microplastic in Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Soil. Environmental Science & Technology, 46(12), 6453.
25. Steinmetz, Z., Wurman, C. & Skaiver, M. (2016). Plastic Mulching in Agriculture. Trading Short-Term Agronomic Benefits for Long-Term Soil Degradation? Sci. Total Environ.,550, 690-705.
26. Nguyen, V. & Huong, V. (2020). Plastic bags - the main culprit in plastic pollution? Available at: http://www.theseus.fi/handle/10024/346750.
27. PlasticEurope (2021). Plastics: The Fact of 2019: An Analysis of European Plastics Production, Demand and Waste Data. Available at: https://www.plasticseurope.org/application/files/9715/7129/9584/FINAL_web_version_Plastics_the_facts2019_14102019.pdf.
28. PlasticEurope (2021). Plastics: The Facts 2020. Available at: https://www.plasticseurope.org/application/files/5716/0752/4286/AF_Plastics_the_facts-WEB-2020-ING_FINAL.pdf.
29. Rezaei, H., Yazdan-Panah, F., Lim, C. J. & Sokhansanj, S. (2020). Pelletization of refuse-derived fuel with varying compositions of plastic, paper, organic and wood. Sustainability, 12, 4645.
30. Shkarina, S., Shkarin, R., Weinhardt, V., Melnik, E., Vacun, G., Kluger, P. J., Loza, K., Epple, M., Ivlev, S. I. & Baumbach, T. (2018). 3D biodegradable scaffolds of polycaprolactone with silicate-containing hydroxyapatite microparticles for bone tissue engineering: High-resolution tomography and in vitro study. Sci. Rep. 8, 1-13.
31. Statista (2021). Production of Plastics Worldwide from 1950 to 2019 (in Million Metric Tons). 2021. Available at: https://www.statista.com/statistics/282732/global-production-of-plastics-since-1950/
32. UNEP (2018). Single-Use Plastics: A Roadmap for Sustainability; United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP): Nairobi, Kenya.
33. Vianello, A., Boldrin, A. & Guerriero, P. (2013). Microplastic Particles in Sediments of Lagoon of Venice, Italy: First Observations on Occurrence, Spatial Patterns and Identification. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 130, 54-61.
34. Von-Moos, N., Burkhardt-Holm, P. & Köhler, A. (2012). Uptake and Effects of Microplastics on Cells and Tissue of the Blue Mussel Mytilus Edulis L. Environ. Sci. Technol., 46(20), 11327-11335.
35. Wagner, M. (2021). Microplastic in the Environment: Pattern and Process. Springer: Cham.
36. Xiao, H., Yan, L., Dempsey, E. M., Song, W., Qi, R., Li, W., Huang, Y., Jing, X., Zhou, D. & Ding, J. (2019). Recent progress in polymer-based platinum drug delivery systems. Prog. Polym. Sci., 87, 70-106.
37. Yoga, L. K. & Singh, S. (2020). Bioremediation of Industrial Waste for Environmental Safety. Springer: Singapore.